

Exact Result for the 3rd Order Correlations of Velocity in Turbulence with Helicity

Victor S. L'vov^{1,2}, Evgenii Podivilov^{1,2} and Itamar Procaccia¹¹*Department of Chemical Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel,*²*Institute of Automation and Electrometry, Ac. Sci. of Russia, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia*

All statistical models of turbulence take into account Kolmogorov's exact result known as the "4/5 law" which stems from energy conservation. This law states that the energy flux expressed as a spatial derivative of the 3rd order velocity correlator equals the rate of energy dissipation. We have found an additional exact result which stems from the conservation of helicity in turbulence without inversion symmetry. It equates the flux of helicity expressed as a second spatial derivative of the 3rd order velocity correlator with the rate of helicity dissipation. This exact result must be incorporated to all statistical theories of turbulence with helicity. After submitting this paper for publication we learned that the main result was independently found by Otto Chkhetiani in JETP Lett . **63**, 808 (1996).

PACS numbers 47.27.Gs, 47.27.Jv, 05.40.+j

One of the best known results in the statistical theory of turbulence is Kolmogorov's "four-fifth law" which was discovered in 1941 [1]. This law pertains to the third order moment of longitudinal velocity differences $\delta u_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \equiv [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \cdot \mathbf{R}/R$ where $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the Eulerian velocity field of the turbulent fluid. The fourth-fifth law states that in homogeneous, isotropic and stationary turbulence, in the limit of vanishing kinematic viscosity $\nu \rightarrow 0$

$$\langle [\delta u_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)]^3 \rangle = -\frac{4}{5} \bar{\epsilon} R, \quad (1)$$

where the symbol $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for an ensemble average over \mathbf{r} and t , and $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the mean energy flux per unit time and mass $\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \nu \langle |\nabla_\alpha u_\beta|^2 \rangle$. The only assumption needed to derive this law is that the dissipation is finite in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$. As noted by Frisch, "this is one of the most important results in fully developed turbulence because it is both exact and nontrivial. It thus constitutes a kind of 'boundary condition' on theories of turbulence: such theories, to be acceptable, must either satisfy the four-fifth law, or explicitly violate the assumptions made in deriving it" [2].

In this Letter we derive an additional exact relation that appears to have the same status as the fourth-fifth law, pertaining to homogeneous, stationary and isotropic turbulence with helicity. Defining the velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$ we consider the simultaneous 3rd order tensor correlation function which depends on two space points:

$$J^{\alpha, \beta \gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \langle v^\alpha(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t) v^\beta(\mathbf{r}, t) v^\gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

We show that in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$, under the same assumption leading to the fourth-fifth law, this correlation function reads

$$J^{\alpha, \beta \gamma}(\mathbf{R}) = -\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{10} (R^\gamma \delta_{\alpha\beta} + R^\beta \delta_{\alpha\gamma} - \frac{2}{3} R^\alpha \delta_{\beta\gamma}) - \frac{\bar{h}}{30} (\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\delta} R^\gamma + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma\delta} R^\beta) R^\delta, \quad (3)$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the fully antisymmetric tensor. The quantity \bar{h} is the mean dissipation of helicity per unit mass and time,

$$\bar{h} \equiv \nu \langle (\nabla^\alpha u^\beta) (\nabla^\alpha [\nabla \times \mathbf{u}]^\beta) \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where repeated indices are summed upon. The new result (3) can be also displayed in a form that depends on \bar{h} alone by introducing the longitudinal and transverse parts of \mathbf{u} : the longitudinal part is $\mathbf{u}_l \equiv \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{R})/R^2$ and the transverse part is $\mathbf{u}_t \equiv \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_l$. In addition we have $\delta u_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \equiv \delta u_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \mathbf{R}/R$. In terms of these quantities we can propose a "two fifteenth law"

$$\langle [\delta \mathbf{u}_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)] \cdot [\mathbf{u}_t(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}, t) \times \mathbf{u}_t(\mathbf{r}, t)] \rangle = \frac{2}{15} \bar{h} R^2. \quad (5)$$

We note that this result holds also when we replace \mathbf{u} by \mathbf{v} everywhere.

To derive the result (3) we start from the correlation function $J^{\alpha, \beta \gamma}(\mathbf{R})$ which is symmetric with respect to exchange of the indices β and γ as is clear from the definition. In an isotropic homogeneous medium with helicity (no inversion symmetry) the relevant symmetry group is the rotation group $O(3)$ whose irreducible representations can be expressed using the spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell, m}$. The most general form of this object has contributions from $\ell = 1, 2$ and 3 :

$$J^{\alpha, \beta \gamma}(\mathbf{R}) = a_1(R) [\delta_{\alpha\beta} R^\gamma + \delta_{\alpha\gamma} R^\beta + \delta_{\beta\gamma} R^\alpha] + \tilde{a}_1(R) [\delta_{\alpha\beta} R^\gamma + \delta_{\alpha\gamma} R^\beta - 2\delta_{\beta\gamma} R^\alpha] + b_2(R) [\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\delta} R^\gamma + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma\delta} R^\beta] R^\delta + a_3(R) [\delta_{\alpha\beta} R^\gamma + \delta_{\alpha\gamma} R^\beta + \delta_{\beta\gamma} R^\alpha - 5R^\alpha R^\beta R^\gamma / R^2]. \quad (6)$$

The coefficients in this expression carry the ℓ index, multiplying terms that are irreducible representations of the rotation group of dimension $2\ell + 1$. The dimension of the irreducible representation is the number of tensor components that transform to each other upon rotations of the system of coordinates. All the tensor components of a given irreducible representation with $\ell = 1$, $\ell = 2$ or $\ell = 3$ must have the same coefficient which depends only on R . This general representation is invariant to the choice of orientation of the coordinates.

Not all the coefficients are independent for incompressible flows. Requiring $\partial J^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{R})/\partial R^\alpha = 0$ leads to two relations among the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dR} + \frac{5}{R}\right)a_3(R) &= \frac{2}{3}\frac{d}{dR}[a_1(R) + \tilde{a}_1(R)] , \\ \left(\frac{d}{dR} + \frac{3}{R}\right)[5a_1(R) - 4\tilde{a}_1(R)] &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

As we have two conditions relating the three coefficients a_1, \tilde{a}_1 and a_3 only one of them is independent. Kolmogorov's derivation [1] related the rate of energy dissipation to the value of the remaining unknown. Here the coefficient b_2 remains undetermined by the incompressibility constraint; it will be determined by the rate of helicity dissipation.

Kolmogorov's derivation can be paraphrased in a simple manner. Begin with the second order structure function which is related to the energy of R -scale motions

$$S_2(R) \equiv \langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}, t) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \rangle . \quad (8)$$

Computing the rate of change of this (time-independent) function from the Navier-Stokes equations we find

$$0 = \frac{\partial S_2(R)}{2\partial t} = -\mathcal{D}_2(R) - 2\bar{\epsilon} + \nu\nabla^2 S_2(R) , \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_2(R)$ stems from the nonlinear term $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$ and as a result it consists of a correlation function including a velocity derivative. The conservation of energy allows the derivative to be taken outside the correlation function:

$$\mathcal{D}_2(R) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial R^\beta} \langle u^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) u^\alpha(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t) [u^\beta(\mathbf{r}, t) - u^\beta(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t)] \rangle . \quad (10)$$

In terms of the function of Eq. (2) we can write

$$\mathcal{D}_2(R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial R^\beta} \left[J^{\alpha,\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{R}, t) - J^{\alpha,\beta\alpha}(-\mathbf{R}, t) \right] . \quad (11)$$

Note that Eq. (2) is written in terms of \mathbf{v} rather than \mathbf{u} , but using the incompressibility constraint we can easily prove that Eq. (10) can also be identically written in terms of \mathbf{v} rather than \mathbf{u} . We proceed using Eq. (6) in Eq. (11), and find

$$\mathcal{D}_2(R) = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial R^\beta} R^\beta [5a_1(R) + 2\tilde{a}_1(R)] . \quad (12)$$

For R in the inertial interval, and for $\nu \rightarrow 0$, we can read from Eq. (9) $\mathcal{D}_2(R) = -2\bar{\epsilon}$ and therefore have the third relation that is needed to solve all the three unknown coefficients. A calculation leads to

$$a_1(R) = -2\bar{\epsilon}/45 , \quad \tilde{a}_1 = -\bar{\epsilon}/18 , \quad a_3 = 0 . \quad (13)$$

The choice of the structure function $S_2(R)$ leaves the coefficient $b_2(R)$ undetermined, and another correlation function is needed in order to remedy the situation. Since the helicity is $\mathbf{u} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{u}]$, we seek a correlation function which is related to the helicity of eddies of scale of R :

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(R) \equiv \langle & [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}, t) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \\ & \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t) - \nabla \times \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The proper choice of this correlation function is the crucial idea of this Letter. The rest is a straightforward calculation. Using the Navier-Stokes equations to compute the rate of change of this quantity we find

$$0 = \frac{\partial T_2(R)}{2\partial t} = -G_2(R) - 2\bar{h} - \nu\nabla^2 T_2(R) , \quad (15)$$

which is the analog of (9), and where

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(R) = \{ \langle & \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot [\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t) \times [\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \\ & \times \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}, t)]] \rangle \} + \{ \text{term } \mathbf{R} \rightarrow -\mathbf{R} \} . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The conservation of helicity allows the extraction of two derivatives outside the correlation functions. The result can be expressed in terms of our definition (2):

$$G_2(R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial R^\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^\kappa} \epsilon_{\alpha\lambda\mu} \epsilon_{\mu\beta\nu} \epsilon_{\nu\kappa\gamma} [J^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) + J^{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(-\mathbf{R})] . \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eq. (6) we find

$$G_2(R) = 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^\lambda \partial R^\kappa} b_2(R) [R^\lambda R^\kappa - \delta_{\lambda\kappa} R^2] , \quad (18)$$

which is the analog of Eq. (12). Using Eq. (15) in the inertial interval in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ we find the differential equation

$$R^2 \frac{d^2 b_2(R)}{dR^2} + 9R \frac{db_2(R)}{dR} + 15b_2(R) = -\frac{\bar{h}}{2} . \quad (19)$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$b_2(R) = -\bar{h}/30 + \alpha_1 R^{-5} + \alpha_2 R^{-3} . \quad (20)$$

Requiring finite solutions in the limit $R \rightarrow 0$ means that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. Accordingly we end up with Eq. (3).

In conclusion, every theory of turbulence with helicity, to be acceptable, must either satisfy the fourth-fifth and the two-fifteenth laws, or explicitly violate the assumptions made in deriving them.

[1] A.N. Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **32**, 16 (1941).

[2] Uriel Frisch, *Turbulence: The Legacy of A.N. Kolmogorov*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).