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ABSTRACT

The recent discoveries of terrestrial exoplanets and super-Earths extending over a broad range of orbital and physical parameters suggest that these planets will span a wide range of climatic regimes. Characterization of the atmospheres of warm super-Earths has already begun and will be extended to smaller and more distant planets over the coming decade. The habitability of these worlds may be strongly affected by their three-dimensional atmospheric circulation regimes, since the global climate feedbacks that control the inner and outer edges of the habitable zone—including transitions to Snowball-like states and runaway-greenhouse feedbacks—depend on the equator-to-pole temperature differences, patterns of relative humidity, and other aspects of the dynamics. Here, using an idealized moist atmospheric general circulation model including a hydrological cycle, we study the dynamical principles governing the atmospheric dynamics on such planets. We show how the planetary rotation rate, stellar flux, atmospheric mass, surface gravity, optical thickness, and planetary radius affect the atmospheric circulation and temperature distribution on such planets. Our simulations demonstrate that equator-to-pole temperature differences, meridional heat transport rates, structure and strength of the winds, and the hydrological cycle vary strongly with these parameters, implying that the sensitivity of the planet to global climate feedbacks will depend significantly on the atmospheric circulation. We elucidate the possible climatic regimes and diagnose the mechanisms controlling the formation of atmospheric jet streams, Hadley and Ferrel cells, and latitudinal temperature differences. Finally, we discuss the implications for understanding how the atmospheric circulation influences the global climate.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the mid-1990s, nearly 2000 planets have been discovered around other stars. The first to be discovered were giant planets with short orbital periods, and since then, many smaller planets with longer orbital periods have been identified. The planets can be generally divided into two types: planets close to their parent star that become synchronously locked, resulting in one side constantly being heated from their parent star, and asynchronously rotating planets with a diurnal cycle—similar to Earth and most solar system planets. In this study we focus on the latter type, and within these, we focus on terrestrial planets, i.e., those in which the atmospheric dynamics are limited to a thin spherical shell overlying a solid surface. These planets span a large range of masses, radii, densities, incident stellar fluxes, orbital periods, and orbital eccentricities. The goal of this study is to characterize the range of possible climatic regimes these planets might encompass and to characterize how the climate depends on these orbital, planetary, and atmospheric parameters.

Although exoplanet discovery and characterization began with giant planets, emphasis is gradually shifting to smaller worlds. Approximately 100 planets with masses less than ~10 Earth masses have been discovered, with many hundreds of additional candidates identified by the NASA Kepler spacecraft (Borucki et al. 2011). Planets toward the upper end of this mass range may typically constitute mini-Neptunes with no solid surfaces (e.g., Valencia et al. 2007; Adams et al. 2008; Nettelmann et al. 2011; Rogers et al. 2011; Fortney et al. 2013), but planets toward the lower end are more likely terrestrial planets with solid surfaces and relatively thin atmospheres. Importantly, discoveries to date include a number of planets with masses and/or radii less than those of Earth (e.g., Fressin et al. 2012; Muirhead et al. 2012; Barclay et al. 2013; Borucki et al. 2013; see review by Sinukoff et al. 2013), as well as numerous planets ~1–3 Earth radii in size. This overall population of super-Earths and terrestrial planets includes not only hot, inhabitable objects blasted by starlight (CoRoT-7b and Kepler-10b being prominent examples; Batalha et al. 2011; Léger et al. 2011) but also many planets receiving ~0.2 to several times the incident stellar flux Earth receives from the Sun, with effective temperatures of ~200–400 K (Muirhead et al. 2012; Dressing & Charbonneau 2013; Quintana et al. 2014; see Figure 7 in Ballard et al. 2013 for a visual summary). Depending on atmospheric composition, these moderate stellar fluxes put these planets in or near the classical habitable zones around their stars.

Atmospheric characterization of super-Earths, while difficult, has already begun. Attention to date has focused on GJ 1214b, a 6.5 Earth-mass, 2.7 Earth-radius super-Earth orbiting a nearby M dwarf (Charbonneau et al. 2009). Transit spectroscopy in visible and near-infrared wavelengths indicates a relatively flat spectrum, ruling out hydrogen-dominated, cloud-free atmospheres and favoring instead a high-molecular-weight (e.g., water-dominated) atmosphere and/or the presence of clouds that obscure spectral features (e.g., Bean et al. 2010, 2011; Désert et al. 2011; Berta et al. 2012; de Mooij et al. 2012; Fraine et al. 2013; Teske et al. 2013; Kreidberg et al. 2014). The secondary eclipses of this relatively
cool (∼500 K) planet have recently been detected by Spitzer (Gillon et al. 2014). The NASA Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, to be launched in 2017) and ESA’s Planetary Transits and Oscillations of Stars satellite (PLATO, to be launched in 2024) will search for additional, observationally favorable super-Earths, and upcoming platforms including the James Webb Space Telescope, the Characterizing Exoplanets Satellite (CHOPES), and the groundbased Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT) and the European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT) will be capable of characterizing their atmospheres. These developments indicate that, in the coming decade, observational techniques currently used to characterize the atmospheres of hot Jupiters will be applied to super-Earths and terrestrial planets, placing constraints on their atmospheric composition, thermal structure, and climate. In principle, visible and infrared light curves, ingress/egress mapping during secondary eclipse, and shapes of spectral lines during transit could lead to constraints on longitudinal temperature variations, latitudinal temperature variations, cloud patterns, and vertical temperature profiles.

These observational developments provide a strong motivation for investigating the possible atmospheric circulation regimes of terrestrial exoplanets over a wide range of conditions. Such an investigation—as we carry out here—can provide a theoretical framework for interpreting future measurements of these planets and assessing their habitability. Moreover, such an effort can help to answer the fundamental, unsolved theoretical question of how the atmospheric circulations of terrestrial planets—broadly defined—vary with incident stellar flux, atmospheric mass, atmospheric opacity, planetary rotation rate, gravity, and other parameters. While general circulation model (GCM) studies of Mars, Venus, Titan, and especially Earth provide insights into the relevant dynamical mechanisms, these models are typically constructed for a narrow range of conditions specific to those planets and thereby provide only limited understanding of how the circulation regimes vary across the continuum of possible conditions. More recently, several authors have carried out GCM studies of terrestrial exoplanets, emphasizing synchronously locked, slowly rotating planets (Joshi et al. 1997; Joshi 2003; Merlis & Schneider 2010; Heng & Vogt 2011; Selsis et al. 2011; Wordsworth et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2013, 2014; Hu & Yang 2014). Despite the insights provided by these studies, only a small subset of possible conditions have yet been explored, especially for planets that are not synchronously rotating. It thus remains unclear, for example, how the equator-pole temperature differences, vertical temperature profiles, wind speeds, and properties of the Hadley cell, jet streams, instabilities, and waves should vary with the atmospheric mass, atmospheric opacity, planetary rotation rate, and other parameters.

In addition to its inherent interest, knowledge of how the atmospheric circulation varies over a broad range of parameters will aid an understanding of how the circulation interacts with global-scale climate feedbacks to control planetary habitability. For example, the conditions under which planets enter globally glaciated or runaway greenhouse states depend on the equator-to-pole temperature difference, the 3D distribution of humidity, and other aspects of the circulation (e.g., Pierrehumbert et al. 2011; Voigt et al. 2011; Leconte et al. 2013; Wordsworth & Pierrehumbert 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Forget & Leconte 2014). See Showman et al. (2014) for a review of our current understanding of the atmospheric circulation of terrestrial exoplanets.

In this study we focus on the leading-order mechanisms controlling the general circulation. We refer to the general circulation (Lorenz 1967) as the longitudinally averaged circulation of the atmosphere, assuming there are no longitudinal asymmetries on the planets (e.g., continents, topography). This allows us to keep the analysis as simple as possible while maintaining the leading-order forcing of the climate system. While longitudinal asymmetries in the surface can modify the circulation, this is often a second-order effect; for example, even on Earth, which has significant longitudinal and hemispherical differences in continental distribution, the leading-order climate is zonally symmetric. As will be discussed, for Earth this is a consequence of the rapid planetary rotation, but even on slower rotating planets or moons (e.g., Titan) similar hemispherical asymmetry is found to leading order (e.g., Mitchell et al. 2006; Aharonson et al. 2009; Schneider et al. 2012).

As the parameter space of possible orbital and atmospheric parameters is extremely large, in this study we focus on several parameters we identify as important for understanding the dynamics of freely rotating terrestrial atmospheres. Specifically, we investigate how the general circulation is affected by rotation rate, atmospheric mass, stellar flux, surface gravity, optical thickness and planetary, radius over a wide range (much broader than that of the solar system planets). Although even this subset of parameters are tied together and affect one another (e.g., varying atmospheric mass affects optical thickness), we study them one by one in an attempt to capture the effect of each one of them separately on the general circulation. This can provide a baseline for more detailed studies and multi-dimensional explorations of the vast parameter space in an attempt to simulate more realistic scenarios. For simplicity, we perform all experiments at perpetual equinox conditions, ignoring effects of obliquity and eccentricity and therefore seasonal variations. We also ignore other important components of the climate system such as clouds, ice, sea-ice, and albedo variations. Thus, although the model itself is fully 3D, the forcing (i.e., the imposed starlight) is both north–south hemispherically symmetric and zonally symmetric and includes only the most basic components of the climate system. Yet, it still captures the most fundamental features of the general circulation (e.g., jets, waves, Hadley and Ferrel cells) and gives a wide range of dynamical phenomena that can be compared against the solar system terrestrial planetary atmospheres.

Section 2 introduces our model and presents control simulations for modern-Earth conditions, which provides a reference against which to compare our parameter variations. Section 3 describes our main results, where every subsection presents a separate set of simulations where the dependence on one parameter compared to the reference climate is explored. For each parameter study, we present a detailed description of the general circulation, particularly focusing on the equator-to-pole temperature difference, the poleward heat transports, Hadley and Ferrel cells, and the jet streams. Section 4 concludes and summarizes implications for observables and habitability.
2. MODEL

2.1. Model Description

To explore the sensitivity of the general circulation to the basic characteristics of the planet, we use an idealized GCM. The idealized GCM is based on the Flexible Modeling System of NOAA’s Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory ( Held & Suarez 1994; Anderson et al. 2004). It is a three-dimensional model of a spherical aquaplanet, at perpetual equinox, and solves the primitive equations for fluid motion on the sphere for an ideal-gas atmosphere in the reference frame of the rotating planet with rotation rate \( \Omega \). The primitive equations in spherical coordinates are given, using pressure as a vertical coordinate, by

\[
\frac{Du}{Dt} - 2\Omega v \sin \theta - \frac{uv}{a} \tan \theta = -\frac{1}{a \cos \theta} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} - \Sigma_u, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{Dv}{Dt} + 2\Omega u \sin \theta + \frac{u^2}{a} \tan \theta = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} - \Sigma_v, \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{Dp}{Dt} = R_d T_v, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\frac{DT}{Dt} - \frac{R_d T_v}{c_p p} = Q_r + Q_c + Q_b, \tag{5}
\]

where \( u, v, \) and \( \omega \) are the longitudinal (\( \lambda \)), latitudinal (\( \phi \)), and pressure (\( p \)) velocities, respectively, \( \Phi \) is geopotential, \( T \) is temperature, and \( T_v \) is the virtual temperature.\(^5\) The material derivative is given by \( \frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \), where \( \mathbf{u} = (u, v, \omega) \) and \( t \) is time. \( \Sigma_u, \Sigma_v \) are the surface stress terms from the boundary layer (see below), \( R_d = 287 \) K kg\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) is the dry gas constant for air, \( c_p = 1004 \) J kg\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) is the specific heat of air, \( a \) is the planetary radius, and \( Q_r, Q_c, \) and \( Q_b \) are the radiative, convective, and boundary layer heating per unit mass, respectively (see below). In Equations (1)–(3) we have made the traditional assumptions for terrestrial atmospheres that due to the shallowness of the atmosphere compared to the radius of the planet the horizontal Coriolis terms and some of the metric terms are negligible ( Vallis 2006). Note that, because pressure is the vertical coordinate, Equation (4) does not imply that density is constant; indeed, we use the ideal-gas equation of state, which allows significant density variations vertically and horizontally.

The primitive equations are solved in vorticity-divergence form using the spectral transform method in the horizontal and finite differences in the vertical ( Bourke 1974). We mostly use a horizontal resolution of 42 spectral modes (T42), which corresponds to about 2°8 × 2°8 resolution in longitude and latitude, but for cases where eddy length scales become small (e.g., high rotation rates) we increase the horizontal resolution up to T170 (0°7 × 0°7). The vertical coordinate is \( \sigma = p/\bar{p} \) (pressure \( p \) normalized by surface pressure \( \bar{p} \)). It is discretized with 30 levels, unequally spaced to ensure adequate resolution in the lower troposphere and near the tropopause. The uppermost full model level has a mean pressure of 0.46% of the mean surface pressure. For simplicity, the model does not include clouds, continental effects, sea-ice, or snow. All simulations have been spun up to statistically steady state for at least 1500 simulation days, and the results presented here have then been averaged over at least the subsequent 1500 simulation days and are all in a statistically steady state.

2.1.1. Radiative Transfer

Radiative transfer is represented by a standard two-stream gray radiation scheme ( Held 1982; Frierson et al. 2006) given by

\[
\frac{dU}{d\tau} = U - \sigma_{\text{sw}} T^4, \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{dD}{d\tau} = \sigma_{\text{sb}} T^4 - D, \tag{7}
\]

where \( U \) is the longwave\(^6\) upward flux and \( D \) is the longwave downward flux, with the boundary condition at the surface being \( U(\tau(z = 0)) = \sigma_{\text{sw}} T^4 \), where \( T_s \) is the surface temperature (see below), and \( D(\tau = 0) = 0 \) at the top of the atmosphere. \( \sigma_{\text{sb}} = 5.6734 \times 10^{-8} \) W m\(^{-2}\) K\(^{-4}\) is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant. The longwave optical thickness \( \tau \) is given by

\[
\tau = \left[ f_s \sigma + \left( 1 - f_s \right) \sigma^4 \right] \left[ \tau_c + (\tau_p - \tau_c) \sin^2 \theta \right], \tag{8}
\]

where \( f_s, \tau_c, \) and \( \tau_p \) are constants; this implies that the longwave and shortwave optical depths only depend on latitude and pressure. The longwave optical thickness at the equator and pole \( \tau_c = 8.4 \) and \( \tau_p = 2.2 \), respectively, and \( f_s = 0.2 \) are chosen to mimic roughly an Earth-like equinoctial meridional and vertical temperature distribution (Figure 1). The quartic term in (8) represents the rapid increase of opacity near the surface due to water vapor under Earth-like conditions (Frierson et al. 2006). Note that, because the constants in Equation (8) are specified, our experiments do not include the water-vapor feedback in which variations in water vapor cause variations in opacity. The radiative source term in the atmospheric interior is then given by

\[
Q_r = \frac{8}{c_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (U - D - R_s), \tag{9}
\]

where insolation is imposed equally between hemispheres with the insolation \( R_s \) set as

\[
R_s = \frac{S_0}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{\Delta x}{4} \left( 1 - 3 \sin^2 \theta \right) \right] e^{-\tau_c \sigma^2}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( S_0 = 1360 \) W m\(^{-2}\), \( \Delta_x = 1.2 \), and the parameter \( \tau_c = 0.08 \) controls the vertical absorption of solar radiation in

---

\(^5\) An aquaplanet is defined as a terrestrial planet with a (relatively) thin atmosphere, a global liquid-water ocean with no continents, and a discrete interface between the ocean and atmosphere; this is to be distinguished from the term “ocean planet” ( Léger et al. 2004), which sometimes is used to denote fully fluid planets (mini-Neptunes) composed predominantly of H\(_2\)O.

\(^6\) Here, longwave refers to the long-wavelength infrared radiation associated with planetary emission; this is to be distinguished from shortwave radiation, which represents the stellar flux.
the atmosphere. These parameters have also been set to mimic
an Earth-like climate (Figure 1).

2.1.2. Surface Boundary Layer

The surface boundary-layer scheme is similar to that of
Frierson et al. (2006). The lower boundary of the GCM is a
uniform water-covered slab, with an albedo of $\alpha = 0.35$. A
planetary boundary layer scheme with Monin–Obhukov sur-
face fluxes (Obukhov 1971), which depend on the stability of
the boundary layer, links atmospheric dynamics to surface
fluxes of momentum, latent heat, and sensible heat. The
roughness length for momentum is $5 \times 10^{-3}$, and for moisture
and heat fluxes is $1 \times 10^{-5}$ m, and an additive gustiness term of
$1 \text{m s}^{-1}$ in surface velocities comes to represent subgrid-scale
wind fluctuations. These values yield energy fluxes and a
climate similar to Earth’s in the aquaplanet setting of our
simulations (Figure 1). Our results are not very sensitive to the
choice of these parameters.

The lower boundary is a “slab ocean,” comprising a
vertically uniform layer of liquid water of depth $H$, here taken
to be 1 m thick, with no dynamics and a horizontally varying
temperature evolving as

$$c_{po} \rho_o H \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = R_e (1 - \alpha) - R_u - L_e E - S, \quad (11)$$

where $c_{po} = 3989 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ is the surface heat capacity,
$\rho = 1035 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is the effective density of the slab ocean,
$L_e = 2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ is the latent heat of vaporization, and $R_u$
is the net upward longwave flux from the ocean surface. $E$, $S$, and $\Sigma$ are the surface evaporative heat flux, sensible heat flux, and surface stress, respectively, which are given by

$$E = \rho_e C |u_a| (q_a - q^*_a), \quad (12)$$

$$S = \rho_e c_p C |u_a| (T_a - T_s), \quad (13)$$

$$\Sigma = C_{fl} |u_a| |u|, \quad (14)$$

where $\rho_e$, $u_a$, $T_a$, and $q_a$ are the density, horizontal wind,
temperature, and humidity at the lowest atmospheric level, $q^*_a$ is
the saturation-specific humidity at the lowest model layer (see
below), and $C$ is a drag coefficient that decays with height
following Monin–Obhukov theory (Obukhov 1971; Frierson
et al. 2006). For further details see Anderson (2004).

Neglecting horizontal mixing and heat transport in the ocean
is a reasonable assumption in the Earth climate regime, since
only one-third of Earth’s meridional heat transport occurs in the
ocean, with the remaining two-thirds occurring in the atmos-
phere. Nevertheless, there may be some exoplanet regimes in
which this assumption breaks down (e.g., Hu & Yang 2014).

Above the boundary layer, horizontal $\nabla^8$ hyperdiffusion in
the vorticity, divergence, and temperature equations is the only
frictional process. The hyperdiffusion coefficient is chosen to
give a damping timescale of 12 hr at the smallest resolved
scale.

2.1.3. Hydrological Cycle

We include a hydrological cycle involving the evaporation,
condensation, and transport of water vapor. Moisture is
calculated at every grid point and depends on the surface
evaporative fluxes and the convection giving the moisture
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2.1.3. Hydrological Cycle

We include a hydrological cycle involving the evaporation,
condensation, and transport of water vapor. Moisture is
calculated at every grid point and depends on the surface
evaporative fluxes and the convection giving the moisture

}\]
where

\[ Q = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0} \right). \]  

(15)

A large-scale (grid-scale) condensation scheme ensures that the mean relative humidity in a grid cell does not exceed 100% (Frierson 2007; O’Gorman & Schneider 2008). Only the vapor–liquid phase change is considered, and the saturation vapor pressure \( e_s \) is calculated from a simplified Clausius–Clapeyron relation given by

\[ e_s(T) = e_0 \exp \left( \frac{L_v}{R_v} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0} \right) \right). \]  

(16)

where \( R_v = 461.5 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \) is the gas constant for water vapor, \( e_0 = 610.78 \text{ Pa} \), and \( T_0 = 273.16 \text{ K} \). The saturation specific humidity is then calculated by \( q_s = \frac{R_v e_s}{R_p} \). Moist convection is represented by a Betts–Miller-like quasi-equilibrium convection scheme (Betts 1986; Betts & Miller 1986), relaxing temperatures toward a moist adiabat with a timescale of 2 hr, and water vapor toward a profile with fixed relative humidity of 70% relative to the moist adiabat, whenever a parcel lifted from the lowest model level is convectively unstable. Large-scale condensation removes water vapor from the atmosphere when the specific humidity on the grid scale exceeds the saturation.

2.2. Reference Climate

Before presenting the dependence of the climate on the orbital and atmospheric parameters, we begin by presenting below the reference climate against which all experiments are compared. We choose this to be a climate similar to Earth’s climate, which to leading order is a well-understood regime and allows us to check the validity of our model by comparing it to observations. Our strategy is to perform systematic parameter space sweeps all in reference to this single reference climate. With the parameter choice presented above the model reference climate is set to represent an Earth-like annual-mean climate. Figure 1 shows the zonally averaged temperature and wind fields for this reference climate (right) and the annually averaged climate for Earth from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis data averaged over 40 yr (1970–2010, left). Despite the simplicity of the model, the resulting mean climate represents well Earth’s annual mean climate. The tropics are dominated by Hadley cells reaching nearly latitude 30° with a generally weak westward zonal flow, and midlatitudes are dominated by an eastward jet stream with wind velocities reaching about 30 m s\(^{-1}\) at latitude 45° and 200 hPa. Of course, the model results are hemispherically symmetric, in contrast to the Earth data, which vary considerably between hemispheres. In addition, our model does not have a seasonal cycle, which affects the climate despite not appearing directly in the annual averaged climate. Despite these differences, the choice of parameters given in Section 2.1 yields a mean climate similar to observations. Figure 2 shows the poleward heat transport resembling that of Earth, and Figure 3 (upper right) shows the surface temperature map resembling that of Earth’s observations with wavenumber five Rossby waves dominating the midlatitude climate.

A main focus of this paper will be on what controls the equator-to-pole temperature difference on terrestrial exoplanets. For Earth, if the planet had no atmospheric and oceanic dynamics and the planet were in pure radiative equilibrium, the equator-to-pole temperature difference would be much larger than the actual value (Hartmann 1994). The existence of dynamics in the atmosphere, and particularly the fact that the atmosphere is turbulent, leads to a net poleward heat flux, which results in the cooling of the lower latitudes and heating of high latitudes, and therefore a much more equable climate than a planet in pure radiative equilibrium. This results in the reduction of the mean equator-to-pole temperature difference. The total heat transport can be described in terms of the moist static energy (MSE), defined as

\[ m = c_p T + g z + L_e q, \]  

(17)

where all symbols were defined in Section 2.1. For Earth’s climate the global MSE flux is poleward in both hemispheres and peaks at about \( 5 \times 10^{13} \text{ W} \) in midlatitudes (Figure 3). The total flux can be divided into a time mean component and a variation from the time mean coming from the turbulence in the atmosphere. If we divide the meridional velocity into a time mean denoted by an over-line and deviations from the time mean (eddies) with a prime so that \( v = \bar{v} + v' \), and do similarly for the MSE, then

\[ \bar{m} = \bar{c}_p \bar{T} + g \bar{z} + \bar{L}_e \bar{q} + \bar{v}' \bar{m}'. \]  

(18)

Figure 3 shows the zonal averaged total flux (\( \bar{m} \)) and the eddy flux (\( \bar{v}' \bar{m}' \)), divided into the dry static energy component \( \bar{v}' \bar{s}' \), where \( s = c_p T + g z \), and the latent energy component \( \bar{L}_e \bar{v}' \bar{q}' \). It shows the dominance of the eddy term within the total flux (in midlatitudes it is even bigger since the mean component is negative) and the fact that the dry and moist components contribute roughly equally to this flux. For Earth, the moist component is more dominant in low latitudes and the dry component in high latitudes. This reflects the strong nonlinear dependence of the saturation vapor pressure on temperature (Equation (16)), meaning that warmer climates will have stronger heat transport due to latent heating (see Section 3.2). Since the surface temperature is coupled to the atmosphere, the
radiation and evaporation also respond to the MSE transport, giving important feedbacks to the steady-state temperature through the Planck, evaporative, and lapse rate feedbacks (e.g., Pithan & Mauritsen 2014). 

3. RESULTS

3.1. Dependence on the Rotation Rate

We begin with a series of experiments where we vary the rotation rate of the planet (e.g., Williams & Holloway 1982; del Genio & Suozzo 1987; Williams 1988; Navarra & Boccaletti 2002; Schneider & Walker 2006). The main effect of increasing the rotation rate is that the eddy length scales decrease (we refer to eddies as the deviation from the time mean flow). This results from the fact that the primary source of extratropical eddies is from baroclinic instability (e.g., Pedlosky 1987; Pierrehumbert & Swanson 1995), and the dominant baroclinic length scale scales inversely with rotation rate (e.g., Schneider & Walker 2006). This is illustrated in Figure 2, which shows instantaneous snapshots of the surface temperature of experiments with the properties of the reference climate, but where the rotation rate is varied between half and four times that of Earth. The waves in the temperature field are Rossby waves (e.g., Vallis 2006), resulting from the latitudinal dependence of the Coriolis forces in the horizontal momentum equations (Equations (1) and (2)). The smaller eddies in the rapidly rotating models, demonstrated by the energy-containing wavenumber in Figure 4, are less efficient in transporting MSE meridionally, leading to a greater equator-to-pole temperature difference for those cases. A similar dependence has been found in other studies as well (e.g., Schneider & Walker 2006; Kaspi & Schneider 2011, 2013).

The strong dependence of the atmospheric circulation on rotation rate is because of the dominance of the Coriolis acceleration in the momentum balance. This can be quantified in terms of the Rossby number, which is the ratio between the typical velocity and the rotation rate times a typical length scale $u/\Omega L$ (Pedlosky 1987). Typically, away from the equator for Earth’s atmosphere and ocean the Rossby number is smaller than 1, meaning that in Equations (1)–(3) the leading order balance is geostrophic, and thus between the Coriolis and pressure forces in the horizontal momentum equations. However, planetary atmospheres are not necessarily in that regime (e.g., Showman et al. 2014). Solar system examples are Venus and Titan, which have rotation rates of 243 and 16 days, respectively, and therefore are characterized by larger Rossby numbers. This is demonstrated in Figure 5, where we show the zonal winds, temperature, meridional mass streamfunction, and meridional zonal-momentum fluxes $(u'v')$ for cases of 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 1/2, 1, 2, 4, and 8 times the rotation rate of Earth. The mass streamfunction is defined as $\psi(\theta, p) = 2\pi a \cos \theta \int v(\theta, p) dp / g$. Simulation results presented here and throughout the paper have been both zonally (longitudinally) and time averaged.
As rotation rate is increased, the Rossby number becomes smaller and more jets (regions of localized zonal velocity) develop (e.g., Williams & Holloway 1982; Williams 1988; Schneider & Walker 2006). These jets are generated by two distinct mechanisms, which we can categorize as thermally, and eddy, driven. In the former, the differential heating between low and high latitudes causes air to rise at the equator, flow poleward aloft, and sink at higher latitudes forming Hadley cells (colors on the left column of Figure 5).

Air flowing poleward within the upper branch of the Hadley cell moves closer to the axis of rotation of the planet, and therefore to conserve angular momentum (Vallis 2006), it must develop eastward velocity (contours on the left side of Figure 5). Within the Hadley cells meridional temperature differences are weak, creating a strong temperature gradient on the poleward side of the Hadley cell. For the cases of small Rossby number (rapidly rotating) geostrophy then implies that the atmosphere must be in thermal wind balance,
meaning that

$$ f \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = \frac{R_d}{\rho} a \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \right)_p, \quad (19) $$

and thus that the vertical wind shear is proportional to the latitudinal temperature gradients along isobars. This then implies that the strong temperature gradient at the poleward side of the Hadley cell must be balanced by strong local wind shear, which then results in a local maxima of eastward velocity (Figure 5). This jet is referred to as the subtropical jet (due to its location on Earth—at the edge of the Hadley cell) and is evident in the faster rotation cases in Figure 5. For the slower rotation cases (Rossby number \( \lesssim 1 \)), the eastward jets are a consequence of the conservation of angular momentum of poleward-moving air in the upper branch of the Hadley cell, and therefore the jet magnitude is closer to that expected simply by conservation of angular momentum given by \( u_M = \Omega a (\sin^2 \theta / \cos \theta) \) (Held & Hou 1980; Vallis 2006). Figure 6 shows both the magnitude of the subtropical jet and the magnitude of \( u_M \) for a series of simulations with different rotation rates ranging from 1/24 to 12 times the rotation rate of Earth. It shows that the slowly rotating cases develop stronger jets that are close to the angular momentum conserving value, while for the fast rotating cases the geostrophically balanced jets (Equation (19)) are much weaker than \( u_M \). The strength of the subtropical jet scales nicely with the Hadley cell strength to the 2/5 power following Held & Hou (1980), as shown in Figure 6(b).

The other type of jets—eddy driven jets—appear in the rapidly rotating cases. Here, breaking of Rossby waves in the extratropics results in eddy momentum flux convergence in the extratropics, and the formation of jets due to this convergence of momentum. This can be seen in Figure 5, showing multiple zonal jets (left side) corresponding to areas where there is momentum flux convergence (Figure 5, right side). The resulting jets from this mechanism have a more barotropic structure than the subtropical jets (Vallis 2006). For the Earth rotation rate case the subtropical and eddy-driven jets appear almost merged in Figure 5 (and Figure 1), but are then clearly separable for the cases with faster rotation rates. The number of jets in each hemisphere is then related to the typical eddy length scale and the inverse energy cascade length scales (Rhines 1975, 1979; Chemke & Kaspi 2015).

At slow rotation rates, the Hadley cells are nearly global, the subtropical jets reside at high latitude, and the equator-pole temperature difference is small (Figure 5). The low-latitude meridional momentum flux is equatorward, leading to equatorial superrotation (eastward winds at the equator) in the upper troposphere (Mitchell & Vallis 2010), qualitatively...
similar to that on Venus and Titan. At faster rotation rates, the Hadley cells and subtropical jets contract toward the equator, and simultaneously an extratropical zone, with eddy-driven jets, develops at high latitudes, and the equator-pole temperature difference is large. The low-latitude meridional momentum flux is poleward, resulting from the absorption of equatorward-propagating Rossby waves coming from the extratropics. It is also evident from Figure 5 that as the rotation rate is increased the equator-to-pole temperature difference increases. This is a result of the combination of the facts that as rotation rate increases, the decreases in eddy length scale result in less eddy poleward heat transport, and that the slower rotation rate cases have large planetary scale Hadley cells, which increase the heat transport by the mean meridional circulation.

These two effects are shown in Figure 7, which shows $\tau m$, $\nabla^2 m$, and $\nabla m$ as a function of latitude for different rotation rate cases. It shows that the turbulent heat flux $\nabla m$ has a nonmonotonic response to change of rotation rate. For slow rotation rates there is weak baroclinic instability, and therefore the atmosphere is less turbulent, resulting in weaker eddy heat transport ($\nabla^2 m$), while for fast rotation rates the atmosphere is strongly baroclinically unstable, but baroclinic zones are narrow and eddies are small, resulting in weak $\nabla m$. Thus, the maximum eddy poleward heat transport in these experiments is for rotation rates roughly similar to Earth’s (of course, we should remember that our reference climate is tuned to Earth’s observed dynamics). On the other hand, the mean fluxes decrease monotonically with the increase of rotation rate (Figure 7(b)), and this is because the Hadley cells become smaller for faster rotation (Figure 6(a)). The majority of the contribution of the mean fluxes is at low latitudes (within the Hadley cell) and becomes a global heat transport only for the very slowly rotating cases where the Hadley cell becomes of the order of the size of the planet. In fact, for rotation rate cases faster than 1/4 $\Omega_e$, the heat transport in the extratropics is negative because of the existence of Ferrel cells, and it dominates the overall mean transport ($\tau m$). Only for the extremely slowly rotating planets when the Hadley cell is global does the extratropical heat transport by the mean become positive (Figure 7(b)). An axisymmetric picture predicts that the Hadley cell width should be proportional to $\Omega^{-1}$ (Held & Hou 1980); however, this is complicated by the existence of eddies, leading to more complex theories regarding where exactly the Hadley cells terminate (e.g., Schneider 2006; Caballero 2007; Levine & Schneider 2011). The clear dependence of the Hadley cell width on the rotation rate is shown in Figure 6(a), with the $\Omega^{-1}$ line as a reference. In addition, warming of the extratropical surface temperature leads to additional radiative heating of the atmosphere, creating therefore a positive feedback (Pithan & Mauritsen 2014). In the steady state shown here all these processes have reached equilibrium.

The sum of the eddy and the mean transports, giving the total heat transport, results in an overall larger heat transport for slower rotating planets, and therefore planets with faster rotation having larger equator-to-pole temperature differences (Figure 8(a)). For the fast rotating cases this total is dominated by the eddies (even for the extreme fast rotation cases because the mean transport in those cases is negligible), and it is dominated by the mean transport for the less turbulent, slowly rotating cases. The transition between eddy- and mean-flow-dominated transport occurs at a rotation rate of 0.2$\Omega_e$ (location
where red and blue points cross in Figure 8(b)). Interestingly, however, the dependence of equator-pole temperature difference on rotation rate (i.e., the slope of the line in Figure 8(a)) exhibits a clear kink at larger rotation rates of $\Omega_e$. This occurs because the dependence of eddy energy flux on rotation rate changes sign at $\Omega_e$. At rotation rates smaller than $\Omega_e$, eddies transport more energy when rotation rate is larger, but at rotation rates larger than $\Omega_e$, they transport less energy when rotation rate is larger (Figure 8(a), red points). By comparison, the mean flow transports less energy when rotation rate is larger across the entire parameter range explored (Figure 8(b), blue points). The sum of the red and blue points implies that the total energy transport is rather insensitive to rotation rate when $\Omega < \Omega_e$ but depends strongly on rotation when $\Omega > \Omega_e$. In turn, this leads to a relatively flat dependence of equator-pole temperature difference on rotation at low rotation rate but a strong dependence at high rotation rate, explaining the kink in Figure 8(a).

### 3.2. Dependence on Stellar Flux

Next we look at the dependence of the circulation on the stellar flux. Since these experiments have no eccentricity and we treat the parent star as a constant point source of energy, these experiments are equivalent to varying the distance to the parent star. In our analysis we refer to both parameters interchangeably. As in the reference case that resembles Earth, the poleward heat transport for all cases with different solar heat fluxes is dominated by the eddy transport. Figure 9(a) shows that planets with larger stellar flux have a larger poleward heat flux, which results in reduction of the equator-to-pole temperature difference (Figures 10 and 11(b)). The main reason for this increase in $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{m}$ is the nonlinear dependence of the Clausius–Clapeyron relation on temperature (Equation (16)). Warmer climates have greater atmospheric water vapor abundances, and therefore the relative effect of latent heating in the total MSE transport becomes more significant. Despite the important feedback between water vapor and...
optical thickness \cite[e.g.,][]{Merlis2010}, for simplicity we keep optical thickness fixed as in the reference climate.

Figure 9(b) shows the dry \((c_p \nabla T + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q})\) and latent \((Lv'q')\) components of the eddy MSE transport for three cases with different solar fluxes. For the cooler case (500 W m\(^{-2}\)), the latent heat transport is very small compared to the dry component, while in the Earth case they are very similar in magnitude (with the latent component more dominant in the tropics and the dry component more dominant in the extratropics; Figure 3). On the other hand, in the very warm case (25000 W m\(^{-2}\)) the latent component becomes much larger than the dry component (Figure 9(b)). The strong nonlinearity is expressed in the fact that the difference in heating between the two sets of cases is similar (500, 1360, and 2000 W m\(^{-2}\)), but the increase in total heat flux has more than quadrupled.

The zonal mean climate for six cases ranging in distance from 0.6 to 3 AU (solar flux ranging between 152 and 3800 W m\(^{-2}\)) is shown in Figure 10. Obviously the closer-in planets are much warmer (Figure 10, right side). The meridional mass streamfunction, however, shows nonmonotonic behavior (Figure 10, left side). Planets far away from their parent star have less thermal forcing, and therefore Hadley and Ferrel cells get weaker as the stellar flux decreases. However, also as the planet becomes significantly warmer, the strength of the Hadley and Ferrel cells becomes weaker. This is due to the nonlinear dependence of water vapor on temperature, where the increase in latent heating enables stronger MSE transport with a weaker circulation, resulting in weaker circulation cells in the warmer climates. The nonlinear increase in water vapor fluxes is also evident in Figure 11. For Earth-like planets, the peak in the strength of the Hadley and Ferrel cells appears at about 1.5 AU (Figure 10, left side).

Quantitatively, these results depend on the temperature dependence of the saturation vapor pressure, which can be different for planets with different atmospheric masses since then the ratio of latent to sensible heat will be different. However, despite the fact that the turning point may be different (Figure 11), we expect the general behavior to be similar to the results presented here.

The dominance of the moist component of the MSE flux results in warmer planets having a smaller equator-to-pole temperature difference as long as moisture plays an important role in the transport, as in the warm example in Figure 9(b). However, we find that once moisture is less important (cooler climates), this relation reverses and then as the distance to the star is increased, the equator-to-pole temperature difference decreases again (Figure 11(b)). Thus, despite the decrease in MSE flux with decreasing stellar flux (Figure 9(a)), the equator-to-pole difference decreases, leading to the nonmonotonic dependence in Figure 11(b). Mostly, this is due to the fact that for the cooler planets the mean temperature is smaller, and therefore even if the relative temperature difference would not have changed, the absolute temperature difference between equator and pole is smaller. However, even the normalized equator-to-pole temperature difference (normalizing by the average surface temperature) shows a small decrease of temperature difference with reduction of solar flux (Figure 11(c)), which is likely due to the increase in radiative time constant for the colder planets. Note that for these simulations the energy-containing wavenumber generally decreases with solar flux (Figure 12). Therefore, unlike the rotation rate experiments (Section 3.1), where the eddy length scale decreased with rotation rate (Figure 4), limiting the eddies’ ability to transport heat poleward and causing an
increase in equator-to-pole temperature difference with rotation rate, here the reduction of eddy length scale does not result in increased equator-to-pole temperature difference. Thus, for the cooler planets, despite the decrease of eddy length scale with larger distance to the parent star, the equator-to-pole temperature difference is reduced.

3.3. Dependence on Atmospheric Mass

Atmospheric masses can vary considerably based on the planetary composition and history. Even in our close neighbors in the solar system atmospheric masses vary by orders of magnitude from an atmosphere of 92 bars on Venus to an atmosphere of 0.006 bars on Mars. The other terrestrial type atmosphere in the solar system, that of Titan, has an atmospheric surface pressure similar to Earth of 1.5 bar. In this section we experiment with the atmospheric mass of the planet, by keeping all other parameters constant as in our reference climate, and varying only surface pressure. We use no convection scheme and do not vary optical thickness despite increasing atmospheric mass, to allow an even comparison between the simulations. Figure 13 shows that as the atmospheric mass is increased, the meridional cells of mass streamfunction become stronger and narrower, resulting also in the subtropical jet being closer to the equator. Note that the mass streamfunction in Figure 13 is integrated over pressure, meaning that for the case of this set of simulations the increase in streamfunction strength does not necessarily coincide with stronger wind speeds. In fact, here as surface pressure is increased, the Hadley and Ferrel cells have weaker velocities despite the increase in the mass streamfunction. Eddy momentum flux convergence is aligned with the jet location and also becomes closer to the equator and weaker with increasing atmospheric mass. Note that the contour interval of $\nabla u^2$ decreases with atmospheric mass in Figure 13.

Planets with more massive atmospheres also have stronger equator-to-pole MSE flux (Figure 14(a)), which reduces the equator-to-pole temperature difference (Figure 13 right side) and therefore the jet strength. In all cases the mass flux is dominated by the eddy component (as in the Earth case in Figure 3), and the increase in MSE flux follows monotonically...
with the increase in atmospheric mass. This increase is mostly due to the increase in pressure (as the flux is vertically integrated over the atmosphere), and not due to the increase in the eddy correlations themselves ($\langle v^2 \rangle$), which actually decrease with atmospheric mass. The increase in MSE flux with atmospheric mass becomes more gradual beyond 10 bars, likely because of the strong decrease in eddy length scale (Figure 15(b)) for the extremely massive atmospheres. Thus, the two competing effects of more efficient energy transport due to more atmospheric mass and less efficient transport because of smaller eddies level out the equator-to-pole heat transport in very massive atmospheres. Nonetheless, the general trend of smaller equator-to-pole temperature differences with increasing atmospheric mass is obvious in Figures 13 (right column), 14(b), and 15(c).

Figure 14(b) shows that as atmospheric mass increases, surface temperature increases as well. This is a consequence of the fact that although horizontal heat transport increases with increasing atmospheric mass, vertical heat transport reduces in magnitude. This is demonstrated in Figure 15(a), showing the total vertical MSE transport in the mid-atmosphere (averaged between 400 and 600 hPa). Thus, despite the increase in the strength of the mass streamfunction, when integrated globally more massive atmospheres have less vertical heat transport, which results in the surfaces accumulating more heat (the optical thickness in these simulations depends only on $\sigma$ and therefore is equally distributed in height in all simulations). These differences are larger in midlatitudes than in the tropics since at midlatitudes the eddies play a larger role in the heat transport. This results in the fact that more massive atmospheres have larger extratropical lapse rates, which act to destabilize the atmosphere. However, this increase of horizontal heat fluxes with atmospheric mass has the opposite effect (thus stabilizing the atmosphere) and is more dominant in our simulations, resulting in weaker eddy momentum fluxes and weaker jets, as can be seen in Figure 13.

### 3.4. Dependence on Planetary Mean Density

Terrestrial-type exoplanets likely span a wide range of mean densities, ranging from ice-rich planets to heavy planets composed primarily of rock and iron. Here we explore the effect of varying the planetary mean density from the extremes of a relatively light ice-planet ($1000$ kg m$^{-3}$) to that of a heavy iron-planet ($7874$ kg m$^{-3}$), while keeping the atmospheric mass constant. Thus, in these simulations the planet radius is kept fixed to that of Earth, and we also keep an Earth-like atmospheric mass by varying the surface pressure.
hydrostatically given the varying surface gravity, where 
\[ r = g \pi G \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) \]  
(4 /3) 
(Figure 17). Figure 16 shows that Hadley and Ferrel cell strength increases with the gravity coefficient (note that the color scale changes between the panels). For the Hadley cell case the increase in width and strength is consistent with Held & Hou (1980), suggesting a \( g^{1/2} \) increase in Hadley cell width and \( g^{3/2} \) in Hadley cell intensity. In our simulations, despite showing the same trends, the increase in Hadley cell width and intensity is more modest, likely due to the role of eddies. Concurrently, the midlatitude jets become more subtropical in nature (thus more baroclinic and closer to the edge of the Hadley cell). In the water-density planet the midlatitude jets are mainly eddy driven, weaker, and more barotropic, while in the denser planets due to the dominance of the Hadley cell, and despite the strengthening of the eddies, the jets peak near the edge of the Hadley cells. On the other hand, the increase in Ferrel-cell strength is likely due to stronger baroclinic instability in midlatitudes (see below).

Unlike the rotation and atmospheric-mass experiments (Sections 3.1 and 3.3), here the increased Hadley cell strength also results in an increase in equator-to-pole temperature differences (Figure 16, right side), which shows roughly a tripling of the equator-to-pole surface temperature between the ice-density planet and the iron-density planet (Figure 18(a)).

The reason for this variation is that as the mean density increases, so do the buoyancy frequency and vertical shear, which affect the Eady growth rate

\[ \sigma \sim \frac{\Omega \sin \theta}{N^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} , \]  
(20)

representing the growth rate of baroclinic eddies in the atmosphere (Eady 1949; Lindzen & Farrell 1980). The static stability and vertical shear exert opposite effects on the Eady growth rate, and both depend on gravity. The static stability, \( N^2 = (g/\Omega)(\partial \theta / \partial z) \), has a dependence on gravity because of both the direct dependence on gravity and the fact that the vertical gradient of potential temperature becomes larger for larger density (again, because of the reduction of the vertical eddy heat flux). The vertical shear has a linear dependence since for a similar forcing by a temperature gradient thermal wind implies that the vertical shear will grow linearly with gravity (Equation (19)). Taking into account both dependencies (Figure 18(b)), we find that heavier planets will have a larger Eady growth rate, implying stronger baroclinic eddies and eddy kinetic energy (Figure 18(c)). However, this does not cause stronger eddy heat fluxes (Figure 18(d), blue points), but rather the larger eddy activity in midlatitudes strengthens the eddy-
driven Ferrel cell that drives a stronger equatorward mean circulation (Figure 18(d), red points) that weakens the overall poleward heat transport (Figure 18(d), black points). The reduced overall poleward heat transport then results in an increased equator-to-pole temperature difference (Figure 18(a)). The heavier planets therefore have stronger Ferrel cells and eddies in the extratropics, which is also evident when looking at the strength of the eddy kinetic energy, $\frac{1}{2} \int (u'^2 + v'^2) dp$, integrated over the troposphere (Figure 18(c)). On the other hand, if atmospheric surface pressure, rather than atmospheric mass, is held constant while the gravity is varying (not shown), then the simulations would resemble those of varying atmospheric mass, where the equator-to-pole temperature difference decreases with increasing gravity.

3.5. Dependence on Optical Thickness

In all previous sections optical thickness (Equation (8)) has been kept fixed, set to the parameters that give an Earth-like climate in the reference simulation (Figure 1). Increasing the optical thickness while keeping other parameters fixed (including atmospheric mass) results in an atmosphere that absorbs more of the emitted longwave radiation and therefore is warmer. How this affects eddy momentum transport in the atmosphere and the equator-to-pole temperature difference is less straightforward; we explore this issue here. Over this series of simulations we increase $\tau_o$ and $\tau_p$ (Equation (8)) by the same factor, thus increasing the optical thickness linearly over all latitudes. In the series of experiments presented here the optical thickness was varied between 0.1 and 15 times the reference case, which corresponds to mean surface temperatures of 253–319 K, respectively. In resemblance to the case of varying the stellar flux (Section 3.2), the results are dominated by the nonlinear response of temperature to the atmospheric water-vapor abundance. For the cases with low optical thickness the atmosphere is cold, resulting in dry static energy dominating the MSE fluxes (Figure 19(a)), and thus less overall poleward heat transport, resulting in a large equator-to-pole temperature difference (Figure 19(b)). As the optical depth is increased, the moist component of the MSE becomes larger, resulting in stronger MSE transport and therefore smaller equator-to-pole temperature differences. However, unlike the stellar flux experiments, the monotonic increase does not happen over all latitudes, which causes the colder simulations to have stronger fluxes at mid latitudes. This requires further investigation. Note that in our model as optical thickness does not vary with the amount of water vapor, it does not allow for a runaway effect as perhaps relevant to Venus.

3.6. Dependence on Planetary Radius

In this set of experiments we keep the planetary mean density constant at the mean density of Earth (5520 kg m$^{-3}$) and vary the radius and correspondingly the mass and surface gravity of the planet (along the “rock” line in Figure 17). If gravity would not have been changing, then reducing the radius of the planet might have had a similar effect to
increasing the rotation rate, since the planetary Rossby number becomes smaller. However, for a rocky planet, changing the radius results in a change of mass and surface gravity. Again, in this experiment we keep the mass of the atmosphere (per unit area) constant by consistently varying the surface pressure. Figure 20 shows that larger planets have a smaller mean equator-to-pole temperature gradient due to the MSE transport increasing with planet size (in Figure 20(a) MSE flux is normalized by planetary radius). For all simulations the MSE fluxes, in similarity to the reference case, are dominated by the eddy fluxes, with the mean contributing negatively in midlatitude (as in Figure 3). Similar to increasing the rotation rate of the planet (Section 3.1), as the planet size is increased, the typical eddy length scale becomes smaller compared to the size of the planet and therefore is less efficient in heat transport. This results in a larger equator-to-pole temperature difference (Figure 20(b)).

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

To date, nearly 100 terrestrial exoplanets have been identified, and this number is expected to grow significantly over the next few years as more Earth-sized and sub-Earth-sized planets are discovered. These planets span a wide range of orbital parameters and incident stellar fluxes, and they likely also span a wide range of climatic regimes. In this work, we have attempted to characterize the basic features of the general circulation of these atmospheres, focusing on planets that are far enough away from their parent star so that they are not tidally locked, and therefore to leading order, like Earth, have a zonally symmetric climate. In this sense this study is different than many recent studies focusing on the atmospheric dynamics of tidally locked terrestrial exoplanets (e.g., Joshi et al. 1997; Joshi 2003; Merlis & Schneider 2010; Heng & Vogt 2011; Selsis et al. 2011; Wordsworth et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2013; Hu & Yang 2014; Heng & Showman 2015; Showman et al. 2015). For simplicity we have attempted to keep the model configuration as simple as possible (aquaplanet, no seasons, no ice, simplified radiation), and we presented several series of numerical GCM simulation experiments exploring one parameter at a time. Being one of the first studies to address such planetary regimes, we have attempted to address only the basic features of these diverse planetary atmospheres. We therefore focus on the equator-to-pole temperature difference and the size and intensity of the Hadley cells, Ferrel cells, and extratropical jet streams. We focus on six unique systematic experiments that contain in our view some of the more interesting characteristics of the planetary atmospheres: rotation rate, stellar flux, atmospheric mass, surface gravity, optical thickness, and planetary radius. Of course, these six encompass only a small subset of possible explorable parameters. An alternate approach would be to nondimensionalize the equations and vary only the nondimensional parameters. However, in order to give better intuition, we decided to present our results using the real physical parameters.

The key results for the six sets of simulations presented in Section 3 are given below:

1. Planets with faster rotation rates are characterized by smaller and weaker Hadley cells and smaller eddy length scales. This results in a larger equator-to-pole temperature difference and weaker jets. The number of jets grows with rotation rate. Slowly rotating planets will have an
equatorial eddy momentum flux convergence, resulting in superrotation (e.g., Venus, Titan).

2. The stellar flux has a nonmonotonic response in most aspects we have examined, due to the strong nonlinear dependence of water vapor abundance on temperature. Warmer and closer planets will have smaller equator-to-pole temperature differences due to enhanced eddy MSE transport due to the latent heat component, which increases significantly with temperature. However, planets that are far enough from their parent star, so that for their abundance of water vapor the atmospheres are dry, will also have smaller equator-to-pole temperature differences due to the overall lower temperatures and larger radiative timescales. Hadley and Ferrel cells exhibit the same nonmonotonic behavior.

3. Planets with larger atmospheric masses generally have larger horizontal fluxes but lower vertical fluxes, resulting in reduced equator-to-pole temperature differences and higher surface temperatures. Hadley and Ferrel cells increase in strength with atmospheric mass due to the increased mass transport.

4. Planets with larger mean densities and therefore larger surface gravity have stronger Hadley and Ferrel cells. For these cases, despite the growth of eddy energy with surface gravity, the main controller of the extratropical temperature is the strengthening of the Ferrel cells, and therefore the equator-to-pole temperature difference increases with mean planetary density.

5. Planets with larger optical thickness are warmer across all latitudes, and the equator-to-pole temperature difference decreases with the increase of optical thickness due to enhanced poleward eddy MSE transport (mainly because of increased latent heat transport) in the warmer climates.

6. Planets with larger radii and consequently larger gravity show a decrease in equator-to-pole temperature gradient with increasing radius due to enhanced poleward eddy MSE transport. However, despite the reduction in temperature gradients, this effect does not compensate for the increase in distance between the equator and the pole, and therefore overall the equator-to-pole temperature difference increases.

7. The dependence of the equator-to-pole temperature difference on rotation rate, atmospheric mass, and other parameters implies that dynamics may exert a significant effect on global-mean climate feedbacks such as the conditions under which a planet transitions into a globally frozen, “Snowball Earth” state. Thus, our results imply that the dynamics influences planetary habitability, including the width of the classical habitable zone.

A key result, which has important implications for the habitability of these planets, is the equator-to-pole temperature difference response to the variation in orbital and atmospheric parameters. As we have discussed, large-scale atmospheric turbulence attempts to homogenize latitudinal temperature differences, mainly through poleward transport of MSE. In the simulations presented here, we find that the equator-to-pole temperature difference increases for larger rotation rates, planets with larger surface gravity (density), and larger radius planets, while the equator-to-pole temperature difference decreases with atmospheric mass, larger optical thickness, and for cooler or warmer planets (depending on water vapor). Varying the stellar flux has a nonmonotonic response in the equator-to-pole temperature difference due to the nonlinear dependence of water vapor on temperature. Despite the fact that these results have been obtained with respect to an Earth-like reference atmosphere, the combination of experiments represents the general trends we expect to find in any atmosphere. Known examples that are consistent with our results are the terrestrial-type atmospheres of Venus, Mars, and Titan. The slowly rotating Venus has a very small equator-to-pole temperature difference and strong jets, despite having a massive atmosphere (92 bars). Titan has large global Hadley cells due to its slowly rotation, and Mars has a similar rotation rate to Earth but an atmosphere of only 0.006 bar and therefore has large equator-to-pole temperature differences.

Moreover, this analysis has implications for the understanding of solar system planetary atmospheres as well. The observed equator-to-pole temperature difference on Venus is only a few degrees kelvin (Prinn & Fegley 1987), and it is unclear whether this is because of Venus’s slow rotation or its massive atmosphere with a resulting long radiative timescale. Extrapolating from our results for varying atmospheric mass and rotation rate, which both give smaller equator-to-pole temperature differences for a 92 bar atmosphere rotating at ~0.004 $\Omega_e$, respectively (Figures 8(a) and 15(b)), implies that both slow rotation and a massive atmosphere are necessary for such a small equator-to-pole temperature difference.

As more detailed exoplanet observations become available, we will be able to provide more constraints to these planetary atmospheres to better constrain the models. This paper provides a first attempt to characterize these atmospheres, focusing on the main drivers of the atmospheric circulation and the resulting climate. Developing this mechanistic understanding of what controls the climate will allow us to better provide constraints on what influences habitability on these planets.
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