16:29:07 From shachar carmeli : what is quasi-unipotent action?
16:29:30 From Alexander Braverman : all eigenvalues are roots of 1
16:29:41 From shachar carmeli : thanks!
16:34:57 From Uri Bader : complete?
16:37:32 From Yue Feng : bold K just a notation or have deeper meaning?
16:38:04 From Yue Feng : bold K_1
16:45:01 From Daria Shchedrina : who are omegas? where do they live?
16:45:32 From Oren Ben-Bassat : The omegas are objects of his groupoid.
16:46:18 From Dan Abramovich : actually vary, not omega
16:46:25 From Dan Abramovich : \varpi
16:46:50 From Daria Shchedrina : ah, so that's not any sort of diff form
16:46:51 From Oren Ben-Bassat : I think they are complex numbers (the \varpis) which are objects of the groupoid
16:46:52 From Daria Shchedrina : ok
16:49:01 From Daria Shchedrina : now what is exp(\gamma)?
16:49:19 From Dmitry Gourevitch : just the exponential
16:49:21 From Roman Travkin : Well, I think varpi's belong to m/m^2
16:49:26 From Dmitry Gourevitch : e^\gamma
16:49:41 From Oren Ben-Bassat : I think a morphism was a \gamma so that E^\gamma is the ratio of the \varpis
16:49:42 From Daria Shchedrina : exp map somehow defined from C to groupoid
16:50:02 From Oren Ben-Bassat : e^\gamma
16:51:02 From Oren Ben-Bassat : I meant a morphism from \varpi_1 to \varpi_2 which I guess belong to m/m^2
16:52:02 From Daria Shchedrina : thanks!
17:03:13 From Daria Shchedrina : I think I don't understand again
17:03:22 From Daria Shchedrina : he said \gamma is a loop
17:03:31 From Daria Shchedrina : in C*
17:03:51 From shachar carmeli : it is a number defined up to integral translation
17:04:01 From shachar carmeli : so looks like choice of homotopy class of loop
17:04:20 From shachar carmeli : *chosen up to
17:04:21 From Oren Ben-Bassat : he might have meant the special case that \omega_1=\omega_2
17:04:42 From shachar carmeli : otherwise its not a loop but path but reasoning is the same.
17:04:56 From Daria Shchedrina : ok
17:05:32 From shachar carmeli : spcefifically the loop would be exp(t gamma)w_1
17:05:53 From Oren Ben-Bassat : yeah
17:05:55 From Daria Shchedrina : thanks!
17:15:26 From Sam Taylor : thanks
17:19:33 From Roman Travkin : is there an interpretation in terms of Raynaud models?
17:21:54 From Roman Travkin : Like as de Rham cohomology of a formal scheme?