

# Injective Tauberian operators on $L_1$ and operators with dense range on $\ell_\infty$ \*

William B. Johnson<sup>†</sup>, Amir Bahman Nasseri,  
Gideon Schechtman<sup>‡</sup> and Tomasz Tkocz<sup>§</sup>

## Abstract

There exist injective Tauberian operators on  $L_1(0,1)$  that have dense, non closed range. This gives injective, non surjective operators on  $\ell_\infty$  that have dense range. Consequently, there are two quasi-complementary, non complementary subspaces of  $\ell_\infty$  that are isometric to  $\ell_\infty$ .

## 1 Introduction

A (bounded, linear) operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  is called Tauberian provided  $T^{**^{-1}}Y = X$ . The structure of Tauberian operators when the domain is an  $L_1$  space is well understood and exposed in Gonzáles and Martínez-Abejón's book [5, Chapter 4]. (For convenience they only consider  $L_1(\mu)$  when  $\mu$  is finite and purely nonatomic, but their proofs for the results we mention work for general  $L_1$  spaces.) In particular, [5, Theorem 4.1.3] implies that when  $X$  is an  $L_1$  space, an operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is Tauberian iff whenever  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of disjoint unit vectors, there is an

---

\*AMS subject classification: 46E30, 46B08, 47A53 Key words:  $L_1$ , Tauberian operator,  $\ell_\infty$

<sup>†</sup>Supported in part by NSF DMS-1301604 and U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation

<sup>‡</sup>Supported in part by U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation. Participant NSF Workshop in Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University

<sup>§</sup>T. Tkocz thanks his PhD supervisor, Keith Ball, for his invaluable constant advice and encouragement

$N$  so that the restriction of  $T$  to  $[x_n]_{n=N}^\infty$  is an isomorphism (and, moreover, the norm of the inverse of the restricted operator is bounded independently of the disjoint sequence). From this it follows that an injective operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is Tauberian iff it isomorphically preserves isometric copies of  $\ell_1$  in the sense that the restriction of  $T$  to any subspace of  $X$  that is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  is an isomorphism. (Recall that a subspace of an  $L_1$  space is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  iff it is the closed linear span of a sequence of non zero disjoint vectors [11, Chapter 14.5].) Since  $Tu$  is Tauberian if  $T$  is Tauberian and  $u$  is an isomorphism, one deduces that an injective Tauberian operator from an  $L_1$  space isomorphically preserves isomorphic copies of  $\ell_1$  in the sense that the restriction of  $T$  to any subspace of  $X$  that is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  is an isomorphism. Thus injective Tauberian operators from an  $L_1$  space are opposite to  $\ell_1$ -singular operators; i.e., operators whose restriction to every subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  is *not* an isomorphism.

The main result in this paper is a negative solution to [5, Problem 1]: Suppose  $T$  is a Tauberian operator on an  $L_1$  space. Must  $T$  be upper semi-Fredholm; i.e., must the range  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of  $T$  be closed and the null space  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  of  $T$  be finite dimensional? The basic example is a Tauberian operator on  $L_1(0, 1)$  that has infinite dimensional null space. This is rather striking because the Tauberian condition is equivalent to the statement that there is  $c > 0$  so that the restriction of the operator to  $L_1(A)$  is an isomorphism whenever the subset  $A$  of  $[0, 1]$  has Lebesgue measure at most  $c$ .

In fact, we show that there is an injective, dense range, non surjective Tauberian operator on  $L_1(0, 1)$ . Since  $T$  is Tauberian,  $T^{**}$  is also injective, so  $\mathcal{R}(T^*)$  is dense and proper, and  $T^*$  is injective because  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is dense. This solves a problem [10] the second author raised on MathOverFlow.net that led to the collaboration of the authors.

## 2 The examples

We begin with a lemma that is an easy consequence of characterizations of Tauberian operators on  $L_1$  spaces.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $X$  be an  $L_1$  space and  $T$  an operator from  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$ . The operator  $T$  is Tauberian if and only if there is  $r > 0$  and a natural number  $N$  so that if  $(x_n)_{n=1}^N$  are disjoint unit vectors in  $X$ , then  $\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|Tx_n\| \geq r$ .*

**Proof:** The condition in the lemma clearly implies that if  $(x_n)$  is a disjoint sequence of unit vectors in  $X$ , then  $\liminf_n \|Tx_n\| > 0$ , which is one of the equivalent conditions for  $T$  to be Tauberian [5, Theorem 4.1.3]. On the other hand, suppose that there are disjoint collections  $(x_k^n)_{k=1}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  with  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|Tx_k^n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the closed sublattice generated by  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_k^n)_{k=1}^n$  is a separable abstract  $L_1$  space (meaning that it is a Banach lattice such that  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  whenever  $|x| \vee |y| = 0$ ) and hence is order isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  for some probability  $\mu$  by Kakutani's theorem (see e.g. [7, Theorem 1.b.2]). Choose  $1 \leq k(n) \leq n$  so that the support of  $x_{k(n)}^n$  in  $L_1(\mu)$  has measure at most  $1/n$ . Since  $T$  is Tauberian, by [5, Proposition 4.1.8] necessarily  $\liminf_n \|Tx_{k(n)}^n\| > 0$ , which is a contradiction. ■

The reason that Lemma 1 is useful for us is that the condition in the Lemma is stable under ultraproducts. Call an operator that satisfies the condition in Lemma 1  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian. For background on ultraproducts of Banach spaces and of operators, see [4, Chapter 8]. We use the fact that the ultraproduct of  $L_1$  spaces is an abstract  $L_1$  space and hence is order isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  for some measure  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $(X_k)$  be a sequence of  $L_1$  spaces, and for each  $k$  let  $T_k$  be a norm one linear operator from  $X_k$  into a Banach space  $Y_k$ . Assume that there is  $r > 0$  and a natural number  $N$  so that each operator  $T_k$  is  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a free ultrafilter on the natural numbers. Then  $(T_k)_{\mathcal{U}} : (X_k)_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow (Y_k)_{\mathcal{U}}$  is  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian.*

Here  $(T_k)_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the usual ultraproduct of the sequence  $(T_k)$ , defined by

$$(T_k)_{\mathcal{U}}(x_k) = (T_k x_k).$$

**Proof:** The vectors  $(x_k)$  and  $(y_k)$  are disjoint in the abstract  $L_1$  space  $(X_k)_{\mathcal{U}}$  iff  $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \||x_k| \wedge |y_k|\| = 0$ , so it is only a matter of proving that if  $T$  is  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian from some  $L_1$  space  $X$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  are unit vectors in  $X$  and  $\||x_n| \wedge |x_m|\| < \delta$  for  $1 \leq n < m \leq N$ , then  $\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|Tx_n\| > r - \varepsilon$ . But if  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  are unit vectors that are  $\varepsilon$ -disjoint as above, and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are defined by

$$y_n := [|x_n| - (|x_n| \wedge (\vee\{|x_m| : m \neq n\}))]\text{sign}(x_n),$$

then the  $y_n$  are disjoint and all have norm at least  $1 - N\delta$ . Normalize the  $y_n$  and apply the  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian condition to this normalized disjoint sequence to see that  $\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|Tx_n\| > r - \varepsilon$  if  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, N)$  is sufficiently small. ■

An example that answers [5, Problem 1] is the restriction of an ultraproduct of operators on finite dimensional  $L_1$  spaces constructed in [3].

**Theorem 1** *There is a Tauberian operator  $T$  on  $L_1(0, 1)$  that has an infinite dimensional null space. Consequently,  $T$  is not upper semi-Fredholm.*

**Proof:** An immediate consequence of [3, Proposition 6 & Theorem 1] is that there is  $r > 0$  and a natural number  $N$  so that for all sufficiently large  $n$  there is a norm one  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian operator  $T_n$  from  $\ell_1^n$  into itself with  $\dim \mathcal{N}(T_n) > rn$ . The ultraproduct  $\tilde{T} := (T_n)_\mathcal{U}$  is then a norm one  $(r, N)$ -Tauberian operator on the gigantic  $L_1$  space  $X_1 := (\ell_1^n)_\mathcal{U}$ , and the null space of  $\tilde{T}$  is infinite dimensional. Take any separable infinite dimensional subspace  $X_0$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\tilde{T})$  and let  $X$  be the closed sublattice of  $X_1$  generated by  $X_0$ . Let  $Y$  be the sublattice of  $X_1$  generated by  $\tilde{T}X$  and let  $T$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{T}$  to  $X$ , considered as an operator into  $Y$ . So  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable  $L_1$  spaces and by Lemmas 1 and 2 the operator  $T$  is Tauberian. Of course, by construction  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  is infinite dimensional and reflexive (because  $T$  is Tauberian). Thus  $X$  is not isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  and hence is isomorphic to  $L_1(0, 1)$ . So is  $Y$ , but that does not matter:  $Y$ , being a separable  $L_1$  space, embeds isometrically into  $L_1(0, 1)$ . ■

We want to “soup up” the operator  $T$  in Theorem 1 to get an injective, non surjective, dense range Tauberian operator on  $L_1(0, 1)$ . We could quote a general result [6, Theorem 3.4] of González and Onieva to shorten the presentation, but we prefer to give a short direct proof.

We recall a simple known lemma:

**Lemma 3** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be separable infinite dimensional Banach spaces and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $Y_0$  be a countable dimensional dense subspace of  $Y$ . Then there is a nuclear operator  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  so that  $u$  is injective and  $\|u\|_\wedge < \varepsilon$  and  $uX \supset Y_0$ .*

**Proof:** Recall that an  $M$ -basis for a Banach space  $X$  is a biorthogonal system  $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \subset X \times X^*$  such that the linear span of  $(x_\alpha)$  is dense in  $X$  and  $\bigcap_\alpha \mathcal{N}(x_\alpha^*) = \{0\}$ . Every separable Banach space  $X$  has an  $M$ -basis

[8]; moreover, the vectors  $(x_\alpha)$  in the  $M$ -basis can span any given countable dimensional dense subspace of  $X$ .

Take  $M$ -bases  $(x_n, x_n^*)$  and  $(y_n, y_n^*)$  for  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, normalized so that  $\|x_n^*\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$  and such that the linear span of  $(y_n)$  is  $Y_0$ . Choose  $\lambda_n > 0$  so that  $\sum_n \lambda_n < \varepsilon$  and set  $u(x) = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x_n^*, x \rangle y_n$ . ■

**Theorem 2** *There is an injective, non surjective, dense range Tauberian operator on  $L_1(0, 1)$ .*

**Proof:** By Theorem 1 there is a Tauberian operator  $T$  on  $L_1(0, 1)$  that has an infinite dimensional null space. By Lemma 3 there is a nuclear operator  $\tilde{v} : \mathcal{N}(T) \rightarrow L_1(0, 1)$  that is injective and has dense range, and we can extend  $\tilde{v}$  to a nuclear operator  $v$  on  $L_1(0, 1)$ . We can choose  $\tilde{v}$  so that  $\tilde{v}(\mathcal{N}(T)) \cap TL_1(0, 1)$  is infinite dimensional by the last statement in Lemma 3. This guarantees that the Tauberian operator  $T_1 := T + v$  has an infinite dimensional null space (this allows us to avoid breaking the following argument into cases).

Now  $\mathcal{N}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(T) = \{0\}$ , so again by Lemma 3 and the extension property of nuclear operators there is a nuclear operator  $u : L_1(0, 1)/\mathcal{N}(T) \rightarrow \ell_1$  so that the restriction of  $u$  to  $Q_{\mathcal{N}(T)}\mathcal{N}(T_1)$  is injective and has dense range (here for a subspace  $E$  of  $X$ , the operator  $Q_E$  is the quotient mapping from  $X$  onto  $X/E$ ). Finally, define  $T_2 : L_1(0, 1) \rightarrow L_1(0, 1) \oplus_1 \ell_1$  by  $T_2x := T_1x \oplus uQ_{\mathcal{N}(T)}x$ . Then  $T_2$  is an injective Tauberian operator with dense range.  $T_2$  is not surjective because  $P_{\ell_1}T_2$  is nuclear by construction, where  $P_{\ell_1}$  is the projection of  $L_1(0, 1) \oplus_1 \ell_1$  onto  $\{0\} \oplus_1 \ell_1$ . Since  $L_1(0, 1) \oplus_1 \ell_1$  is isomorphic to  $L_1(0, 1)$ , this completes the proof. ■

**Corollary 1** *There is an injective, dense range, non surjective operator on  $\ell_\infty$ . Consequently, there is a quasi-complementary, non complementary decomposition of  $\ell_\infty$  into two subspaces each of which is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be an injective, dense range, non surjective Tauberian operator on  $L_1(0, 1)$  (Theorem 2). Since  $T$  is Tauberian,  $T^{**}$  is also injective, so  $T^*$  has dense range but  $T^*$  is not surjective because its range is not closed, and  $T^*$  is injective because  $T$  has dense range. The operator  $T^*$  translates to an operator on  $\ell_\infty$  that has the same properties because  $L_\infty$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty$  by an old result due to Pełczyński (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 4.3.10]) (notice however that, unlike  $T^*$ , the operator on  $\ell_\infty$  cannot be weak\* continuous).

For the “consequently” statement, let  $S$  be any norm one injective, dense range, non surjective operator on  $\ell_\infty$ . In the space  $\ell_\infty \oplus_\infty \ell_\infty$ , which is isometric to  $\ell_\infty$ , define  $X := \ell_\infty \oplus \{0\}$  and  $Y := \{(x, Sx) : x \in \ell_\infty\}$ . Obviously  $X$  and  $Y$  are isometric to  $\ell_\infty$  and  $X + Y = \ell_\infty \oplus S\ell_\infty$ , which is a dense proper subspace of  $\ell_\infty \oplus_\infty \ell_\infty$ . Finally,  $X \cap Y = \{0\}$  since  $S$  is injective, so  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasi-complementary, non complementary subspaces of  $\ell_\infty \oplus_\infty \ell_\infty$ . ■

Theorem 2 and the MathOverflow question [10] suggest the following problem: Suppose  $X$  is a separable Banach space (so that  $X^*$  is isometric to a weak\* closed subspace of  $\ell_\infty$ ) and  $X^*$  is non separable. Is there a dense range operator on  $X^*$  that is not surjective? The answer is “no”: Argyros, Arvanitakis, and Toliaas [2] constructed a separable space  $X$  so that  $X^*$  is non separable, hereditarily indecomposable (HI), and every strictly singular operator on  $X^*$  is weakly compact. Since  $X^*$  is HI, every operator on  $X^*$  is of the form  $\lambda I + S$  with  $S$  strictly singular. If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda I + S$  is Fredholm of index zero by Kato’s classical perturbation theory. On the other hand, since every weakly compact subset of the dual to a separable space is norm separable, every strictly singular operator on  $X^*$  has separable range. (Thanks to Spiros Argyros for bringing this example to our attention.)

Any operator  $T$  on  $l^\infty$  that has dense range but is not surjective has the property that 0 is an interior point of  $\sigma(T)$ . This follows from Thm 2.6 in [9], where it is shown that  $\partial\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_p(T^*)$  for any operator  $T$  acting on a  $C(K)$  space which has the Grothendieck property.

## References

- [1] Albiac, Fernando; Kalton, Nigel J. Topics in Banach space theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] Argyros, Spiros A.; Arvanitakis, Alexander D.; Toliaas, Andreas G. Saturated extensions, the attractors method and hereditarily James tree spaces. Methods in Banach space theory, 190, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 337, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [3] Berinde, R.; Gilbert, A. C.; Indyk, P; Karloff, H.; Strauss, M. J. Combining geometry and combinatorics: a unified approach to sparse signal recovery. 2008 46th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (2008), 798–805.

- [4] Diestel, Joe; Jarchow, Hans; Tonge, Andrew. Absolutely summing operators. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 43. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] González, Manuel; Martínez-Abejón, Antonio. Tauberian operators. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 194. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [6] González, Manuel; Onieva, Victor M. On the instability of non-semi-Fredholm operators under compact perturbations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 114 (1986), no. 2, 450–457.
- [7] Lindenstrauss, Joram; Tzafriri, Lior Classical Banach spaces. II. Function spaces. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 97. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [8] Mackey, George W. Note on a theorem of Murray. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, (1946), 322–325.
- [9] A. B. Nasser, *The spectrum of operators on  $C(K)$  with the Grothendieck property and characterization of  $J$ -Class Operators which are adjoints.*
- [10] Nasser, Amir Bahman. <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/101253>
- [11] Royden, H. L. Real analysis. Third edition. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.

W. B. Johnson  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Texas A&M University  
 College Station, TX 77843 U.S.A.  
 johnson@math.tamu.edu

A. B. Nasser  
 Fakultät für Mathematik  
 Technische Universität Dortmund  
 D-44221 Dortmund, Germany  
 amirbahman@hotmail.de

G. Schechtman  
Department of Mathematics  
Weizmann Institute of Science  
Rehovot, Israel  
gideon@weizmann.ac.il

T. Tkocz  
Mathematics Institute  
University of Warwick  
Coventry CV4 7AL, UK  
ttkocz@gmail.com