

# INVARIANT MEASURES AND ASYMPTOTICS FOR SOME SKEW PRODUCTS

J. AARONSON, H. NAKADA, O. SARIG, R. SOLOMYAK

## Abstract:

For certain group extensions of uniquely ergodic transformations, we identify all locally finite, ergodic, invariant measures. These are Maharam-type measures. We also establish the asymptotic behaviour for these group extensions proving logarithmic ergodic theorems, and bounded rational ergodicity. ©1999

## §0 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL FRAMEWORK

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a standard measurable space, and let  $\tau : X \rightarrow X$  be an invertible measurable map. Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a locally compact, Abelian, Polish (LCAP) topological group and let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  be measurable.

The *skew product* transformation  $\tau_\phi : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}$  is defined by

$$\tau_\phi(x, y) := (\tau x, y + \phi(x)).$$

A measure  $m : \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called *locally finite* if  $m(X \times K) < \infty \forall K \subset \mathbb{G}$  compact.

Our program is to identify all  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measures and study their asymptotic behaviour.

It is known ([Fu], [Pa]) that if  $\tau$  is a uniquely ergodic homeomorphism of a compact metric space (with invariant probability  $p$ ),  $\mathbb{G}$  is compact (with Haar probability measure  $m_\mathbb{G}$ ) and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is continuous, then ergodicity of  $\tau_\phi$  with respect to the product  $p \times m_\mathbb{G}$  is equivalent to the unique ergodicity of  $\tau_\phi$ .

For non-compact  $\mathbb{G}$ , it is well known that if  $\tau$  is uniquely ergodic (with invariant probability  $p$ ), and  $\tau_\phi$  is ergodic with respect to  $p \times m_\mathbb{G}$ , then there is no  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant probability on  $X \times \mathbb{G}$  (see e.g. [A1] chapter 8, or [Sc2]).

It is natural to ask (as in [Ve]) for  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measures. There is a natural class of  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measures: the *Maharam measures* which we proceed to describe.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\tau$  be as above and let  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be measurable. We call a probability  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \mathcal{B})$   $(h, \tau)$ -conformal if  $\mu \circ \tau \sim \mu$  and  $\frac{d\mu \circ \tau}{d\mu} = h$   $\mu$ -a.e..

Now let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  be measurable, and let  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous homomorphism. Let  $\mu = \mu_\alpha$  be a  $(e^{\alpha \circ \phi}, \tau)$ -conformal probability on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

The associated *Maharam measure* is  $m_\alpha : \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by  $dm_\alpha(x, y) := e^{-\alpha(y)} d\mu(x) dy$  (where  $dy$  denotes Haar measure on  $\mathbb{G}$ ). The reason for this terminology is that Maharam measures were first considered for  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$  in [Mah].

A Maharam measure is easily seen to be  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant, the dilation from the first coordinate being cancelled by the translation in the second.

The transformations  $\tau_\phi$  considered here have the following properties:

UNIQUE CONFORMAL PROBABILITIES:

For each continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there is a unique  $(e^{\alpha \circ \phi}, \tau)$ -conformal probability  $\mu = \mu_\alpha$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ ;

MAHARAM MEASURES ARE ERGODIC:

For each continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Maharam measure  $m_\alpha$  is ergodic (for  $\tau_\phi$ );

ERGODIC MEASURES ARE MAHARAM:

The only ergodic  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measures are Maharam measures.

### Remarks

1) For  $\mathbb{G}$  compact, the only continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\alpha \equiv 0$ , the only Maharam measures are of form  $m \times m_\mathbb{G}$ , and the above properties for  $\tau_\phi$  are equivalent to its unique ergodicity.

2) As shown in [Sc2], there are abundances of  $(e^{\alpha \circ \phi}, \tau)$ -conformal infinite measures, and of non-locally finite,  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant,  $\sigma$ -finite measures.

We attempt our program in two cases. In §1, we treat the so called *cylinder flow*  $R_{\alpha, \chi} : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $R_{\alpha, \chi}(x, y) := (x + \alpha, y + \chi(x))$  where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and where  $\chi(x) = (\beta + 1) \cdot 1_{[0, \frac{\beta}{\beta+1})} - \beta$  (some  $\beta > 0$ ), the rest of the paper being devoted to certain group extensions of adic transformations by symmetric cocycles (see below).

Let  $S$  be a finite, ordered set, let  $A : S \times S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be an irreducible, aperiodic matrix and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_A \subset S^\mathbb{N}$  be the corresponding (topologically mixing) subshift of finite type (SFT).

Let  $V$  be the adding machine on  $S^\mathbb{N}$ . The *adic transformation* on  $\Sigma$  is the induced transformation of  $V$  on  $\Sigma$  defined (in §2) for all except countably many points  $x \in \Sigma$  by  $\tau(x) = V^{\min\{n \geq 1 : V^n(x) \in \Sigma\}}(x)$ .

For  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ , we consider the *symmetric cocycle*  $\phi_f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  defined by  $\phi_f(x) := \sum_0^\infty (f(T^i x) - f(T^i(\tau x)))$  where  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the shift, the sum terminating as  $T^i(x) = T^i(\tau x) \forall$  large  $i \geq 1$ .

In §2 we show that the class of  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, locally finite measures for  $f$  aperiodic having finite memory is collection of mixtures of the canonical Maharam measures (theorems 2.1 and 2.2).

In §3 and §4, we consider the asymptotic properties of  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  with respect to Maharam measures, where  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is an aperiodic Hölder continuous function.

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , consider the Maharam measure  $m_\alpha : \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by  $dm_\alpha(x, y) = e^{-\alpha \cdot y} d\nu(x) dy$  where  $\nu = \nu_\alpha$  is the  $(e^{\alpha(f)}, \tau)$ -conformal measure. In §4, we show that  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  is boundedly rationally ergodic with return sequence  $a(n) \asymp \frac{n}{(\log n)^{\frac{d}{2}}}$  (see [A2], and/or §4) with respect to  $m_0$ . Bounded rational ergodicity is a strong form of rational ergodicity, and so this entails a kind of absolutely normalized ergodic theorem:

$$\frac{S_n(f)}{a(n)} \rightsquigarrow \int_X f dm_0 \quad \forall f \in L^1(m_0)$$

where  $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$  if  $\forall m_l \uparrow \infty \exists n_k = m_{l_k} \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\forall p_j = n_{k_j} \uparrow \infty$ , we have  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f_{p_j} \rightarrow f$  a.e. as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (see [A1]).

For  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  is squashable with respect to  $m_\alpha$  (see [A1]) and there is no such kind of ergodic theorem. Nevertheless, we show in §3 that the logarithmic ergodic theorem holds:

$$\frac{\log \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \tau_{\phi_f}^k}{\log n} \longrightarrow \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{top}(T)} \quad m_\alpha\text{-a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall F \in L^1(m_\alpha)_+$$

where  $\mu_\alpha$  is the equilibrium measure of  $\alpha \cdot f$  (see [Bo]).

There is some relation between the results of §2 and results in [P-S] remarked at the end of §2. The program in §3 and §4 has been previously carried out in full in [A-W] for  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $f(x) = x_1$ . Bounded rational ergodicity of certain of the cylinder flows was established in [A-K].

Horocycle flows on Abelian covers of compact, hyperbolic surfaces can be considered as “smooth analogues” of the skew products considered here. Ergodic, Maharam measures for these horocycle flows were introduced, and their asymptotics considered in [B-L].

We conclude this introduction with a Basic Lemma, to be used in §1 and §2.

For  $a \in \mathbb{G}$ , define  $Q_a : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  by  $Q_a(x, y) := (x, y + a)$ , then  $\tau_\phi \circ Q_a = Q_a \circ \tau_\phi$ . If  $m$  is an ergodic  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measure, then so is  $m \circ Q_a$  ( $a \in \mathbb{G}$ ) whence, as is well known, either  $m \circ Q_a \perp m$  or  $m \circ Q_a = cm$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

For  $m$  an ergodic  $\tau_\phi$ -invariant locally finite measure, set

$$H = H_m := \{a \in \mathbb{G} : m \circ Q_a \sim m\}.$$

### 0.1 Basic Lemma

- (i)  $H$  is closed;
- (ii) If  $H = \mathbb{G}$ , then  $m$  is a Maharam measure.

#### Proof

(i) By unicity of absolutely continuous invariant measures,  $\exists$  a multiplicative homomorphism  $\Delta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f \circ Q_a dm = \Delta(a) \int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f dm \quad \forall a \in H, f \in L^1(m).$$

For  $f : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous with compact support, we have that  $f \circ Q_{a_n} \rightarrow f \circ Q_a$  uniformly as  $a_n \rightarrow a$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ . Suppose that  $a_n \in H$ ,  $a_n \rightarrow a \notin H$ . This forces  $\Delta(a_n) \rightarrow 0$  since  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists f : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous with compact support such that

$$\int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f dm = 1, \quad \int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f \circ Q_a dm < \epsilon$$

whence

$$\epsilon > \int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f \circ Q_a dm \leftarrow \int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f \circ Q_{a_n} dm = \Delta(a_n).$$

On the other hand  $\exists f : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and everywhere positive, whence  $f \circ Q_a > 0$  and  $\int_{X \times \mathbb{G}} f \circ Q_a dm > 0$  contradicting  $\Delta(a_n) \rightarrow 0$  and showing that  $a \in H$ .

(ii) There is a measurable (hence continuous) homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m \circ Q_a = e^{-\alpha(a)} m$ . Define the measure  $\bar{m} : \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{G}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by  $d\bar{m}(x, y) := e^{\alpha(y)} dm(x, y)$ . It follows that  $\bar{m} \circ Q_a = \bar{m}$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{G})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{G}$ , we have

$$\bar{m}(A \times (B + a)) = \bar{m} \circ Q_a(A \times B) = \bar{m}(A \times B),$$

whence by unicity of Haar measure on  $\mathbb{G}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $\exists \mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\overline{m}(A \times B) = \mu(A)m_{\mathbb{G}}(B) \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{G})).$$

It follows that  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $X$ , and and that

$$dm(x, y) = e^{-\alpha(y)} d\mu(x) dy.$$

The  $\tau_\phi$ -invariance of  $m$  now implies that  $\mu \circ \tau \sim \mu$  with  $\frac{d\mu \circ \tau}{d\mu} = e^{\alpha \circ \phi}$  (it being necessary to cancel the dilation due to translation of the second coordinate by dilation of the first).  $\square$

## §1 CYLINDER FLOWS

Let  $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong [0, 1)$  denote the additive circle (the multiplicative circle being  $\mathbb{S}^1 := e^{2\pi i \mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $R_\alpha(x) := x + \alpha \pmod{1}$ . The natural distance function on  $\mathbb{T}$  is given by the norm  $\|x\| := \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x + n|$ .

For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $\mathbb{G}_\beta \subset \mathbb{R}$  be the closed subgroup generated by 1 and  $\beta$ . Note that  $\mathbb{G}_\beta = \beta\mathbb{Z}$  if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_\beta = \mathbb{R}$  if  $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Consider for  $\beta > 0$ , the function  $\chi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_\beta$  defined by

$$\chi = \chi^{(\beta)} := 1_{[0, \frac{\beta}{\beta+1})} - \beta 1_{[\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}, 1)} = (\beta+1)1_{[0, \frac{\beta}{\beta+1})} - \beta$$

and the skew products (or cylinder flows)  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}} : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{G}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{G}_\beta$  defined by  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}(x, y) = (x + \alpha, y + \chi^{(\beta)}(x))$  for  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .

The goal here is to identify all the locally finite,  $\sigma$ -finite,  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}$ -invariant measures. Write  $\chi_n^{(\beta)} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi^{(\beta)} \circ R_\alpha^k$ .

We recall some information about the continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = 1/a_1 + 1/a_2 + 1/a_3 + \dots$$

of  $\alpha \in [0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . This can be found in [Kh].

The positive integers  $a_n$  are called the *partial quotients* of  $\alpha$ .

Define  $p_n, q_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\gcd(p_n, q_n) = 1$  by

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} := 1/a_1 + 1/a_2 + 1/a_3 + \dots + 1/a_n,$$

then

$$q_0 = 1, \quad q_1 = a_1, \quad q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1};$$

$$p_0 = 0, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1};$$

$$\frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}} < \alpha < \frac{p_{2n+1}}{q_{2n+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{q_n q_{n+1}}.$$

The rationals  $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$  are called the *convergents* of  $\alpha$ , and the numbers  $q_n$  are called (principal) *denominators* of  $\alpha$ .

Recall the Denjoy-Koksma inequality, that  $\|F_{q_n}\|_\infty \leq \bigvee_{\mathbb{T}} F$  for any function  $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of bounded variation ( $\bigvee_{\mathbb{T}} F < \infty$ ) such that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} F(t) dt = 0$ . In particular,  $|\chi_{q_n}^{(\beta)}| \leq 2(\beta+1)$ .

### 1.1 Proposition

$\forall \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}, \beta > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a unique  $(\eta^{\chi^{(\beta)}}, R_\alpha)$ -conformal probability measure  $\mu = \mu_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Proposition 1.1 follows from a more general “folklore theorem” (pointed out to the authors by J-P. Conze and K. Schmidt):

**Theorem** *Let  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and suppose that  $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has bounded variation and  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} h(x) dx = 0$ , then there is a unique  $(e^h, R_\alpha)$ -conformal  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ . Moreover  $\mu$  is nonatomic.*

### Proof

We first prove existence.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the (countable) set of discontinuities of  $h$  and let  $\Gamma_\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_\alpha^n \Gamma$ . As shown in [Ke]:

$\exists X$  a compact metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a homeomorphism,  $\pi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $H : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous such that

(i)  $\pi \circ T = R_\alpha \circ \pi$ , (ii)  $\forall x \notin \Gamma_\infty, |\pi^{-1}\{x\}| = 1, H(\pi^{-1}x) = h(x)$ .

It follows from the Denjoy-Koksma inequality that

(iii)  $|H_{q_n}(x)| \leq \bigvee_{\mathbb{T}} h \forall x \in X \setminus \pi^{-1}\Gamma_\infty$  and hence (by continuity)  $\forall x \in X$ .

By theorem 4.1 in [Sc2],  $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu \circ T \sim \mu$  and  $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = e^{H+c}$ . Since

$$1 = \mu(T^{q_n} X) = \int_X e^{H_{q_n} + cq_n} d\mu \asymp e^{cq_n}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we must have  $c = 0$ .

We claim that  $\mu$  is nonatomic. Otherwise  $\exists x \in X$  with  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$  whence  $\exists \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\nu \ll \mu$  with  $\nu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \delta_{T^n x}$  where  $a_n > 0$ . By  $\frac{d\mu \circ T}{d\mu} = e^H$ ,  $a_n = ce^{H_n(x)}$  for some  $c > 0$  entailing  $\nu(X) \geq c \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{H_{q_n}(x)} = \infty$  and contradicting  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

Now define  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$  by  $\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$ . It follows that  $\nu$  is nonatomic, whence  $\nu(\Gamma_\infty) = 0$  and  $\nu \circ R_\alpha \sim \nu$  and  $\frac{d\nu \circ R_\alpha}{d\nu} = e^h$   $\nu$ -a.e..

Existence and nonatomicity are now established and we turn to the proof of unicity.

We prove that if  $\nu \circ R_\alpha \sim \nu$  and  $\frac{d\nu \circ R_\alpha}{d\nu} = e^h$   $\nu$ -a.e., then  $R_\alpha$  is  $\nu$ -ergodic. This suffices since nonunicity implies existence of  $\mu$  with  $\mu \circ R_\alpha \sim \mu$  and  $\frac{d\mu \circ R_\alpha}{d\mu} = e^h$   $\mu$ -a.e., and  $R_\alpha$  not  $\mu$ -ergodic.

As above,  $\nu$  is non-atomic, and by minimality of  $R_\alpha$ ,  $\nu(J) > 0 \forall$  intervals  $J$ . Thus if  $\pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by  $\pi(x) := \nu((0, x))$  then  $\pi$  is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $\nu \circ \pi^{-1} = \text{Lebesgue}$ . It follows that  $S = \pi \circ R_\alpha \circ \pi^{-1}$  is absolutely continuous with  $S' = e^{h \circ \pi}$  and by theorem 2b in [dM-vS]  $S$  is ergodic (Lebesgue). It follows that  $R_\alpha$  is ergodic ( $\nu$ ).  $\square$

**Remark** The  $(\eta^{\chi^{(\beta)}}, R_\alpha)$ -conformal  $\mu = \mu_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$  can also be obtained using the methods of [Her] (as in [N1] and [N2]):

Define the continuous  $f = f_{\eta, \beta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_{\eta, \beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \eta \cdot x & x \in [0, a(\eta, \beta)) \\ \eta^{-\beta}(x - a(\eta, \beta)) + a(\eta, \beta) & x \in [a(\eta, \beta), 1) \end{cases}$$

where  $a(\eta, \beta) := \frac{\eta^\beta - 1}{\eta^{\beta+1} - 1}$  (this value of  $a$  is forced by the slopes, and continuity of  $f_{\eta, \beta}$ ).

By the theory of rotation numbers,  $\exists 0 < b < 1$ , and an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $\xi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  with  $\xi(0) = 0$ ,  $\xi(1) = 1$  such that  $\xi^{-1} \circ f_\alpha \circ \xi = R_\alpha$  where  $f_\alpha := f_{\eta, \beta} + b$ .

It can be shown that if  $\mu := m \circ \xi$ , then  $\frac{d\mu \circ R_\alpha}{d\mu} = \eta^\chi$ .

#### INVARIANT MEASURES FOR THE CYLINDER FLOW $R_{\alpha, \chi_q^{(1)}}$

Recall that  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  is called a *Legendre denominator* for  $\alpha$  if  $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{2q^2}$ . This is because of Legendre's theorem that a Legendre denominator for  $\alpha$  is a principal denominator for  $\alpha$ .

It is well known that there are infinitely many odd Legendre denominators for any  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

#### 1.2 Sublemma

*Suppose that  $q$  is an odd Legendre denominator for  $\alpha$ , then  $|\chi_q^{(1)}| \equiv 1$ .*

**Proof** in case  $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{2q^2}$ .

Firstly  $\{\frac{kp}{q} \bmod 1 : 0 \leq k \leq q-1\} =: \{0 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_q \leq 1\}$  with  $a_i := \frac{k_i p}{q}$ ; and  $\{\frac{k_i p}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1 : 0 \leq k \leq q-1\} =: \{0 = b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_q \leq 1\}$  satisfy  $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_q < b_q \leq 1$  with  $b_i - a_i = a_{i+1} - b_i = \frac{1}{2q}$ .

Now let  $k_i, \ell_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ ) be such that  $a_i = \frac{k_i p}{q} \bmod 1$  and  $b_i = \frac{\ell_i p}{q} \bmod 1$ . Set  $\bar{a}_i := k_i \alpha \bmod 1$  and  $\bar{b}_i = \ell_i \alpha \bmod 1$ .

We claim that  $\bar{a}_1 < \bar{b}_1 < \bar{a}_2 < \bar{b}_2 < \dots < \bar{a}_q < \bar{b}_q \leq 1$ . The reason for this is that  $|k\alpha - \frac{kp}{q}| < \frac{1}{2q}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq q-1$ ) whence in case  $\alpha > \frac{p}{q}$ ,

$$a_i < \bar{a}_i < a_i + \frac{1}{2q} = b_i < \bar{b}_i < b_i + \frac{1}{2q} = a_{i+1} < \dots,$$

and in case  $\alpha < \frac{p}{q}$ ,

$$a_{i+1} > \bar{a}_{i+1} > a_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2q} = b_i > \bar{b}_i > b_i - \frac{1}{2q} = a_i > \dots$$

Now  $\chi_q^{(1)}$  is a step function with points of discontinuity  $1 - \bar{a}_1 > 1 - \bar{b}_1 > 1 - \bar{a}_2 > 1 - \bar{b}_2 > \dots > 1 - \bar{a}_q > 1 - \bar{b}_q \geq 0$ , and jumps of  $+2$  at  $1 - \bar{a}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) and  $-2$  at  $1 - \bar{b}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ). The values of  $\chi_q^{(1)}$  are of form  $\{v, v+2\}$  for some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The only  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$  permitted by the condition  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_q^{(1)}(t) dt = 0$  is  $v = -1$ . Thus  $|\chi_q^{(1)}| \equiv 1$ .  $\square$

This subsection is based on the following lemma which is obtained from sublemmas 1.1 and 1.2:

#### 1.3 Lemma

$\exists n_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $|\chi_{q_{n_k}}^{(1)}| \equiv 1 \forall k \geq 1$ .

#### Remark

Sublemma 1.2 can be strengthened:  $|\chi_q^{(1)}| \equiv 1$  whenever  $q$  is an odd principal denominator for  $\alpha$ . This is shown in [N1].

For  $\eta > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  define the  $R_{\alpha, \chi_q^{(1)}}$ -invariant, Maharam measure  $m_{\alpha, \eta}$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z})$  by

$$m_{\alpha, \eta}(A \times \{n\}) := \eta^{-n} \mu_{\alpha, 1, \eta}(A).$$

**1.4 Theorem**

1)  $\forall \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\eta > 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}), m_{\alpha, \eta}, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}})$  is a conservative, ergodic measure preserving transformation.

2) If  $m$  is a locally finite measure on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}), m, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}})$  is ergodic and measure preserving, then  $\exists \eta, c > 0$  such that  $m = cm_{\alpha, \eta}$ .

**Proof**

The ergodicity of  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}), m_{\alpha, \eta}, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}})$  was established in [N1] (see [C-K] and also [A-K] for the Lebesgue case  $\eta = 1$ ) and is standard using [Sc1] and lemma 1.3:

$\exists n_k \rightarrow \infty$  (odd Legendre convergents) such that  $|\chi_{n_k}^{(1)}| \equiv 1$  and

$$\mu_{\alpha, 1, \eta}(R_{\alpha}^{n_k} A \Delta A) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}).$$

We prove (2). Let  $m$  be a  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}}$ -ergodic locally finite measure on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . We claim that  $m = cm_{\alpha, \eta}$  for some  $c, \eta > 0$ . By the Basic Lemma and proposition 1.1, it suffices to prove that  $H := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : m \circ Q_n \sim m\} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Indeed, write  $m_k(A) := m(A \times \{k\})$  and suppose that  $H \neq \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\overline{m} := m_{-1} + m_1 \perp m_0$ .  $\exists U \subset \mathbb{T}$  open, such that  $m_0(U) = 1$  and  $\overline{m}(U) < \frac{1}{5}$ , whence  $\exists I \subset \mathbb{T}$ , an open interval such that  $\overline{m}(I) < \frac{m_0(I)}{5}$ .

Given  $0 < p < 1$  and an open interval  $L = (a - r, a + r)$ , denote by  $L_p$  the subinterval  $(a - pr, a + pr)$ . Note that if  $x \in L_p$  and  $|y| < \frac{(1-p)|L|}{2}$  then  $x + y \in L$ .

$\exists 0 < p < 1$  such that  $m_0(I_p) > \frac{m_0(I)}{2}$ . By lemma 1.3,  $\exists k \geq 1$  such that  $\|q_{n_k} \alpha\| < \frac{(1-p)|I|}{2}$  and  $|\chi_{q_{n_k}}^{(1)}| \equiv 1$ .

It follows that

$$R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}}^{q_{n_k}}(I_p \times \{0\}) \subset I \times \{-1, 1\}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_0(I)}{2} &< m_0(I_p) = m(I_p \times \{0\}) \\ &= m(R_{\alpha, \chi^{(1)}}^{q_{n_k}}(I_p \times \{0\})) \leq m(I \times \{-1, 1\}) \\ &= \overline{m}(I) < \frac{m_0(I)}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

The contradiction shows the impossibility of  $H \neq \mathbb{Z}$ , and thus proves 2).  $\square$

**INVARIANT MEASURES FOR THE CYLINDER FLOW  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}$** 

For  $\eta, \beta > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  define the locally finite measure  $m_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})$  by

$$dm_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}(x, y) := \eta^{-y} d\mu_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}(x) dy.$$

Evidently  $m_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \circ R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}} = m_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider the set

$$L(t) = L_{\alpha}(t) := \{a \in [0, 1] : \exists n_k \rightarrow \infty, q_{n_k} t \bmod 1 \rightarrow a\}$$

(where  $\{q_n : n \geq 1\}$  are the denominators of  $\alpha$ ).

It is shown in [Ku-Ni] that  $L(t) = [0, 1]$  for Lebesgue-a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and it is shown in [Kr-Li] that for  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  with bounded partial quotients and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $L(t)$  is finite iff  $t \in \mathbb{Q} + \alpha\mathbb{Q}$ .

**1.5 Lemma**

If  $a \in L(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1})$  and  $q_{n_k} \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \bmod 1 \rightarrow a$ , then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$\chi_{q_{n_k}}^{(\beta)}(x) \rightarrow (\beta+1)\{N - a : N = -1, 0, 1, 2\}.$$

**Proof**

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and suppose that  $|q_n \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} - N - a| < \epsilon$ , then  $q_n \beta = (\beta+1)(N + a \pm \epsilon)$ , whence

$$\chi_{q_n}^{(\beta)} = (\beta+1)(1_{[0, \frac{\beta}{\beta+1})})_{q_n} - q_n \beta = (\beta+1)(L - a \pm \epsilon)$$

where  $L := (1_{[0, \frac{\beta}{\beta+1})})_{q_n} - N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Recalling that  $|\chi_{q_n}^{(\beta)}| \leq 2(\beta+1)$  we see that  $-2 + a - \epsilon \leq L \leq 2 + a + \epsilon$ . It follows that for  $a > 0$  and sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ :  $L = -1, 0, 1, 2$ .  $\square$

**1.6 Theorem**

Suppose that  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  are such that  $L(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1})$  is infinite, then

1) For each  $\eta > 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}), m_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}})$  is a conservative, ergodic measure preserving transformation.

2) If  $m$  is a locally finite measure on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}), m, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}})$  is ergodic and measure preserving, then  $\exists \eta, c > 0$  such that  $m = cm_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ .

**Proof**

The ergodicity of  $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}), m_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}, R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}})$  was established in [N2] and in [St] for  $\eta = 1$  (Lebesgue measure).

We prove (2). Let  $m$  be a  $R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}$ -ergodic locally finite measure on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ . We claim that  $m = cm_{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$  for some  $c, \eta > 0$ . By the Basic Lemma and proposition 1.1, it suffices to prove that  $H := \{a \in \mathbb{R} : m \circ Q_a \sim m\} = \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose otherwise, then  $H \neq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\exists q \geq 0$  such that  $H = q\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $H$  is discrete, it follows that  $\exists a \in L(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1})$  with  $(\beta+1)(N-a) \notin H \forall N = -1, 0, 1, 2$ .

Fix such an  $a$  and set  $E := \{(\beta+1)(N-a) : N = -1, 0, 1, 2\}$  then  $E \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus H$  and  $E$  is finite. Set  $\overline{m} := \sum_{j \in E} m \circ Q_j$ , then  $\overline{m} \perp m$  and  $\exists K \subset \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$  compact such that  $m(K) > 0$ ,  $\overline{m}(K) = 0$ .  $\exists U \subset S \times Y$  open and precompact, such that  $K \subset U$  and  $\overline{m}(U) < \frac{m(K)}{5n}$  where  $n$  is the Besicovitch covering constant for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  ( $n \leq 16$ , see [W-Z]).

For each  $z = (x, y) \in K$   $\exists$  an open rectangle  $R(z)$  with diameter less than  $\frac{1}{2} \min\{|j - j'| : j, j' \in E, j \neq j'\}$  such that  $z \in R(z) \subset U$ .  $\exists$  a finite set  $\Gamma \subset K$  such that  $K \subset V := \bigcup_{z \in \Gamma} R(z)$  and  $\sum_{z \in \Gamma} 1_{R(z)} \leq n$ . Evidently  $\overline{m}(V) < \frac{m(K)}{5n}$ .

We claim that (at least) one of the rectangles  $R = R(z)$  ( $z \in \Gamma$ ) has the property that  $\overline{m}(R) < \frac{m(R)}{5}$ , else

$$\overline{m}(V) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z \in \Gamma} \overline{m}(R(z)) \geq \frac{1}{5n} \sum_{z \in \Gamma} m(R(z)) \geq \frac{1}{5n} m(K).$$

It follows from the restriction on the diameter of  $R$  that  $\{Q_j R : j \in E\}$  is a disjoint collection, whence, if  $S := \bigcup_{j \in E} Q_j R$ , then

$$m(S) = \overline{m}(R) < \frac{m(R)}{5}.$$

Write  $R = I \times J$  where  $I \subset (0, 1)$  and  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  are open intervals. Given  $0 < p < 1$  and an open interval  $L = (a-r, a+r)$ , denote by  $L_p$  the subinterval  $(a-pr, a+pr)$ . Note that if  $x \in L_p$  and  $|y| < \frac{(1-p)|L|}{2}$  then  $x+y \in L$ .

$\exists 0 < p < 1$  such that  $m(I_p \times J_p) > \frac{m(R)}{2}$ . By lemma 1.5,  $\exists k \geq 1$  and  $A \subset I_p$  such that

$$\|q_{n_k} \alpha\| < \frac{(1-p)|I|}{2}, \quad m(A \times J_p) > \frac{m(R)}{3}$$



and

$$\min_{j \in E} |\chi_{q_{n_k}}^{(\beta)}(x) - j| < \frac{(1-p)|J|}{2} \quad \forall x \in A.$$

It follows that

$$R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}^{q_{n_k}}(A \times J_p) \subset S$$

whence

$$\frac{m(R)}{3} < m(A \times J_p) = m(q_{n_k} R_{\alpha, \chi^{(\beta)}}(A \times J_p)) \geq m(S) < \frac{m(R)}{5}.$$

The contradiction shows the impossibility of  $H \neq \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

## §2 LOCALLY FINITE INVARIANT MEASURES FOR TAIL RELATIONS OF SKEW PRODUCTS

Let  $S$  be a finite set of  $s \geq 2$  elements and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_A \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a mixing topological Markov shift and let  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the shift. A *cylinder* set in  $\Sigma$  is a set of form

$$[a_1, \dots, a_n] = \{x \in \Sigma : x_k = a_k \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in S$ . An *admissible word* (of length  $n$ ) is an element  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in S^n$  (or *word*) satisfying  $A_{e_j, e_{j+1}} = 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Note that a cylinder  $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  is nonempty iff its corresponding word  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is admissible. We denote the collection of admissible words of length  $n$ , or *paths* of length  $n-1$  (the number of steps) by  $\mathcal{W}_n$ .

Consider  $T$ 's *tail relation*

$$\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(T) := \{(x, y) \in \Sigma^2 : \exists n \geq 0, T^n x = T^n y\}.$$

Consider the reverse lexicographic order on  $\mathfrak{T}(T)$ -equivalence classes:

$$x \prec y \text{ iff } x_{n_0} < y_{n_0}, \quad x_n = y_n \text{ for any } n > n_0.$$

It is easy to see that for any fixed  $x \prec y$  there are finitely many  $z$  such that  $x \prec z \prec y$ , so the type of ordering in each equivalence class is either  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , or  $\mathbb{Z}^-$ . Let  $\Sigma_\infty$ ,  $\Sigma_{-\infty}$  be the set of maximal and minimal elements, respectively. Introduce functions  $P_{\max}, P_{\min} : S \rightarrow S$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\max}(a) &= \max\{i \text{ such that } A_{i,a} = 1, \} \\ P_{\min}(a) &= \min\{i \text{ such that } A_{i,a} = 1, \} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$x \in \Sigma_\infty \implies x_{n-1} = P_{\max}(x_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

It follows that there are at most  $s$  maximal points, (similarly, at most  $s$  minimal points) and all of them are periodic.

The *adic transformation*  $\tau : \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_\infty \rightarrow \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_{-\infty}$  assigns to each  $x$  the smallest  $y$  strictly greater than  $x$ . Specifically, given  $x \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_\infty$ ,  $\exists \ell \geq 1$  such that

$$x_j = P_{\max}(x_{j+1}) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell-1 \text{ and } x_\ell < P_{\max}(x_{\ell+1}),$$

$\tau(x) = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  where the  $y_k$ 's are defined reverse-inductively:

$$y_k = \begin{cases} x_k & k \geq \ell+1, \\ \min\{i \in S : i > x_\ell, A_{i, x_{\ell+1}} = 1\} & k = \ell, \\ P_{\min}(y_{k+1}) & 1 \leq k \leq \ell-1. \end{cases}$$

It is convenient to restrict  $\tau$  to  $\Sigma_0 := \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \tau^j \Sigma_{-\infty} \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq 0} \tau^j \Sigma_{\infty}$ .

**Remarks**

1) It is possible to visualize  $\Sigma$  as the space of infinite paths in the directed graph  $\Gamma$  with vertex set  $S \times \mathbb{N}$  and edges connecting  $(b, n)$  to  $(c, n+1)$  iff  $A_{b,c} = 1$ .

2) If  $\Omega = S^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the full shift, and  $V$  is the adding machine, then  $\tau$  is the induced transformation  $V_{\Sigma_0}$  in the sense that  $\tau(x) = V^{F(x)}(x)$  ( $x \in \Sigma_0$ ) where  $F(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : V^n(x) \in \Sigma_0\}$ .

3) Adic transformations were introduced in [V1] (see also [V2] and [V3]) in the more general setting of non-stationary Markov chains.

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a locally compact, Abelian, Polish topological group. For  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ , consider the skew product transformation  $T_f : \Sigma \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  defined by  $T_f(x, y) := (Tx, y + f(x))$ .

Now  $T_f$ 's tail relation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}(T_f) &:= \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})^2 : \exists n \geq 0, T_f^n(x, y) = T_f^n(x', y')\} \\ &= \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})^2 : (x, x') \in \mathfrak{T}(T), y' - y = \psi_f(x, x')\} \end{aligned}$$

where the *symmetric (or tail) cocycle*  $\psi_f : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is defined by

$$\psi_f(x, x') := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f(T^n x) - f(T^n x')).$$

Consider  $\tau_{\phi_f} : \Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{G}$  defined by

$$\tau_{\phi_f}(x, y) := (\tau x, y + \phi_f(x)),$$

where  $\phi_f(x) = \psi_f(x, \tau x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f(T^i x) - f(T^i(\tau x)))$ . It is easy to see that the orbits of  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  are exactly the equivalence classes of  $\mathfrak{T}(T_f) \cap (\Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0)$ .

In this section we identify the  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant locally finite measures for certain  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  which we now proceed to describe.

There is a norm  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{G}}$  generating the topology of  $\mathbb{G}$  which is Lipschitz in the sense that  $\forall \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \exists M$  such that  $|\gamma(x) - 1| \leq M\|x\| \forall x \in \mathbb{G}$ . It is not hard to show that  $\forall$  continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \exists M |\alpha(x)| \leq M\|x\| \forall x \in \mathbb{G}$ .

For  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  and  $k \geq 1$ , let

$$v_k(f) := \sup \{\|f(x) - f(y)\| : x, y \in \Sigma, x_j = y_j \forall 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

The collection of *Hölder continuous* functions on  $\Sigma$  is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{G}} := \{f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G} : \exists 0 \leq \theta < 1, v_k(f) = O(\theta^n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$$

and the collection of functions on  $\Sigma$  *with summable variations* is denoted

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} := \{f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(f) < \infty\}$$

and a function  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is said to *have finite memory* if  $\exists N \geq 1$  such that  $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  (equivalently  $v_N(f) = 0$ ). If  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous homomorphism, then  $\alpha \circ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{G}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

A measurable function  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is called *periodic* if  $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, z \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  measurable, not constant, such that  $\gamma \circ f = z\bar{g}g \circ T$ . In case  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{G}}$ , necessarily  $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ . The function  $f$  is called *aperiodic* if it is not periodic.

### 2.1 Theorem

Suppose that  $\Sigma_A$  is topologically mixing, and that  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{G}}$  is aperiodic. For every continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

- 1) there is a unique  $(e^{-\alpha(\phi_i)}, \tau)$ -conformal probability  $\mu_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_0)$ ;
- 2)  $\mu_\alpha$  is non-atomic;
- 3)  $\tau_{\phi_i}$  is ergodic with respect to the Maharam measure on  $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{G}$  defined by  $dm_\alpha(x, y) = e^{-\alpha \circ y} d\mu_\alpha(x) dy$ .

Theorem 2.1 is essentially known (although we indicate the proof). Our main result in this section is

### 2.2 Theorem

Suppose that  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is aperiodic and has finite memory.

If  $m$  is an ergodic,  $\tau_{\phi_i}$ -invariant locally finite measure on  $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{G}$ , then  $m = m_\alpha$  for some continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

### Remark

The collection of all locally finite,  $\tau_{\phi}$ -invariant measures on  $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{G}$  is identified by theorems 2.1 and 2.2 as the collection of mixtures of Maharam measures. This is because by the ergodic decomposition (see e.g. [A1]), any locally finite,  $\tau_{\phi}$ -invariant measure is a mixture of ergodic ones.

Conditions for aperiodicity based on [Kow] were given in §3 of [A-D1]. We'll say that the mixing  $\Sigma$  *almost onto* if  $\forall a, b \in S, \exists n \geq 1, a = s_0, a_1, \dots, s_n = b \in S$  such that  $T[s_k] \cap T[s_{k+1}] \neq \emptyset$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ).

### 2.3 Proposition

Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is mixing and almost onto, and that  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  satisfies  $\phi(x) = \phi(x_0)$ , then either  $\phi$  is aperiodic, or  $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $\gamma \circ \phi \equiv \lambda$ . In particular, if  $\overline{\text{Group}(\phi(\Sigma) - \phi(\Sigma))} = \mathbb{G}$ , then  $\phi$  is aperiodic.

Some of the proofs use the theory of non-singular equivalence relations and we provide some background.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be the standard Borel space. An equivalence relation  $R \subset X \times X$  is called *standard*, if  $R$  is a Borel subset of  $X \times X$ , that is  $R$  is in the product  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ . For any  $x \in X$   $R(x) := \{y : (x, y) \in R\}$  is the *equivalence class* of  $x$ , and for a subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $R(A) = \cup\{R(x) : x \in A\}$  is called the *saturation* of  $X$ . The standard equivalence relation  $R$  is called *countable* if  $R(x)$  is countable for any  $x$ .

For a countable, standard relation  $R, A \in \mathcal{B} \implies R(A) \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $G$  is a countable group of automorphisms of  $X$  then  $R_G = \{(x, g(x)) : x \in X, g \in G\}$  is a countable, standard equivalence relation, and conversely, any countable standard relation  $R$  is generated in this way by a countable group of automorphisms (see theorem 1 in [F-M]). A  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  is called *non-singular* for  $R$  if  $\mu(R(A)) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(A) = 0$ ; it is called *ergodic* if, in addition, either  $\mu(R(A)) = 0$  or  $\mu(X \setminus R(A)) = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .

By a *holonomy* we mean a Borel automorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow \phi(A)$  (some  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ) graph  $\Gamma(\phi) := \{(x, \phi(x)) : x \in A\} \subset R$ . A  $\sigma$ -finite, absolutely continuous measure

$\mu$  is *invariant* for  $R$  if  $\mu(A) = \mu(\phi A)$  for any holonomy  $\phi$ . By corollary 1 in [FM],  $\mu$  is invariant under  $R$  iff  $\mu$  is invariant for the action of any  $G$  with  $R_G = R$ .

The following proposition appears in [P-S].

#### 2.4 Proposition

*Suppose that  $\Sigma_A$  is topologically mixing, and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . There is a unique  $(e^{-\phi_f}, \tau)$ -conformal probability  $\mu_f \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_0)$ .*

*There exists  $M > 1$  such that*

$$(\diamond) \quad \mu([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = M^{\pm 1} e^{-Pm + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma, n \geq 1$$

where  $P = \max\{h_p(T) + \int_{\Sigma} f dp : p \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma), p \circ T^{-1} = p\}$ .

The property  $(\diamond)$  is known as the *Gibbs property*. A  $T$ -invariant probability with the Gibbs property is known as a *Gibbs measure*.

As is shown in [Bo] and [R1]:

- $\exists$  a unique probability  $\mu_f \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$  such that  $\frac{d\mu_f \circ T}{d\mu_f} = \lambda e^{-f}$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- $T$  is exact (whence  $\tau$  is ergodic) with respect to  $\mu$ ;
- $\exists$  a  $T$ -invariant probability  $p_f \sim \mu_f$  such that  $\|\log \frac{dp_f}{d\mu_f}\|_{\infty} < \infty$ ;  
and
- $\exists M > 1$  such that

$$p_f([x_1, \dots, x_n]), \mu_f([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = M^{\pm 1} e^{-Pm + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma, n \geq 1$$

where  $P$  is the *topological pressure* of  $f$  given by the *variational principle*

$$P := \max\{h_p(T) + \int_{\Sigma} f dp : p \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma), p \circ T^{-1} = p\} = h_{p_f}(T) + \int_{\Sigma} f dp_f.$$

The probability  $p_f$  is known as the *equilibrium measure* of  $f$  (being the unique maximizing  $T$ -invariant probability) and is a Gibbs measure.

#### Proof of proposition 2.4

##### Existence

We claim that  $\mu_f$  is  $(e^{-\phi_f}, \tau)$ -conformal. To establish this, we show that if  $a = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ ,  $b = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$  are both nonempty with  $a_n = b_n$ , and  $\kappa : a \rightarrow b$  is defined by  $\kappa(a, x) := (b, x)$  then

$$\frac{d\mu \circ \kappa}{d\mu}(a, x) = e^{-\psi_f((a, x), (b, x))}.$$

Recalling that  $v_a : T[a_n] \rightarrow a$  is defined by  $v_a(x) := (a, x)$  we have that  $v_a^{-1} = T^n : a \rightarrow T[a_n]$  whence

$$\frac{d\mu \circ v_a}{d\mu}(x) = \left( \frac{d\mu_f \circ T}{d\mu_f}(a, x) \right)^{-1} = e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k(a, x)},$$

and, since  $\kappa = v_b \circ v_a^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu \circ \kappa}{d\mu}(a, x) &= \frac{d\mu \circ v_b}{d\mu}(T^n(a, x)) \frac{d\mu \circ T^n}{d\mu}(a, x) \\ &= \frac{d\mu \circ v_b}{d\mu}(b, x) \frac{d\mu \circ T^n}{d\mu}(a, x) \\ &= e^{-\psi_f((a, x), (b, x))}. \end{aligned}$$

*Uniqueness*

Suppose that  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_0)$  is  $(e^{-\phi_f}, \tau)$ -conformal. It follows that if  $a = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ ,  $b = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$  are both nonempty with  $a_n = b_n$ , and  $\kappa : a \rightarrow b$  is defined by  $\kappa(a, x) := (b, x)$  then

$$\frac{d\nu \circ \kappa}{d\nu}(a, x) = e^{-\psi_f((a, x), (b, x))},$$

whence  $\exists M > 1$ ,  $K_n(s) > 0$  ( $n \geq 1$ ,  $s \in S$ ) such that

$$\nu([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = M^{\pm 1} K_n(x_n) e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)} \quad \forall n \geq 1, x \in \Sigma_0.$$

But

$$e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)} = M^{\pm 1} e^{P_n} p_f([x_1, \dots, x_n])$$

and so

$$\nu([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = M^{\pm 2} K_n(x_n) e^{P_n} p_f([x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

It follows that

$$\nu(T^{-n}[s]) = M^{\pm 2} K_n(s) e^{P_n} p_f([s])$$

whence  $\sum_{s \in S} K_n(s) \asymp e^{-P_n}$ ,  $\nu([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \leq M' p_f([x_1, \dots, x_n])$ , and  $\nu \ll \mu_f$ .

Writing  $F := \frac{d\nu}{d\mu_f}$ , we see from  $\frac{d\nu \circ \tau}{d\nu} = \frac{d\mu_f \circ \tau}{d\mu_f}$  that  $F \circ \tau = F \mod \mu_f$ , whence by ergodicity  $F \equiv 1$  and  $\nu = \mu_f$ .  $\square$

**Proof of theorem 2.1**

Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous homomorphism. By proposition 2.4, there is a unique  $(e^{-\alpha(\phi_f)}, \tau)$ -conformal probability  $\mu_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_0)$  equivalent to the equilibrium measure  $p_{\alpha(\phi_f)}$ .

It is shown in [G] (see also [A-D2]) that if  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{G}}$  is aperiodic then  $T_f$  is exact with respect to  $m = p \times m_{\mathbb{G}}$  where  $p$  is some equilibrium measure on  $\Sigma$ . In particular,  $T_f$  is exact with respect to  $m_\alpha \sim p_{\alpha(\phi_f)} \times m_{\mathbb{G}}$ , whence  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  is ergodic with respect to  $m_\alpha$ .  $\square$

Now let  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  be measurable. If  $\exists$  a globally supported,  $\sigma$ -finite  $T_f$ -nonsingular measure  $m$  on  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  such that  $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}), m, T_f)$  is exact, then  $f$  is aperiodic.

To see this, suppose otherwise, that  $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  Hölder continuous, not constant, such that  $\gamma \circ f = z \bar{g} g \circ T$ . Consider  $G \in L^\infty(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})$  defined by  $G(x, y) := \bar{g}(x) \gamma(y)$ , then  $G$  is not  $m$ -a.e. constant and  $G \circ T_f = z G$ . Thus  $T_f$  is not weakly mixing and hence not exact (in particular,  $G$  is  $T_f^{-n} \mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $\forall n \geq 0$ ).

**2.5 Proposition**

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}$ . Any  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, ergodic locally finite measure  $m$  on  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  with  $H_m = \mathbb{G}$  is a Maharam measure, and the existence of such implies that  $f$  is aperiodic.

**Proof**

Let  $m$  be a  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, ergodic locally finite measure on  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  with  $H_m = \mathbb{G}$ . By the Basic Lemma,  $m$  has the form  $dm(x, y) = e^{\alpha(y)} d\mu(x) dy$  where  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous homomorphism and  $\mu$  is  $(e^{\alpha \circ \phi_f}, \tau)$ -conformal. By proposition 2.4,  $\mu$  is equivalent to a Gibbs measure. Such a measure is globally supported on  $\Sigma$ , whence  $m$  is globally supported and so as shown above,  $f$  is aperiodic.  $\square$

By possibly changing the state space, we may assume that  $f(x) = g(x_1, x_2)$  in the assumptions of theorem 2.2. The proof of theorem 2.2 uses lemma 2.6 below.

For  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $\ell \geq 1$ , set  $u_\ell(x) := \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} u(T^j x)$ .

### 2.6 Lemma

Assume  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  is Hölder continuous, then either:

(1)  $\exists z \in \mathbb{S}^1, g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  Hölder continuous, such that  $u = z\bar{g}g \circ T$ ;

or

(2)  $\exists \epsilon > 0, \ell_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\forall \ell \geq \ell_0, x \in \Sigma, \exists y \in \Sigma$  satisfying

$$x_1 = y_1, T^\ell y = T^\ell x$$

and

$$|u_\ell(y) - u_\ell(x)| \geq \epsilon.$$

### Proof

Let  $\mu$  be the measure of maximal entropy on  $\Sigma$  and let  $P : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  be the transfer operator, then  $Pf(x) = \sum_{a \in S} 1_{Ta}(x)e^{-h(T)}f(a, x)$  and  $P1 = 1$ . Define  $P_u : C(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma)$  by  $P_u(h) := P(uh)$ , then  $P_u^n h = P^n(u_n h)$  and (see [G-H]) either  $\exists z \in \mathbb{S}^1, g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  Hölder continuous such that  $P_u(g) = zg$  (which implies (1)), or  $\|P_u^n h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \forall h \in C(\Sigma)$ .

If (2) fails, then  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists x^{(k)} \in \Sigma, \ell_k \geq 1$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) satisfying  $\ell_k \uparrow \infty$  and such that if

$$y \in \Sigma, k \geq 1, x_1^{(k)} = y_1 \text{ and } T^{\ell_k} x^{(k)} = T^{\ell_k} y$$

then

$$|u_{\ell_k}(x^{(k)}) - u_{\ell_k}(y)| < \epsilon.$$

By possibly passing to a subsequence, we can ensure that  $x_1^{(k)} = s \forall k \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_u^{\ell_k} 1_{[s]}\|_\infty &\geq |P_u^{\ell_k} 1_{[s]}(T^{\ell_k} x^{(k)})| \\ &= e^{-\ell_k h(T)} \left| \sum_{y \in \Sigma, T^{\ell_k} y = T^{\ell_k} x^{(k)}} u_{\ell_k}(y) 1_{[s]}(y) \right| \\ &\geq e^{-\ell_k h(T)} \sum_{y \in \Sigma, T^{\ell_k} y = T^{\ell_k} x^{(k)}} (1 - |u_{\ell_k}(y) - u_{\ell_k}(x^{(k)})|) 1_{[s]}(y) \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) P^{\ell_k} 1_{[s]} \\ &\rightarrow (1 - \epsilon) \mu([s]) \end{aligned}$$

□

If  $u : \mathcal{W}_2(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, u(x) = u(x_1, x_2)$  and  $a \in \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$  is a path  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$  of length  $n$ , then  $u_n$  is constant on  $a$ . We denote  $u_n(a) := u_n|_a = \prod_{i=1}^n u(a_i, a_{i+1})$ .

In lemma 2.6, when  $u(x) = u(x_1, x_2)$ , (2) has the combinatorial form:

(2')  $\exists \ell_0$  such that  $\forall \ell \geq \ell_0$ , paths  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell+1}) \in \mathcal{W}_\ell, \exists$  a path  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell+1}) \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$  such that  $a_1 = b_1, a_{\ell+1} = b_{\ell+1}$  and  $u_\ell(a) \neq u_\ell(b)$ .

### Proof of theorem 2.2

By the Basic Lemma and proposition 2.4, it suffices to show that  $H_m = \mathbb{G}$ .

Suppose otherwise that  $H \neq \mathbb{G}$ , then  $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \gamma \neq 1$  such that  $\gamma|_H \equiv 1$ .

Since  $m$  is  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, it is also  $\mathfrak{I}(T_f)$ -invariant and if  $\kappa : A \rightarrow \kappa(A)$  ( $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})$  is a  $\mathfrak{I}(T_f)$ -holonomy, then  $m(\kappa(A)) = m(A)$ ).

Using aperiodicity and lemma 2.6, we fix  $\ell \geq 1$  so large that  $\forall$  paths  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell+1}) \in P_\ell$ ,  $\exists$  a path  $b = b_a = (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell+1}) \in P_\ell$  such that  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_{\ell+1} = b_{\ell+1}$  and  $\gamma \circ f_\ell(a) \neq \gamma \circ f_\ell(b)$ , equivalently  $f_\ell(a) - f_\ell(b) \notin H$ .

Set  $J := \{f_\ell(a) - f_\ell(b_a) : a \in P_\ell\}$ , then  $J \subset \mathbb{G} \setminus H$  and  $J$  is finite. Set  $\overline{m} := \sum_{j \in J} m \circ Q_j$ , then  $\overline{m} \perp m$  and  $\exists K \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  compact such that  $m(K) > 0$ ,  $\overline{m}(K) = 0$ .

Set  $M = |W_\ell|$ . Approximating  $K$  by larger precompact open sets, we see that  $\exists U \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$  open,  $\overline{U}$  compact such that  $K \subset U$  and  $\overline{m}(U) < \frac{m(K)}{2M}$ .

For each  $z = (x, y) \in K$   $\exists$  a set  $W(z) = C(z) \times V(z)$  of form cylinder  $\times$  open such that  $z \in W(z) \subset U$ . By compactness of  $K$   $\exists z_1, \dots, z_N$  such that  $K \subset V := \bigcup_{k=1}^N W(z_k)$ . We claim that  $V$  is a disjoint union of sets of form cylinder  $\times$  open. To see this, let  $L$  be the maximum length of the cylinders  $C(z_1), \dots, C(z_N)$ , then  $V = \bigcup_{k=1}^N W(z_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \bigcup_{c \in W_L, c \subset C(z_k)} c \times V(z_k)$  – a disjoint union. Thus  $K \subset V$  and  $\overline{m}(V) < \frac{m(V)}{2M}$ .

It follows that  $\exists$  a set  $C \times W$  of form cylinder  $\times$  open such that  $m(C \times W) > 0$  and  $\overline{m}(C \times W) < \frac{m(C \times W)}{2M}$ , otherwise  $V$  would not have these properties.

Since  $C \times W = \bigcup_{a \in W_\ell} (C, a) \times W$ ,  $\exists a \in W_\ell$  such that  $m((C, a) \times W) \geq \frac{m(C \times W)}{M}$ .

Next,  $\exists b = (b_1, \dots, b_{\ell+1}) \in W_\ell$  such that  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_{\ell+1} = b_{\ell+1}$  and  $f_\ell(a) - f_\ell(b) \in J$ .

Define  $\tau : (C, a) \times W \rightarrow C \times \mathbb{G}$  by  $\tau((C, a, x), y) := ((C, b, x), y + f_\ell(b) - f_\ell(a))$ . Evidently  $\tau$  is a  $\mathfrak{T}(T_f)$ -holonomy and so by assumption,  $m(\tau((C, a) \times W)) = m((C, a) \times W) \geq \frac{m(C \times W)}{M}$ .

On the other hand,  $\tau((C, a) \times W) \subset Q_{f_\ell(b) - f_\ell(a)} C \times W$  whence

$$\frac{m(C \times W)}{M} \leq m(\tau(C, a) \times W) \leq m(Q_{f_\ell(b) - f_\ell(a)} C \times W) \leq \overline{m}(C \times W) < \frac{m(C \times W)}{2M}$$

and  $\frac{1}{2} > 1$ . This contradiction establishes theorem 2.2.  $\square$

### Remark

The proof of theorem 2.2 establishes the (stronger) statement:

*Suppose that  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  is aperiodic and has finite memory.*

*If  $m$  is an ergodic,  $\mathfrak{T}(T_f)$ -invariant locally finite measure on  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$ , then  $m = m_\alpha$  for some continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

We conclude this section with an application of theorem 2.2 to the “Markov-Pascal-adic” transformations considered in [P-S].

Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_A$  be a mixing subshift of finite type and let  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ . Recall from [P-S], the equivalence relations:

$S_A^+ \subset \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_A$  defined by

$$S_A^+ = \{(x, y) \in \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_A : \exists n \geq 1, x_n^\infty = y_n^\infty, (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ a permutation of } (x_1, \dots, x_n)\};$$

and  $S_A^f \subset \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_A$  defined by

$$S_A^f := \{(x, y) \in \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_A : \exists n \geq 1, x_n^\infty = y_n^\infty, f_n(x) = f_n(y)\}.$$

Evidently  $S_A^+ = S_A^{F^\#}$  where  $F^\# : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^S$  is defined by  $F^\#(x_1, x_2, \dots)_i := \delta_{i, x_1}$  ( $i \in S$ ).

Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}$  is discrete. Evidently if  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  then

$$(x, y) \in S_A^f \iff ((x, 0), (y, 0)) \in \mathcal{T}(T_f)$$

whence

$$(x, y) \in S_A^f \cap \Sigma_0^2 \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, (y, 0) = \tau_{\phi_f}^n(x, 0)$$

and  $S_A^f \cap \Sigma_0^2$  is generated by the induced transformation  $(\tau_{\phi_f})_{\Sigma_0 \times \{0\}}$ .

We claim (as in [P-S]) that if  $f$  has finite memory and  $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a homomorphism, then  $\mu_\alpha$  is  $S_A^f$ -invariant, ergodic.

To see this, recall from theorem 2.1, that  $m_\alpha$  is  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, ergodic; whence  $m_\alpha|_{\Sigma_0 \times \{0\}}$  is  $(\tau_{\phi_f})_{\Sigma_0 \times \{0\}}$ -invariant, ergodic; whence our claim (since  $m_\alpha(A \times \{0\}) = \mu_\alpha(A)$ ).

### 2.7 Corollary

*Suppose that  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) is aperiodic and has finite memory.*

*If  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$  is  $S_A^f$ -invariant and ergodic, then  $\nu = \mu_\alpha$  for some homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

#### Proof

We'll deduce this from theorem 2.2. To do this, we show first that  $\nu(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0) = 0$ .

We claim that all  $S_A^f$ -equivalence classes are infinite (this implies that  $\nu$  is nonatomic, whence  $\nu(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0) = 0$  as this set is countable).

To see this we'll need the *symmetrization*  $F$  of  $f$  defined on the mixing SFT  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  by  $F(x, y) = f(x) - f(y)$  ( $F : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ ). Evidently  $F$  has finite memory.

We claim that  $F$  is aperiodic. If not, then

$$e^{2\pi i q(f(x) - f(y))} = z^{\frac{g(Tx, Ty)}{g(x, y)}} \quad (x, y \in \Sigma)$$

for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $g : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  and then

$$e^{2\pi i q(f_N(x) - f_N(y))} = z^N \frac{g(T^N x, T^N y)}{g(x, y)} \quad \forall N \geq 1, x, y \in \Sigma.$$

Choosing  $N \geq 1$  and periodic points  $y = T^N y, y' = T^{N+1} y'$ , we have for all  $x \in \Sigma_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\pi i q f_N(Tx)} &= e^{2\pi i q f_N(y)} z^N \frac{g(T^{N+1} x, y)}{g(Tx, y)} \\ e^{2\pi i q f_{N+1}(x)} &= e^{2\pi i q f_{N+1}(y')} z^{N+1} \frac{g(T^{N+1} x, y')}{g(x, y')} \end{aligned}$$

whence (!)  $e^{2\pi i q f(x)} = Z \frac{G(Tx)}{G(x)}$  contradicting the aperiodicity of  $f$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the measure of maximal entropy on  $\Sigma$  and let  $P : L^1(\mu \times \mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu \times \mu)$  be the transfer operator. By the local limit theorem of [G-H],  $\exists c > 0$  such that  $\forall$  cylinders  $a, b \subset \Sigma$ ,

$$n^{\frac{d}{2}} P^n(1_{(a \times b) \cap [F_n=0]})(x, y) \rightarrow c\mu(a)\mu(b) \text{ uniformly on } \Sigma \times \Sigma \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now fix  $x \in \Sigma$  and  $N \geq 1$ , then  $\exists n_N$  such that

$$n^{\frac{d}{2}} P^n(1_{([a] \times [b]) \cap [F_n=0]})(T^n x, T^n x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mu([a])\mu([b]) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{W}_N, n \geq n_N$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} |\{y \in X : (x, y) \in S_A^f\}| &\geq |\{y \in X : T^{n_N} y = T^{n_N} x, F_{n_N}(x, y) = 0\}| \\ &\geq |\mathcal{W}_N| \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and establishing our claim.



As mentioned above,  $\nu(\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0) = 0$  and the probability  $\bar{\nu}$  on  $\Sigma_0 \times \{0\}$  defined by  $\bar{\nu}(A \times \{0\}) = \nu(A)$  is  $(\tau_{\phi_f})_{\Sigma \times \{0\}}$ -invariant and ergodic. Define the measure  $m$  on  $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$m(A) := \int_{\Sigma_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi-1} 1_A \circ \tau_{\phi_f}^k d\bar{\nu}.$$

The measure  $m$  is evidently locally finite. By Kac's formula, it is  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -invariant, and by Kakutani's tower theorem it is  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ -ergodic (see e.g. [A1]). Thus, by theorem 2.2,  $m = m_\alpha$  for some homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $\nu = \mu_\alpha$ .  $\square$

### 2.8 Corollary

*Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a mixing, almost onto SFT.*

*If  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$  is  $S_A^+$ -invariant and ergodic, then  $\nu = \mu_\alpha$  for some homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

### Proof

As mentioned above,  $S_A^+ = S_A^{F^\#}$  where  $F^\# : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^S$  is defined by  $F^\#(x)_i := \delta_{i, x_1}$  ( $i \in S$ ). Since evidently  $\text{Group}(F^\#(\Sigma) - F^\#(\Sigma)) = \mathbb{Z}^S$ ,  $F^\#$  is aperiodic by proposition 2.3. The result follows from corollary 2.7.  $\square$

### Remark

Theorems 2.9 and 2.11 in [P-S] both follow from corollary 2.8. In both cases,  $S = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $d = 1$  and  $\Sigma$  is almost onto.

## §3 A LOGARITHMIC ERGODIC THEOREM

As in §2, let  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  where  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $A : S \times S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be an irreducible and aperiodic matrix and let  $\Sigma_A \subset S^\mathbb{N}$  be the corresponding (topologically mixing) subshift of finite type and let  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the left shift.

In this section, we consider the asymptotic properties of  $\tau_{\phi_f}$ , where  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  an aperiodic Hölder continuous function, with respect to Maharam measures. It will be convenient to use the supremum norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| := \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} |x_k|$ .

Fix some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and consider the Maharam measure  $m_\alpha : \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by  $dm_\alpha(x, y) = e^{-\alpha \cdot y} d\nu(x) dy$  where  $\nu = \nu_\alpha$  is the  $(e^{\alpha(f)}, \tau)$ -conformal measure.

As mentioned above, the aperiodicity of  $f$  implies that  $T_f$  is exact with respect to  $m_\alpha$ . It follows that  $\tau_{\phi_f}$  is ergodic with respect to  $m_\alpha$  (generating the the tail relation for  $T_f$ ) and also conservative (being invertible, ergodic and preserving a non-atomic measure).

We prove the

### Logarithmic ergodic theorem

$$(\dagger) \quad \frac{\log \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \tau_{\phi_f}^k}{\log n} \longrightarrow \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{\text{top}}(T)} \quad m_\alpha\text{-a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\forall F \in L^1(m_\alpha)_+$  where  $\mu_\alpha$  is the equilibrium measure of  $\alpha \cdot f$ .

It will sometimes be convenient to denote

$$S_n(F) = S_n^{(\tau_{\phi_f})}(F) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \tau_{\phi_f}^k.$$

The proof the logarithmic ergodic theorem is based on the following two reductions:

Firstly, it is sufficient to establish  $(\dagger)$  for a single  $F_0 \in L^1(m_\alpha)_+$  since then, by the ratio ergodic theorem,  $\frac{S_n(F)}{S_n(F_0)} \rightarrow \frac{\int_X F dm}{\int_X F_0 dm}$  a.e., whence  $\log S_n(F) \sim \log S_n(F_0)$  a.e..

Secondly, in order to establish  $(\dagger)$  for  $F_0 \in L^1(m_\alpha)_+$ , it is sufficient to find:

- (random) subsequences  $M_k, N_k \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\log M_k \sim \log M_{k+1}$ ,  $\log N_k \sim \log N_{k+1}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;
  - sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $m_\alpha(A), m_\alpha(B) > 0$  and
  - subsequences  $M_k : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, N_k : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M_k, N_k \uparrow \infty$ ,  $\log M_k \sim \log M_{k+1}$ ,  $\log N_k \sim \log N_{k+1}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- satisfying

$$(\bar{\dagger}) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_{M_k}(F_0)}{\log M_k} \leq \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{top}(T)} \text{ on } A,$$

and

$$(\dagger) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_{N_k}(F_0)}{\log N_k} \geq \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{top}(T)} \text{ on } B.$$

To see this, note that  $\forall n$  large  $\exists k = k_n \geq 1$  such that  $M_k \leq n \leq M_{k+1}$ , whence  $\frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n} \leq \frac{\log S_{M_{k+1}}(F_0)}{\log M_k}$  and it follows from  $\log M_k \sim \log M_{k+1}$  that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n} \equiv \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_{M_k}(F_0)}{\log M_k}.$$

$$\text{Similarly } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n} \equiv \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_{N_k}(F_0)}{\log N_k}.$$

The functions  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n}$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n}$  are  $T$ -invariant, whence so are the sets

$$\bar{A} := [\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n} \leq \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{top}(T)}], \quad B := [\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S_n(F_0)}{\log n} \geq \frac{h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)}{h_{top}(T)}].$$

By ergodicity, both sets (containing sets of positive measure by  $(\bar{\dagger})$  and  $(\dagger)$ ) are of full measure and  $(\dagger)$  is established for  $F_0$ .

In the Main Lemma (below), we'll establish  $(\bar{\dagger})$  and  $(\dagger)$  for  $F_0 = 1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)}$  and  $A = B = \Sigma \times B_{M'}(0)$  (for some  $M, M' > 0$  where  $B_M(0) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y\| \leq M\}$ ) using the local limit theorem of [G-H] and large deviation techniques.

The subsequences  $M_k, N_k$  are related to counting functions.

We define the *counting functions*  $\Lambda_n : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by

$$\Lambda_n(x) := \min\{N \geq 1 : \{(\tau^k x)_1^n : 0 \leq k \leq N-1\} = \mathcal{W}_n\}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_n$  denotes the collection of admissible words of length  $n$  (as in §2). The reader may easily verify that in case  $\Sigma$  is a full shift,  $\Lambda_n \equiv s^n = |\mathcal{W}_n|$  and consequently  $k \mapsto (\tau^k x)_1^n$  defines a bijection  $\{0, 1, \dots, s^n - 1\} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{W}_n \forall x \in \Sigma$ . In other words,  $\tau$  generates  $\mathfrak{T}$ -equivalence classes efficiently. For a mixing topological Markov shift, as shown by the counting proposition below, the situation is analogous.

**3.1 Counting Proposition** *Suppose that  $\Sigma_A$  is a mixing topological Markov shift, and that  $L \geq 1$  is such that  $A^L > 0$ , then for  $x \in \Sigma_0$ :*

$$|\mathcal{W}_n| \leq \Lambda_n(x) < 3|\mathcal{W}_{n+L}|$$

**Proof.** The left hand inequality follows directly from the definition of  $\Lambda_n(x)$ . To see the right side, assume by way of contradiction that  $\Lambda_n(x) \geq 3|\mathcal{W}_{n+L}|$ , then there is a word  $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{W}_{n+L}$  and  $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < k_3 \leq \Lambda_n(x) - 1$  such that  $\tau^{k_j} x \in [\underline{a}]$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Set  $\tau^{k_j} x = (\underline{a}, z^{(j)})$ , then  $z^{(1)} \prec z^{(2)} \prec z^{(3)}$ . For every  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_n$  choose some point of the form  $x(\underline{\varepsilon}) = (\underline{\varepsilon}, w_0^{L-1}, z^{(2)})$  where  $w_0^{L-1}$  is some word which makes  $x(\underline{\varepsilon})$  admissible. Clearly,  $\tau^{k_1} x \prec x(\underline{\varepsilon}) \prec \tau^{k_3} x$ . Thus  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is spanned by  $\tau^j x$  for  $0 \leq j \leq k_3$  in contradiction to the minimality of  $\Lambda_n(x)$ . The right hand inequality is thus proved.  $\square$

Set  $\lambda := \exp h_{top}(\Sigma)$  and assume without loss of generality that  $L > 2$ . For every  $x \in \Sigma_0$  and  $n$  large enough set

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) &:= \min\{u > n + L : x_{u-1} < P_{\max}(x_u)\} & , & \quad u'_n := u_n - L \\ \ell_n(x) &:= \max\{\ell < n + L : x_{\ell-1} < P_{\max}(x_\ell)\} & , & \quad \ell'_n := \ell_n - L \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{\max}$  is as in §2. By possibly adding a constant to  $f$ , we may assume that  $\int f d\mu_\alpha = 0$  (note that neither  $\phi_f$  nor  $\mu_\alpha$  change when a constant is added to  $f$ ).

Set

$$\rho_n := (n + L) - \ell_n, \quad \sigma_n := u_n - (n + L).$$

**3.2 Lemma**  $\exists M_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n}{\log n}, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{\log n} \leq M_0 \quad a.e. \quad .$$

**Proof** We prove this only for  $\sigma_n$ , the proof for  $\rho_n$  being essentially the same. Set  $P := P_{top}(\alpha \cdot f) = 0$ . Recall that  $\Sigma_\infty$  consists of at most  $s$  points, all of which are periodic. Set  $\Sigma_\infty = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(r)}\}$  and let  $p$  be the least common multiple of the periods of  $x^{(i)}$ , then  $r \leq s$  and for every  $x \in \Sigma_\infty$ ,  $T^p x = x$ . By the definition of  $\sigma_n$ , if  $\sigma_n(x) > b$  then

$$T^{n+L} x \in [P_{\max}^b(x_{n+b+L}), \dots, P_{\max}(x_{n+b+L}), x_{n+b+L}]$$

For  $b > s$  the word  $(P_{\max}^b(x_{n+b+L}), \dots, P_{\max}^{b-s}(x_{n+b+L}))$  is made of a repeating period, hence is the prefix of a maximal point. Applying this argument to  $b_n := \lfloor M_0 \log n \rfloor$ , using the invariance of  $\mu_\alpha$  and the structure of  $\Sigma_\infty$ , we have

$$\mu_\alpha[\sigma_n > b_n] \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_\alpha \left[ x_0^{(i)}, \dots, x_{b_n-s}^{(i)} \right]$$

Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Gibbs measure and since for every  $i$   $T^p x^{(i)} = x^{(i)}$

$$\mu_\alpha \left[ x_0^{(i)}, \dots, x_{b_n-s}^{(i)} \right] = O \left( e^{\alpha \cdot f_{b_n}(x^{(i)}) - b_n P} \right) = O \left( e^{\frac{b_n}{p} \alpha \cdot f_p(x^{(i)}) - b_n P} \right)$$

whence

$$(1) \quad \mu_\alpha[\sigma_n > M_0 \log n] = O \left( \sum_{i=1}^r n^{M_0 \left( \frac{\alpha \cdot f_p(x^{(i)})}{p} - P \right)} \right).$$

It follows from the unicity of the equilibrium measure that  $\frac{\alpha \cdot f_p(x^{(i)})}{p} < P$ . Thus, the exponents in (1) are all negative and for  $M_0$  large enough,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{\alpha} [\sigma_n > M_0 \log n] < \infty.$$

The result follows.  $\square$

The next lemma is the main lemma, being the version of  $(\bar{\mathfrak{I}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{I})$  that we prove. Let

$$B := 2L\|f\| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(\alpha \cdot f)$$

**3.3 Main Lemma** *If  $\exists M > 2B$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log S_{\Lambda_{u'_n}-1}(1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)}) \leq h_{\mu_{\alpha}}(T) \quad m_{\alpha} - a.e. \text{ on } \Sigma \times B_{M/2}(0)$$

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log S_{\Lambda_{u'_n}-1}(1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)}) \geq h_{\mu_{\alpha}}(T) \quad m_{\alpha} - a.e. \text{ on } \Sigma \times B_{M/2}(0)$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the main lemma. Set

$$U_N(x, M) := \{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_N : \forall y \in [\underline{\varepsilon}] \quad \|f_N(y) - f_N(x)\| < M\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_N(x, M) &:= \{y \in \Sigma_0 : \forall z \in [y_0^{N-1}] \quad \|f_N(z) - f_N(x)\| < M\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in U_N(x, M)} [\underline{\varepsilon}]. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.4 Lemma

For each  $M > 2B$ ,  $\exists M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that for all  $(x, t) \in \Sigma_0 \times B_{M/2}(0)$  and  $n$  large enough,

$$|U_{\ell'_n}(x, M_2)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\Lambda_{\ell'_n}-1} 1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)} \left( \tau_{\phi_f}^j(x, t) \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\Lambda_{u'_n}-1} 1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)} \left( \tau_{\phi_f}^j(x, t) \right) \leq |U_{u_n}(x, M_1)|$$

### Proof

Fix some  $x \in \Sigma_0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We estimate  $A_N := \sum_{j=0}^{\Lambda_N-1} 1_{\Sigma \times B_M(0)} \left( \tau_{\phi_f}^j(x, t) \right)$  for  $N = u'_n, \ell'_n$ . By the counting proposition  $\forall j = 0, \dots, \Lambda_N - 1$ ,  $T^{N+L} \left( \tau_0^j x \right) = T^{N+L}(x)$ . Thus  $\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \phi_f \left( \tau_0^k x \right) = f_{N+L}(x) - f_{N+L} \left( \tau_0^j x \right)$ , whence

$$A_N = \# \left\{ 0 \leq j \leq \Lambda_N - 1 : \left\| f_{N+L} \left( \tau_0^j x \right) - f_{N+L}(x) - t \right\| \leq M \right\}$$

Since for  $j < \Lambda_N$   $(\tau_0^j x)_{N+L}^{\infty} = x_{N+L}^{\infty}$ , the map  $j \mapsto (\tau_0^j x)_0^{N+L-1}$  is 1-1, so  $A_N = |B_N|$  where

$$B_N = \left\{ \left( \tau_0^j x \right)_0^{N+L-1} : \left\| f_{N+L} \left( \tau_0^j x \right) - f_{N+L}(x) - t \right\| \leq M \ ; \ 0 \leq j < \Lambda_N \right\}.$$

We now prove the required inequalities. Setting  $N = u'_n$  in the above inequality we have  $\forall (x, t) \in \Sigma_0 \times B_{M/2}(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{u'_n} &= |\{(\tau_0^j x)_0^{u'_n-1} : \|f_{u'_n}(\tau_0^j x) - f_{u'_n}(x) - t\| \leq M \ ; \ 0 \leq j < \Lambda_{u'_n}\}| \\ &\leq |\{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{u'_n} : \forall y \in [\underline{\varepsilon}] \ \|f_{u'_n}(y) - f_{u'_n}(x)\| \leq \frac{3}{2}M + B\}| \end{aligned}$$

and the upper inequality follows with  $M_1 := B + 3M/2$ .

Using the same argument for  $N = \ell'_n$  one shows that for all  $(x, t) \in \Sigma_0 \times B_{M/2}(0)$  and  $n$  large enough so that  $\ell'_n$  is well defined,

$$A_{\ell'_n} \geq \left| \left\{ \left( \tau_0^j x \right)_0^{\ell'_n-1} : \forall y \in \left[ \left( \tau_0^j x \right)_0^{\ell'_n-1} \right] \ \|f_{\ell'_n}(y) - f_{\ell'_n}(x)\| < \frac{M}{2} - B \ 0 \leq j < \Lambda_{\ell'_n} \right\} \right|.$$

Since  $\left\{ \left( \tau_0^j x \right)_0^{\ell'_n-1} : 0 \leq j \leq \Lambda_{\ell'_n} - 1 \right\} = \mathcal{W}_{\ell'_n}$ ,

$$A_N \geq \left| \left\{ \underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{\ell'_n} : \|f_{\ell'_n}(\tau_0^j x) - f_{\ell'_n}(x)\| < \frac{M}{2} - B \right\} \right|$$

and this is the lower inequality for  $M_2 := \frac{M}{2} - B$ .  $\square$

The following lemma provides, together with lemma 3.4, the upper estimation (2) in the Main Lemma.

**3.5 Lemma**  $\forall M > 0 \ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |U_n(x, M)| \leq h_{\mu_\alpha}(T) \ m_\alpha \ a.e.$

**Proof** Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is the Gibbs measure for  $\alpha \cdot f$ , there exists some constant  $K$  such that for all  $y \in [\varepsilon_0^{n-1}]$ ,

$$K^{-1} e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(y) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)} \leq \mu_\alpha [\varepsilon_0^{n-1}] \leq K e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(y) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)}.$$

By the definition of  $U_n$ , for every  $\varepsilon_0^{n-1} \in U_n(x, t)$  and  $y \in [\varepsilon_0^{n-1}]$

$$\mu_\alpha [\varepsilon_0^{n-1}] \asymp e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(y) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)} \asymp e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(x) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)}$$

whence

$$|U_n(x, t)| \asymp \frac{\mu_\alpha(U_n(x, t))}{e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(x) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)}}$$

Thus,  $|U_n(x, M)| = O(e^{nP(\alpha \cdot f) - \alpha \cdot f_n(x)})$ . Recall that according to our assumptions,  $\int \alpha \cdot f d\mu_\alpha = 0$ , so  $P(\alpha \cdot f) = h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)$ . The lemma follows since by the ergodicity of  $\mu_\alpha$ , for almost all  $x \in \Sigma_0$ ,  $\alpha \cdot f_n(x) = o(n)$ .  $\square$

We now turn to the lower estimation (3) in the Main Lemma.

For every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  set

$$E_N(\delta) := \left\{ y \in \Sigma_0 : \mu_\alpha[y_0^{N-1}] > e^{-N(h_{\mu_\alpha}(T) - \delta)} \right\}$$

By the definition of  $U_N(x, M)$ ,  $\forall M > 0, x \in \Sigma_0$  and  $N > 0$ ,

$$(4) \quad |U_N(x, M)| \geq e^{N(h_{\mu_\alpha}(T) - \delta)} [\mu_\alpha(V_N(x, M)) - \mu_\alpha(E_N(\delta))]$$

We prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu(E_n(\delta)) &< 0 && \mu\text{-a.e.} \\ \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu(V_n(x, M)) &= 0 && \mu\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Since for almost all  $x \in \Sigma_0$ ,  $\ell_n(x) \sim n$ , (3) will follow from this, (4) and lemma 3.4

**3.6 Lemma**  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\alpha(E_n(\delta)) < 0$   $\mu_\alpha$ -a.e.

**Proof**  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Gibbs measure, so  $\exists K$  such that  $\forall n \forall y$

$$\mu_\alpha[y_0^{n-1}] < K e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(y) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)}$$

whence

$$E_n(\delta) \subseteq \left\{ y \in \Sigma : K e^{\alpha \cdot f_n(y) - nP(\alpha \cdot f)} > e^{n\delta - nh_{\mu_\alpha}(T)} \right\}.$$

Since  $\mu_\alpha(\alpha \cdot f) = 0$ ,  $P(\alpha \cdot f) = h_{\mu_\alpha}(T)$  so for  $n$  large enough,

$$E_n(\delta) \subseteq \{y \in \Sigma : \alpha \cdot f_n(y) > n\delta/2\}.$$

We will prove that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\alpha \{y \in \Sigma : \alpha \cdot f_n(y) > n\delta/2\} < 0.$$

using large deviations theory (see [El]) for the  $\mu_\alpha$ -distributions of  $\alpha \cdot f_n$ .

Using the Hölder continuity of  $f$  and the Gibbs property of  $\mu_\alpha$ , it is not difficult to prove that the following limit exists for  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [Bo]):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbf{E}_{\mu_\alpha}(e^{p\alpha \cdot f_n}) = P(\alpha \cdot f + p\alpha \cdot f) - P(\alpha \cdot f) =: c(p)$$

where  $P(\cdot)$  denotes topological pressure and  $\mathbf{E}_{\mu_\alpha}$  denotes expectation with respect to  $\mu_\alpha$ .

By standard large deviations theory (see e.g. theorem II.6.1 of [El]):

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\alpha \{y \in \Sigma : \alpha \cdot f_n(y) \geq n\delta/2\} \leq - \inf_{p \geq \delta/2} I(p)$$

where  $I(\cdot)$  is the Legendre-Fenchel transform defined by  $I(p) := \sup_q \{pq - c(q)\}$ .

We outline the (standard) proof that  $\inf_{p \geq \frac{\delta}{2}} I(p) > 0$ .

By theorem 5.26 in [R1],  $c(p)$  is  $C^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (see also [G-H]). By aperiodicity,  $\alpha \cdot f$  is not cohomologous to a constant and therefore (see [G-H])

$$c'(p) = \mu_p(\alpha \cdot f) \quad \text{and} \quad c''(p) > 0$$

where  $\mu_p$  is the equilibrium measure of  $(1+p)f$ . It follows that  $I(p) = q_0 p - c(q_0)$  where  $q_0$  is the maximum point for  $q \mapsto qp - c(q)$  satisfying

$$0 = [pq_0 - c(q_0)]' = p - c'(q_0) = p - \mu_{q_0}(\alpha \cdot f)$$

whence

$$I(p) = q_0 \mu_{q_0}(\alpha \cdot f) - P[(1+q_0)\alpha \cdot f] + P(\alpha \cdot f)$$

By the variational principle,

$$P[(1+q_0)\alpha \cdot f] = h_{\mu_{q_0}}(T) + \mu_{q_0}(\alpha \cdot f + q_0 \alpha \cdot f).$$

Thus,

$$I(p) = P(\alpha \cdot f) - (h_{\mu_{q_0}}(T) + \mu_{q_0}(\alpha \cdot f)) > 0$$

for  $p \neq 0$ , because then  $\mu_{q_0} \neq \mu_\alpha$  ( $\mu_{q_0}(f) = c'(q_0) = p \neq 0 = \mu_\alpha(f)$ ). Since  $I$  is finite and convex (being the Legendre-Fenchel transform of the convex function  $c$ ), it is continuous, whence  $\inf_{p \geq \delta/2} I(p) > 0$ .  $\square$

**3.7 Lemma** *There exists  $M_3 > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0$ , for  $\mu_\alpha$ -a.e.  $x \in \Sigma_0$ ,  $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > N_1 \exists n' < \delta n$ ,  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{n'}$  satisfying*

$$\|f_{n'}(y) - f_n(x)\| < M_3 \quad \forall y \in [\underline{\varepsilon}].$$

**Proof** Fix some  $\delta' > 0$  (to be determined later). By the Ergodic Theorem, for  $\mu_\alpha$ -almost all  $x \in \Sigma$   $\|f_n(x)\| = o(n)$  so there exists  $N_1 = N_1(x, \delta')$  such that  $\forall n > N_1$   $\|f_n(x)\| < \delta' n$ . Since  $f$  is aperiodic and  $\mu_\alpha(f) = 0$ , the  $\mu_\alpha$ -distributions of  $\{f \circ T^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  satisfy a local limit theorem (see [G-H]). Thus,  $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c > 0$  such that  $\forall (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \{+1, -1\}^d$ ,  $k \geq k_0$

$$\mu_\alpha[\forall i \ 3B < \omega_i(f_k)_i < 4B] \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}}$$

where  $(f_k)_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th coordinate of that vector. In particular, for every  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \{+1, -1\}^d$ , there exists  $\underline{u}(\omega) \in \mathcal{W}_{k_0}$  such that

$$(5) \quad \forall z \in [\underline{u}(\omega)] \quad \forall i \ 2B < \omega_i f_{k_0}(z)_i < 5B$$

It follows that for every  $\underline{c} \in \mathcal{W}_L$  such that  $\underline{u}(\omega)\underline{c} \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\forall z \in [\underline{u}(\omega)\underline{c}]$  and  $\forall i$

$$B < \omega_i f_{k_0+L}(z)_i < 6B$$

We use  $\underline{u}(\omega)$  to construct  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ . Fix some  $n > N_1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We begin by constructing words  $\underline{\varepsilon}^i \in \mathcal{W}_{n'}$  such that  $|\underline{\varepsilon}^i| < \delta' n$  and such that for  $N = |\underline{\varepsilon}^i|$  and all  $z \in [\underline{\varepsilon}^i]$

$$(6) \quad |f_N(z)_j| < 7B \quad \text{for } j \neq i$$

$$(7) \quad |f_N(z)_j - f_n(x)_j| < 7B \quad \text{for } j = i$$

We construct by induction sign vectors  $\omega^k = (\omega_1^k, \dots, \omega_d^k)$  and words  $\underline{c}^k \in \mathcal{W}_L$  such that for all  $k$   $\underline{v}^k := (\underline{u}(\omega^1), \underline{c}^1, \underline{u}(\omega^2), \dots, \underline{c}^{k-1}, \underline{u}(\omega^k))$  is admissible and such that (6) holds for all  $z \in [\underline{v}^k]$  with  $N = N_k := |\underline{v}^k|$ . Choose  $\omega^1$  arbitrarily. Assume  $\underline{v}^k$  has been chosen and choose some  $z \in [\underline{v}^k]$ . Define  $\omega^k$  as follows: if  $|f_{N_k}(z)_i - f_n(x)_i| < 7B$  stop and set  $\underline{\varepsilon}^i := \underline{v}^k$ ; else set for  $j = i$   $\omega_j^{k+1} := \text{sgn}(f_n(x)_j - f_{N_k}(z)_j)$ , and for  $j \neq i$ ,  $\omega_j^{k+1} := -\text{sgn} f_N(z)_j$ . Now set  $\underline{v}^{k+1} := (\underline{v}^k, \underline{c}^{k+1}, \underline{u}(\omega^{k+1}))$  where  $\underline{c}^{k+1} \in \mathcal{W}_L$  is some word which makes  $\underline{v}^{k+1}$  admissible. Since at each step we get nearer to  $f_n(x)_i$  in steps bounded from below by  $B$ , this procedure will stop after less than  $\|f_n(x)\|/B \leq \delta' n/B$  steps. It can be easily verified that  $\underline{\varepsilon}^i$  satisfies (6) and (7) for  $N = |\underline{\varepsilon}^i|$ . Now consider

$$\underline{\varepsilon} := (\underline{\varepsilon}^1, \underline{c}^1, \underline{\varepsilon}^2, \dots, \underline{c}^{d-1}, \underline{\varepsilon}^d)$$

where  $\underline{c}^j \in \mathcal{W}_L$  make the above word admissible. The length of  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is at most  $Ld + \delta' dn/B$  so by choosing  $\delta'$  small enough and  $n$  large enough (i.e.  $N_1$  large enough) we can make this length smaller than  $\delta n$  as required. Also, it follows from the construction of  $\underline{\varepsilon}^i$  that for all  $z \in [\underline{\varepsilon}]$ ,

$$\|f_{|\underline{\varepsilon}|}(z) - f_n(x)\| < 8Bd$$

The lemma is thus proved for  $M_3 := 8Bd$ .  $\square$

**3.8 Lemma**  $\exists c > 0, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > N_2$

$$\mu_\alpha \{y \in \Sigma : \forall z \in [y_0^{n-1}] \quad \|f_n(z)\| < 2B\} \geq \frac{c}{n^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

**Proof** The probability in question is bounded from below by  $\mu_\alpha [\|f_n\| < B]$ , and this in turn is bounded below by the local limit theorem.  $\square$

**3.9 Lemma** *There exists  $M_4 > 2B$  such that for almost all  $x \in \Sigma_0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_\alpha (V_n(x, M_4)) = 0 \quad \mu_\alpha\text{-a.e.}$$

**Proof** Fix some arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ . Fix  $n > \max\{N_1, (N_2 + L)/(1 - \delta)\}$  where  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are given by lemma 3.7 and lemma 3.8, then  $\exists M_1, M_2$  such that for almost all  $x \in \Sigma_0$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$   $\exists \underline{\varepsilon} = \underline{\varepsilon}(x) \in \mathcal{W}_{n'}$  such that  $n' < \delta n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall z \in [\underline{\varepsilon}] \quad \|f_{n'}(z) - f_n(x)\| < M_3 \\ \mu_\alpha \left( \left\{ y : \forall z \in [y_0^{n-(L+n')-1}] \quad \|f_{n-(L+n')}(z)\| < 2B \right\} \right) & > e^{-\delta(n-(L+n'))} \end{aligned}$$

Set  $W := \left\{ y : \forall z \in [y_0^{n-(L+n')-1}] \quad \|f_{n-(L+n')}(z)\| < M_2 \right\}$ . Consider the set

$$V'_n := \bigcup \left\{ [\underline{\varepsilon}; \underline{\varepsilon}; y_0^{n-(n'+L)-1}] : y \in W \text{ and } \underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_L \right\}$$

One checks that  $V'_n \subseteq V_n(x, M_4)$  where  $M_4 = M_3 + 3B$ . We estimate the measure of  $V'_n$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Gibbs measure, there exist a constant  $K_1 > 1$  such that  $[\underline{a}], [\underline{b}], [\underline{a}, \underline{b}] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu_\alpha[\underline{a}, \underline{b}] > K_1^{-1} \mu_\alpha[\underline{a}] \mu_\alpha[\underline{b}]$  and a constant  $K_2$  such that  $\forall \underline{a} \in \mathcal{W}_N \quad \mu_\alpha[\underline{a}] > K_2^{-N}$ . Set  $W' := \left\{ [y_0^{n-(n'+L)-1}] : y \in W \right\}$ , then

$$\mu_\alpha(V_n) > K_1^{-1} K_2^{-(n'+L)} \sum_{[\underline{a}] \in W'} \mu_\alpha[\underline{a}] \geq K_1^{-1} K_2^{-(n'+L)} \mu_\alpha(W)$$

Thus,  $\mu_\alpha(V_n) > K_1^{-1} K_2^{-L} K_2^{-\delta n} e^{-\delta n}$ . Since the above is true for all  $n$  such that  $n > N_1, (N_2 + L)/(1 - \delta)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log V_n(x, M_4) \geq -\delta(1 + \log K_2).$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

As mentioned above, lemma 3.6, lemma 3.9 imply via (4) that  $\exists M > 2B$  such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |U_n(x, M)| \geq h_{\mu_\alpha}(T) \text{ a.e.}$$

whence (using lemma 3.4) we have (3). This proves the Main Lemma, and the logarithmic ergodic theorem.  $\square$



## §4 BOUNDED RATIONAL ERGODICITY

Recall from [A2] that a conservative, ergodic, measure preserving transformation  $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$  is called *boundedly rationally ergodic* if there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $0 < m(A) < \infty$  such that  $\exists M > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(\star) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_A \circ T^k \right\|_{L^\infty(A)} \leq M \int_A \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_A \circ T^k \right) dm.$$

The rate of growth of the sequence  $a_n = \frac{1}{m(A)^2} \int_A \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_A \circ T^k dm$  does not depend on the set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $0 < m(A) < \infty$  satisfying  $(\star)$ . This sequence is known as the *return sequence* of  $T$  and denoted  $a_n(T)$  (see [A1]). In this section we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1**

Let  $\Sigma$  be a topologically mixing subshift of finite type, let  $\mu$  be the measure of maximal entropy for  $\Sigma$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$  be aperiodic, then  $\tau_\phi$ , is boundedly rationally ergodic with respect to  $\mu \times m_{\mathbb{R}^d}$  and

$$a_n(\tau_{\phi_f}) \asymp \frac{n}{(\log n)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

To prove theorem 4.1, we show that for  $A = \Sigma \times B_M(0)$ ,  $M$  large,  $\exists 0 < c < C < \infty$  such that

$$\frac{cn}{(\log n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \int_A S_n(1_A) dm_0, \quad \|S_n(1_A)\|_{L^\infty(A)} \leq \frac{Cn}{(\log n)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

As before, these estimations are first carried out along counting function sequences using the local limit theorem. We begin with the upper estimation.

Since  $\phi_f$  is invariant under addition of constants to  $f$ , we can and do assume that  $E_\mu(f) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2**

$\forall M > 0$ ,  $\exists A(M) > 0$  such that

$$\mu[\|f_n(\cdot) - b\| \leq M] \leq A(M)n^{-d/2} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proof** Set  $F := [\|y\| \leq M] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and fix some  $a = a(M) > 0$  such that

$$1_F(y_1, \dots, y_d) \leq 2 \prod_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{\sin ay_i}{ay_i} \right)^2 = \hat{\gamma}(y)$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\gamma(t) := 2(\frac{\pi}{2a^2})^{d/2} 1_{[\|t\| \leq 2a]}(t) \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - |\frac{t_i}{2a}|)$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu[\|f_n - b\| \leq M] &= \mathbf{E}_\mu(1_F(f_n - b)) \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}_\mu(\hat{\gamma}(f_n - b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{[\|t\| \leq 2a]} e^{ib \cdot t} \mathbf{E}_\mu(e^{-it \cdot f_n}) \gamma(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{[\|t\| \leq 2a]} |\mathbf{E}_\mu(e^{-it \cdot f_n})| \gamma(t) dt =: A_n(M) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last term,  $A_n(M)$ , is independent of the choice of  $b$ .

As shown in [G-H], there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda : [\|\cdot\| < \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda(t) = 1 - ct^2 + o(\|t\|^2)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ; and that for some  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $K > 0$ ,

$$E_\mu(e^{-it \cdot f_n}) = \begin{cases} \lambda(t)^n + O(\theta^n) & \|t\| \leq \varepsilon, \\ O(\theta^n) & \|t\| \in [\varepsilon, 2a]. \end{cases}$$

Making  $\varepsilon$  smaller if necessary, we assume that for all  $\|t\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,

$$|\lambda(t)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}ct^2 \leq e^{-ct^2}$$

Using the above to estimate  $A_n(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(M) &\propto \int_{[\|t\| \leq 2a]} |\mathbf{E}_\mu(e^{-it \cdot f_n})| \gamma(t) dt \\ &= 2 \int_{[\|t\| \leq \varepsilon]} |\lambda(t)|^n \gamma(t) dt + 2K\theta^n \\ &= \frac{2}{n^{d/2}} \int_{[\|\tau\| \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{n}]} \left| \lambda\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right|^n \gamma\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) d\tau + 2K\theta^n \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n^{d/2}} \int_{[\|\tau\| \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{n}]} e^{-c\tau^2} \gamma\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) d\tau + 2K\theta^n \\ &\sim \frac{2\gamma(0)}{n^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-c\tau^2} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from this.  $\square$

Set  $B := L\|f\|_\infty + \sum_{k>0} v_k(f)$  where  $L$ , as usual is some number such that all the entries of  $A^L$  are positive. Fix some  $M > 4B$ , set

$$A := \Sigma_0 \times [\|t\| \leq M]$$

and  $\varphi(x, t) := 1_A$ .

**Lemma 4.3** *There is some  $C_1 > 0$  such that for almost all  $(x, t)$ ,*

$$|S_{\Lambda_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t)| \leq C_1 \frac{\lambda^n}{n^{d/2}}$$

**Proof** Let  $s$  be the number of states of  $\Sigma$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) &:= \inf\{u \geq n + (L + s + 2) : x_{u-1} < P_{\max}(x_u)\} \\ \ell_n(x) &:= \sup\{\ell \leq n + L : x_{\ell-1} < P_{\max}(x_\ell)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mu$  almost all  $x \in \Sigma$  these are finite. For such  $x$  we have the following representation:

$$x = (x_0^{\ell_n-1}, P_{\max}^{u_n-\ell_n-1}(x_{u_n-1}), \dots, P_{\max}(x_{u_n-1}), x_{u_n-1}, x_{u_n}^\infty)$$

Define  $k_n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  by the equation

$$\tau^{k_n(x)}(x) = (P_{\max}^{u_n-1}(x_{u_n-1}), \dots, P_{\max}(x_{u_n-1}), x_{u_n-1}, x_{u_n}^\infty)$$

Let  $b > x_{u_n-1}$  be the minimal state such that  $t_{bu_n} = 1$ , then

$$\tau^{k_n(x)+1}(x) = (P_{\min}^{u_n-1}(b), \dots, P_{\min}(b), b, x_{u_n}^\infty)$$

We estimate  $S_{\Lambda_n} 1_A$  by breaking it into three members

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Lambda_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t) &= S_{k_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t) + S_{\Lambda_n(x) - k_n(x)}(1_A)(\tau_{\phi_f}^{k_n(x)}(x, t)) \\ &\leq S_{k_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t) + S_{\Lambda_n(\tau^{k_n(x)+1}x)}(1_A)(\tau_{\phi_f}^{k_n(x)+1}(x, t)) + 1 \\ &=: I + II + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality follows from the minimality of  $\Lambda_n(x)$  as  $\{(\tau^j x)_0^{n-1} : 0 \leq j \leq k_n(x) + 1 + \Lambda_n(\tau^{k_n(x)+1}x)\} = \mathcal{W}_n$ .

To estimate  $I$ , we begin by noting that the map  $j \mapsto (\tau^j x)_0^{\ell_n-1}$  is 1-1 for  $0 \leq j \leq k_n - 1$ . To see this note that for such  $j$ ,  $x \prec \tau^j x \prec \tau^{k_n} x$  in the reverse lexicographic order whence

$$x_{\ell_n}^\infty = (\tau^{k_n} x)_{\ell_n}^\infty = (P_{\max}^{u_n - \ell_n - 1}(x_{u_n - 1}), \dots, P_{\max}(x_{u_n - 1}), x_{u_n - 1}, x_{u_n}^\infty)$$

Thus the difference between the  $\tau^j x$ 's must be reflected in the first  $\ell_n$  coordinates. Since  $\ell_n \leq n + L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k_n}(1_A)(x, t) &= |\{0 \leq j \leq k_n - 1 : \|t + (\phi_f)_j(x)\| \leq M\}| \\ &= |\{0 \leq j \leq k_n - 1 : \|f_{n+L}(\tau^j x) - f_{n+L}(x) - t\| \leq M\}| \\ &\leq |\{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{n+L} : \forall y \in [\underline{\varepsilon}] \ \|f_{n+L}(y) - f_{n+L}(x) - t\| \leq M + B\}| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu$ , being the measure of maximal entropy, is the Gibbs measure for the zero potential, there is some constant  $K$  such that for every  $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ ,  $K^{-1}\lambda^n < \mu[\underline{a}] \leq K\lambda^n$ . In particular, cylinders of the same length are of comparable sizes whence

$$|S_{k_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t)| \leq K\lambda^{n+L}\mu[\|f_n(\cdot) - f_n(x) - t\| \leq M + 2B]$$

Lemma 4.2 now implies that  $I = O(\lambda^n n^{-d/2})$  uniformly on  $A$ .

We now estimate  $II$ . Set  $(x', t') := \tau_{\phi_f}^{k_n(x)+1}(x, t)$ .

We have to estimate  $S_{\Lambda_n(x')}(1_A)(x', t')$ . We do this by showing that

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_n(x') \leq k_n(x')$$

thus reducing the problem to that which was discussed in the previous step.

There exists  $n + L + 1 < u'_n < u_n(x')$  such that  $P_{\min}(x'_{u'_n}) < P_{\max}(x'_{u'_n})$  since otherwise, there would be an admissible word  $[a_1, \dots, a_r]$  for some  $r \leq s + 1$  with  $a_1 = a_r$  and  $P_{\max}(a_j) = P_{\min}(a_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ). This contradicts the aperiodicity of  $A$ .

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= (P_{\min}^{u'_n}(x'_{u'_n}), \dots, P_{\min}(x'_{u'_n}), (x')_{u'_n}^{u'_n-1}, x_{u_n}^\infty) \\ y &:= (P_{\max}^{u'_n}(x'_{u'_n}), \dots, P_{\max}(x'_{u'_n}), (x')_{u'_n}^{u'_n-1}, x_{u_n}^\infty) \\ \tau^{k_n(x')} x' &= (P_{\max}^{u_n}(x_{u_n}), \dots, P_{\max}(x_{u_n}), x_{u_n}^\infty) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u'_n > n + L + 1$ , for every  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_n$  there is some  $w_0^{L-1}$  such that  $x(\underline{\varepsilon}) := (\underline{\varepsilon}, w_0^{L-1}, y_{n+L}^\infty)$  is admissible and since  $u'_n < u_n$ ,  $x' \prec x(\underline{\varepsilon}) \prec \tau^{k_n(x')+1}x'$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is spanned by  $(\tau^j(x'))_0^{n-1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k_n(x') - 1$ , whence (8).  $\square$

This completes the upper estimation, and we now address the lower estimation.

**Lemma 4.4** *There exists  $n_0$  such that for all  $x$ ,  $\exists 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq \Lambda_{n+n_0}(x) - 1$  such that for every  $i_1 \leq j \leq i_2$ ,  $(\tau^j x)_{n+L}^\infty$  is the same, and  $\{(\tau^j x)_0^{n-1} : i_1 \leq j \leq i_2\} = \mathcal{W}_n$ .*

**Proof** Let  $L$  be large enough such that  $A^L > 0$  and set  $n_0 := L + n_1$  where  $|\mathcal{W}_{n_1}| \geq 3$ . Choose three different  $\underline{a}_j \in \mathcal{W}_{n_1}$ . There are  $0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq \Lambda_{n+n_0} - 1$  such that  $z^{(j)} := T^{n+L}(\tau^{k_j} x) \in [\underline{a}_j]$ . In particular,  $z^{(j)}$  are different. Without loss of generality,  $z^{(1)} \prec z^{(2)} \prec z^{(3)}$ . For every  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , construct an admissible word of the form  $x(\underline{\varepsilon}) = (\underline{\varepsilon}, w_0^{L-1}, z^{(2)})$ . Let  $x^-$  and  $x^+$  be the minimal and maximal points among the  $x(\underline{\varepsilon})$ . Clearly,  $\tau^{k_1} x \prec x^- \prec x^+ \prec \tau^{k_3} x$  whence  $\exists 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq \Lambda_{n+n_0}(x) - 1$  such that  $x^- = \tau^{i_1} x$  and  $x^+ = \tau^{i_2} x$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is spanned by  $\tau^j x$  for  $j = i_1, \dots, i_2$ . Since  $(x^-)_{n+L}^\infty = (x^+)_{n+L}^\infty = z^{(2)}$ ,  $(\tau^j x)_{n+L}^\infty$  is constant for  $j = i_1, \dots, i_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5** *There exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that for  $n$  large enough,*

$$\int_A S_{\Lambda_n(x)}(1_A)(x, t) dm(x, t) \geq C_2 \frac{\lambda^n}{n^{d/2}}$$

**Proof** It is enough to prove that for some  $C_3$  and all  $\|t\| \leq B$ ,

$$\int_\Sigma S_{\Lambda_n(x)}(\varphi)(x, t) d\mu(x) \geq C_3 \frac{\lambda^n}{n^{d/2}}$$

(the lemma will then follow by integration  $dt$  over  $\{\|t\| \leq B\}$ ).

By lemma 4.4 for some  $n_0$ , for every  $x \in \Sigma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq \Lambda_{n+n_0}(x) - 1$  such that  $(\tau^j x)_{n+L}^\infty$  is constant for  $j = i_1, \dots, i_2$  and such that  $\mathcal{W}_n = \{(\tau^j x)_0^{n-1} : j = i_1, \dots, i_2\}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Lambda_{n+n_0}(x)}(1_A)(x, t) &\geq \sum_{j=i_1}^{i_2} (1_A \circ \tau_{\phi_j}^j)(x, t) \\ &= |\{i_1 \leq j \leq i_2 : \|f_{n+L}(\tau^j x) - f_{n+L}(x) - t\| < M\}| \\ &= |\{(\tau^j x)_0^{n+L-1} : j \in [i_1, i_2], \|f_{n+L}(\tau^j x) - f_{n+L}(x) - t\| < M\}| \\ &\geq |\{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_n : \exists y \in [\underline{\varepsilon}], \|f_n(y) - f_n(x)\| \leq M - 4B\}| \\ &\geq K^{-1} \lambda^n \mu[\|F_n(x, \cdot)\| \leq M - 4B] \end{aligned}$$

where  $F : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is the symmetrization of  $f$  (as in the proof of corollary 2.7) given by  $F(x, y) = f(x) - f(y)$ , and  $F_n(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(T^i x, T^i y)$ . Integrating with respect to  $d\mu(x)$  we have for all  $\|t\| < B$ ,

$$\int_\Sigma S_{\Lambda_{n+n_0}(x)}(1_A)(x, t) \geq K^{-1} \lambda^n (\mu \times \mu)[\|F_n\| \leq M - 4B].$$

As in the proof of corollary 2.7,  $(\Sigma \times \Sigma, T \times T)$  is a subshift of finite type,  $F : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is Hölder continuous, and  $F$  is aperiodic. Thus, by the local limit theorem of [G-H]  $F_n$  satisfy a local limit theorem:

$$(\mu \times \mu)[\|F_n\| \leq M - 4B] \propto \frac{1}{n^{d/2}}.$$

$\square$

**Proof of theorem 4.1** We prove that for  $M > 4B$ ,  $A := \Sigma \times \{t : \|t\| < M\}$  satisfies that

$$\|S_N 1_A\|_\infty = O(E_m S_N(1_A)) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

By the counting proposition, uniformly in  $x$ ,  $\Lambda_n(x) \asymp |\mathcal{W}_n| \asymp \lambda^n$ . Therefore, there exists  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \in \Sigma_0$  and  $n$ ,  $\lambda^{n-c+1} \leq \Lambda_n(x) \leq \lambda^{n+c}$ . Fix  $N > \lambda^{1+c}$

and choose the  $n$  such that  $\lambda^n \leq N < \lambda^{n+1}$ . The last estimations imply that for every  $x \in \Sigma_0$ ,

$$\Lambda_{n-c}(x) \leq N < \Lambda_{n+c}(x)$$

whence, by the preceding lemmas, for almost all every  $(x, t) \in A$  and  $N$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(1_A)(x, t) &\leq \frac{C_1 \lambda^{n+c}}{(n+c)^{d/2}} \\ \int_A S_N(1_A) dm &\geq \frac{C_2 \lambda^{n-c}}{(n-c)^{d/2}} \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows from this.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [A1] J. Aaronson, *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*, Mathematical surveys and monographs **50**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I, U.S., 1997.
- [A2] J. Aaronson, Rational ergodicity, bounded rational ergodicity and some continuous measures on the circle, *Israel J. Maths.*, **33**, (1979), 181-197.
- [A-D1] J. Aaronson and M. Denker, Local limit theorems for Gibbs-Markov maps, *Preprint* (1996)
- [A-D2] ———, Group extensions of Gibbs-Markov maps, *Preprint* (1999)
- [A-K] J. Aaronson and M. Keane, The visits to zero of some deterministic random walks, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **44**, (1982), 535-553.
- [A-W] J. Aaronson and B. Weiss, On the asymptotics of a 1-parameter family of infinite measure preserving transformations, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, **29**, (1998), 181-193.
- [B-L] M. Babillot, F. Ledrappier, Geodesic paths and horocycle flow on abelian covers. in: Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996) 1-32, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.* **14**, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.
- [Bo] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **470**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [C-K] J.-P. Conze, M. Keane, Ergodicité d'un flot cylindrique, (French) *Séminaire de Probabilités I* (Univ. Rennes, Rennes, 1976).
- [El] R. Ellis, *Entropy, large deviations and statistical mechanics*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **271**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1985.
- [F-M] J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, Ergodic equivalence relations. cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, Volume 234, **2**, (1977), 289-324.
- [Fu] H. Furstenberg, Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus, *Amer. J. Math.* **83**, (1961), 573-601.
- [G] Y. Guivarc'h, Propriétés ergodiques, en mesure infinie, de certains systèmes dynamiques fibrés, *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.* **9**, (1989), 433-453.

- [G-H] Y. Guivarc'h, J. Hardy, Théorèmes limites pour une class de chaines de Markov et applications aux difféomorphismes d'Anosov. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, **24**, 73-98 (1988).
- [H-I-K] A. Hajian, Y. Ito, S. Kakutani, Invariant measures and orbits of dissipative transformations, *Adv. Math*, **9**, (1972), 52-65.
- [Her] M. Herman, Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations (French), *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **49**, (1979), 5-233.
- [Ka] V. Kaimanovich, Ergodic properties of the horocycle flow and classification of Fuchsian groups, *Preprint*, (1998).
- [Ke] M. Keane, Interval exchange transformations, *Math. Z.*, **141**, (1975), 25-31.
- [Kh] A.Ya. Khinchin, *Continued Fractions*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1964.
- [Kow] Z.S. Kowalski, Quasi markovian transformations, *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.* **17**, (1997), 885-898.
- [Kr-Li] C. Kraaikamp, P. Liardet, Good approximations and continued fractions, *Proc. A.M.S.* **112**, (1991), 303-309.
- [Ku-Ni] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, J. Wiley, N.Y., 1974.
- [Mah] D. Maharam, Incompressible transformations, *Fund. Math.* **56**, (1964), 35-50.
- [dM-vS] W. de Melo, S. van Strien, *One-dimensional dynamics*, Ergebnisse Math. u. Grenzgeb. (3), **25**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [N1] H. Nakada, Piecewise linear homeomorphisms of type III and the ergodicity of cylinder flows, *Keio Math. Sem. Rep.* **7**, (1982), 29-40.
- [N2] ———, On a classification of PL-homeomorphisms of a circle. In: *Probab. th. and math. stat. (Tbilisi 1982)*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1021**, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1983), 474-480
- [Pa] W. Parry, Compact abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, **13**, (1969), 95-113.
- [P-S] K. Petersen, K. Schmidt, Symmetric Gibbs measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349**, (1997), 2775-2811.
- [Po] M. Pollicott,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -covers of horosphere foliations, *Preprint*, (1998).
- [R1] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic formalism (the mathematical structures of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics)* Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications **5**), 1978.
- [R2] ———, Statistical mechanics of a one-dimensional lattice gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **9**, (1968), 267-278.
- [Sc1] K. Schmidt, *Cocycles of Ergodic Transformation Groups*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1**, MacMillan Co. of India, 1977.
- [Sc2] ———, Unique ergodicity and related problems, pp 188-198 in: *Ergodic theory, proceedings Oberwolfach 1978*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **729**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.

- [St] M. Stewart, Irregularities of uniform distribution, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **37** (1981), 185–221.
- [Ve] W. A. Veech, Topological dynamics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83**, (1977), 775–830.
- [V1] A. M. Vershik, A theorem on Markov periodic approximation in ergodic theory (Russian), Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 14, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI), **115**, (1982), 72–82.
- [V2] ——— A theorem on the periodic Markov approximation in ergodic theory. *J. Soviet Math.*, **28**, (1985), 667–674.
- [V3] ——— A new model of the ergodic transformations, *Dynamical systems and ergodic theory (Warsaw, 1986)*, 381–384, Banach Center Publ., **23**, PWN, Warsaw, 1989.
- [W-Z] R.L. Wheeden, A. Zygmund, *Measure and integral. An introduction to real analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **43**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1977.

(Jon Aaronson) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, RAMAT AVIV,  
69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* aaro@math.tau.ac.il

(Hitoshi Nakada) DEPT. MATH., KEIO UNIVERSITY, HIYOSHI 3-14-1 KOHOKU, YOKOHAMA 223,  
JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* nakada@math.keio.ac.jp

(Omri Sarig) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, RAMAT AVIV,  
69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* sarig@math.tau.ac.il

(Rita Solomyak) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON,  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195-4350, USA  
*E-mail address:* rsolom@math.washington.edu