Cell–cell interactions, mediated by members of the cadherin family of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent adhesion molecules, play key roles in morphogenetic processes as well as in the transcription of long-range growth and differentiation signals. In muscle differentiation cell adhesion is involved in both early stages of myogenic induction and in later stages of myoblast interaction and fusion. In this study we have explored the involvement of a specific cadherin, namely N-cadherin, in myogenic differentiation. For that purpose we have treated different established lines of cultured myoblasts with beads coated with N-cadherin–specific ligands, including a recombinant N-cadherin extracellular domain, and anti-N-cadherin antibodies. Immunofluorescent labeling for cadherins and catenins indicated that treatment with the cadherin-reactive beads for several hours enhances the assembly of cell–cell adherens-type junctions. Moreover, immunofluorescence and immunoblotting analyses indicated that treatment with the beads for 12–24 h induces myogenin expression and growth arrest, which are largely independent of cell plating density. Upon longer incubation with the beads (2–3 d) a major facilitation in the expression of several muscle-specific sarcomeric proteins and in cell fusion into myotubes was observed. These results suggest that surface clustering or immobilization of N-cadherin can directly trigger signaling events, which promote the activation of a myogenic differentiation program.

INTRODUCTION

Intercellular adhesion plays key roles in tissue formation and in the transduction of transmembrane signals affecting cell growth, motility, and differentiation. One of the most prominent and widespread groups of adhesion molecules involved in such interactions is the cadherin family, whose members mediate homophilic and Ca$^{2+}$-dependent cell–cell adhesion in a wide variety of tissues (for review, see Geiger and Ayalon, 1992; Overduin et al., 1995; Shapiro et al., 1995; Takeichi, 1995). Cadherins are transmembrane molecules that interact with similar cadherins on neighboring cells via their ectodomains and with the actin-based cytoskeleton via their cytoplasmic regions. In addition, it has recently been established that a variety of signaling molecules are associated with cadherin-containing junctions, including receptor tyrosine kinases and cytoplasmic kinases of src family (Geiger et al., 1990, 1995; Yamada and Geiger, 1997). This colocalization suggested that accumulation of these molecules in junctional sites may lead to their activation and to adhesion-mediated signaling. Interestingly, the deterioration of these complexes as a result of cadherin or vinculin deficiency (Rodriguez Fernandez et al., 1993; Birchmeier, 1995; Volberg et al., 1995) or extensive tyrosine phosphorylation (Volberg et al., 1992; Ayalon and Geiger, 1997) is commonly found in malignant cells, leading to their anaplastic morphology and deregulated growth (Tsukita et al., 1993; Birchmeier and Behrens, 1994; Birchmeier, 1995). Recent evidence also indicates that junctional proteins, such as β-catenin and plakoglobin, can play a critical role in regulating cell fate not just by controlling the assembly of adherens junctions but also by directly activating transcription in the nucleus (Barth et al., 1997). Cadherin-mediated adhesion is also indirectly implicated in the differentiation of various cell types, including muscle cells (Knudsen, 1990; Knudsen et al., 1995).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

All myoblast lines examined in this study, including C2 mouse skeletal myoblasts and L8 and L84 rat skeletal myoblasts, were kindly provided by Dr. D. Yaffe (The Weizmann Institute of Science) (Yaffe and Saxel, 1976, 1977). The cells were cultured in subconfluent densities at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 8% CO₂ in dishes coated with 0.1% gelatin. C2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated FCS (BioLabs, Israel), glutamine, and antibiotics. L8 and L84 cells were cultured in Waymouth's medium containing 15% FCS. Myogenic differentiation of L8 and L84 cells was induced by changing the growth medium to DMEM containing 2% heat-inactivated horse serum (Biological Industries, Israel) and 4 IU/ml insulin (Humulin R; Lilly, France). To trigger the differentiation of C2 myoblasts, cells were either plated at high density or stimulated by insulin and 10% horse serum in DMEM.

Preparation and Application of Cadherin-reactive Beads

N-cadherin ectodomain (NEC) was produced as described by Lev-enberg et al. (1998a). Briefly, 10⁶ latex Polybead amino microspheres (mean diameter, 6 µm; Polysciences, Warrington, PA) were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4), activated overnight with 8% glutaraldehyde, washed with PBS, and incubated for 5 h with 500 µg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO), purified NEC (Levenberg et al., 1998), or anti-N-cadherin monoclonal antibodies (clone BE; Volk and Geiger, 1986). Free sites were blocked with 0.5 M ethanolamine for 30 min, followed by incubation with 10 mg/ml BSA for 30 min, and the beads were resuspended in storage buffer (PBS containing 10 mg/ml BSA, 0.1% sodium azide, and 5% glycerol, pH 7.4). Aliquots containing 5 × 10⁵ beads were added to cell monolayers in 35-mm-diameter culture dishes.

Cytochemical Staining

Myoblasts were cultured on 35-mm tissue culture dishes (Falcon, Becton Dickinson, Palo Alto, CA), coated with 0.1% gelatin, washed twice in PBS, and fixed for 10 min with methanol at room temperature. The monolayer was washed twice with PBS and stained for 25 min with 10% Giemsa solution (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), extensively washed with water, and dry mounted for microscopic examination.

Immunochemical Reagents and Procedures

Myoblasts cultured on glass coverslips coated with 0.1% gelatin were washed with 0.1 M 4-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid buffer (pH 6.0), permeabilized for 2 min by 0.5% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M 4-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid buffer, and fixed for 25 min with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS. All of these procedures were carried out at room temperature. Anti-skeletal α-actin (5C5), anti-skeletal α-actin (EA53), anti-skeletal myosin (MY32), anti-desmin (DEU10), and anti-pan-cadherin (CH19) were purchased from Sigma. Anti-β-catenin (94.5) was a gift from Dr. M. Wheelock (University of Toledo, Toledo, OH). Anti-titin (T12) and anti-myomesin (BB78) were obtained from Dr. W. Obermann and Dr. D. Fürst (Max-Plank-Institut for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany). Anti-myogenin antibodies were obtained from Dr. Barbara Winter and Dr. H. Arnold (Technical University, Braunschweig, Germany). Anti-5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI). For BrdU labeling, cells were incubated for 45 min with 10 µM BrdU (Sigma) in culture medium, fixed, permeabilized for 4 min with 0.5% Triton X-100 in 3% paraformaldehyde, and post-fixed for 25 min with 3% paraformaldehyde. For anti-BrdU and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma) labeling, the
cells were treated with 2 M HCl in 0.5% Triton X-100 for an additional 15 min. The secondary antibodies were Cy-3-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Nuclei were indirectly immunolabeled and counterstained by 10 min incubation with 2.5 μg/ml DAPI, and the cells were mounted in Elvanol (Mowiol 4-88; Hoechst, Frankfurt, Germany). Immunofluorescence microscopy was carried out with an Axioshot microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped for multiple fluorescence examination.

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Whole cells were washed with PBS and extracted with Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970) and transferred by electrophoretic blotting to Hybond-C nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Membranes were blocked for 1 h with a 4% solution of dry milk in PBS and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies diluted in PBS. After washing in PBS, the membranes were incubated for 45 min at room temperature with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (Amersham), and immunoreactive bands were visualized using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence system (Amersham).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

C2 cells were plated overnight on gelatin-coated 35-mm dishes. After 48 h of treatment with beads the cells were fixed in Kranovsky’s fixative (3% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, 5 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1 M sucrose in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4) and post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, 0.5% potassium dichromate, and 0.5% potassium hexacyanoferrate in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer. The cells were stained en bloc with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate, followed by ethanol dehydration. The dishes were embedded in Epon 812 (Tuosimis, MD). Sections were cut using a diamond knife (Diatome, Biel, Switzerland) and examined using a Philips (Mahwah, NJ) CM-12 transmission electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

**RESULTS**

**Interactions of Cadherin-reactive Beads with Cultured Myoblasts**

To test the effect of N-cadherin–mediated interactions on myogenic differentiation, established myoblast cell lines were treated with 6-μm beads, coated with N-cadherin ligands (NEC or anti-N-cadherin monoclonal antibodies [BE]), as described by Levenberg et al. (1998a). BSA-coated beads were used as controls.

Transmission electron microscopy of C2 myoblasts after 48 h of incubation with the beads, coated either with NEC (Figure 1B) or with BSA (Figure 1A) indicated that both types of beads attach firmly to the cell surface. BSA-coated beads attached to the plasma membrane via a continuous close contact area and were engulfed by the cells after several hours of incubation.
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*Figure 1. Transmission electron micrographs of C2 myoblasts treated with beads coated with BSA (A) or NEC (B and C). The cells were incubated with the beads for 48 h in growth medium, fixed,*
whereas the NEC-coated beads, were attached to the cells through electron-dense “foot-like processes,” resembling focal contacts, and were usually not extensively engulfed.

Promotion of Myotube Formation by N-Cadherin–mediated Stimulation

To test the direct involvement of N-cadherin–mediated signaling in skeletal muscle differentiation, we have examined the effects of N-cadherin–reactive and control beads on the rate of myotube formation by the different myogenic cell lines under conditions that do not favor differentiation (i.e., high serum concentration and low plating density). As demonstrated in Figure 2, the binding of cadherin-reactive beads (beads coated with NEC or with anti N-cadherin antibodies) to the cells significantly increased the number of myotubes in these myogenic cultures from ~4/
mm² (BSA-coated beads) to 7 or 8/mm² (BE- and NEC-coated beads, respectively). It is noteworthy that myotubes formed after treatment with cadherin-reactive beads were usually larger than those formed after treatment with control beads. The number of nuclei per individual tube was, however, variable, usually displaying clusters of 5–20 nuclei, and apparently did not depend on the type or number of bound beads.

Transmission electron microscopy of C2 cells, after 48 h treatment with cadherin-reactive beads, revealed scattered sarcomeric structures in the cytoplasm (Figure 1C), which could be found in essentially all sec-

Figure 3. Expression of skeletal myosin in myocytes treated with beads conjugated to NEC, anti-N-cadherin BE antibodies (anti N-cad) or BSA. C2, L8, and L84 myoblasts were treated with different beads for 48 h, permeabilized, fixed, and immunostained with anti-skeletal myosin antibodies. C2 cells were maintained in growth medium, whereas for L8 and L84 cells growth medium was replaced with differentiation medium simultaneously with the addition of beads. The number of cells per field was approximately equal. Notice the increase in myosin expression in the cultures after treatment with the cadherin-reactive beads. The position of individual beads was detected by phase-contrast microscopy, and their location is indicated by arrowheads. Bar, 10 μm.
Expression and Assembly of Sarcomeric Components Induced by Cadherin-reactive Beads

Expression of sarcomeric constituents is an essential element of the myogenic program and serves as a common phenotypic marker for muscle differentiation (Andrés and Walsh, 1996). We have thus examined the expression and assembly of different sarcomeric constituents in C2, L8, and L84 myoblasts after treatment with the various beads and found that cadherin stimulation of the three cell lines increases the number of cells expressing different muscle structural proteins, including skeletal muscle myosin, myomesin, skeletal α-actin, titin, skeletal α-actinin, and M-protein. An example showing a typical increase in the number of cells expressing skeletal myosin in myoblasts following such treatment is presented in Figure 3. The increase in the number of C2 cells expressing several muscle proteins was quantified after treatment with the different beads and is summarized in Figure 4. As shown, the various muscle proteins are first detected in control cells ~48 h after plating, and the numbers increase progressively upon longer incubation. Addition of cadherin-reactive beads to these cells nearly doubles the number of cells expressing the various muscle proteins at 48 h and maintains a higher number of positive cells also at 72 h (Figure 4). We further determined the number of positive cells and overall levels of skeletal α-actinin in cultures treated with the various beads. As shown in Figure 5, the number of α-actinin–positive cells associated with cadherin-reactive beads is significantly higher than in control cultures, and, similarly, the total level of skeletal α-actinin is elevated (Figure 5B). It is noteworthy that because not all the cells in the culture were physically associated with beads, the actual increase induced by the cadherin ligands is probably even higher.

Effects of N-Cadherin Stimulation on Cell Cycle Progression in C2 Myoblasts

Proliferation and differentiation of skeletal myoblasts are mutually exclusive processes, and cell cycle arrest is a prerequisite for activation of muscle-specific gene
expression (Andrés and Walsh, 1996; Olson, 1992). To examine the effect of cadherin-reactive beads on the cell cycle, bead-treated C2 cells were pulsed with BrdU and immunofluorescently labeled with anti-BrdU antibodies. As shown in Figure 6, treatment of C2 myoblasts with beads coated with anti-N-cadherin antibodies suppresses the entry of cells into S phase compared with control BSA-coated beads. Application of beads coated with NEC induces a similar inhibition of cell proliferation (our unpublished results).

Stimulation of Myogenin Expression in Myoblasts Treated with Cadherin-reactive Beads

Skeletal muscle differentiation is driven and coordinated by the expression of myogenic transcription factors, such as MyoD, Myf5, myogenin, and Mrf4 (Olson and Klein, 1994; Yun and Wold, 1996). In the established myoblast lines used here, MyoD and Myf5 are already present before differentiation is induced, and myogenin transcription is up-regulated upon myogenic induction (Olson and Klein, 1994). Because myogenin activity is crucial for the activation of the entire differentiation program, we have checked whether its expression is affected by N-cadherin stimulation, using both immunocytochemical (Figures 7A and 8) and Western blotting (Figures 7B and 9A) approaches. Both assays revealed a major increase in the expression of myogenin in cells treated with cadherin-reactive beads. Densitometric evaluation indicated a twofold increase in myogenin levels in cadherin bead–treated C2 cells. In L8 and L84 cells the increase was three- and fivefold, respectively. This increase is similar to the increase in the incidence of myogenin-positive nuclei.

The expression of myogenin was also elevated in cultures of sparsely plated C2 cells, which rarely interact with neighboring cells, and do not readily fuse into myotubes. As shown in Figure 9, when such C2 cells are treated with beads for different periods and under different growth conditions, a significant increase in the myogenin level (Figure 9A) and in the number of myogenin-positive cells (Figure 9B) is detected among the cadherin-stimulated myoblasts compared with the BSA controls. Myogenin-positive nuclei are first observed 12 h after addition of beads to the sparsely plated C2 myoblasts cultured in growth medium.

Enhancement of Cell–Cell Adhesion by Cadherin-reactive Beads

To further elucidate the mechanism responsible for N-cadherin–mediated myogenic differentiation, we have examined the effect of the various beads on cell–cell interactions. It was recently demonstrated that
clustering of N-cadherin by bead-associated cadherin ligands specifically enhances cell–cell adherens junction formation (Levenberg et al., 1998a). We have thus examined the distribution of cadherin and β-catenin in cells treated with NEC- or BE-coated beads. As shown in Figure 10, treatment with the cadherin ligands elevates β-catenin labeling at cell–cell junctions within a few hours after addition of beads. Phase-contrast microscopy indicated that this increase in adherens junction formation is also accompanied by a general assembly of cells into more coherent arrays (our unpublished results). However, no significant changes in the overall levels of β-catenin or cadherin were noted (our unpublished results).

**DISCUSSION**

Cadherins are important morphoregulatory molecules that are involved in homophilic adhesion of cells. N-cadherin is a member of the cadherin superfamily, which plays a crucial role in embryonic morphogenesis, including muscle development. Previous studies
indicated that stable adhesive interactions must be established between fusion-competent myoblasts, as a prerequisite for further differentiation, and that these initial adhesions are calcium dependent (Knudsen et al., 1990). Specific involvement of N-cadherin was also suggested on the basis of its high levels in prefusion myoblasts (MacCalman et al., 1992). Moreover, the perturbation of N-cadherin-mediated adhesion in vitro affected the rate (but not the final level) of myoblast fusion (Mege et al., 1992). It was suggested by other studies that N-cadherin may not be essential for myotube formation, because specific blocking of M-cadherin (a muscle-specific form) by inhibitory antibodies blocks the fusion of cultured L6 myocytes (Zeschingk et al., 1995). In addition, myoblasts from N-cadherin–null mice are able to fuse both in culture and in vivo (Charlton et al., 1997). In view of the complexity of the various systems discussed above, we have attempted, in the present study, to examine...
the direct involvement of N-cadherin in myogenesis by its clustering with specific ligands, namely, NEC or anti-N-cadherin antibodies.

Here we present evidence that local clustering or immobilization of N-cadherin triggers signaling events that activate the myogenic program in several cultured myoblast lines. We found that the cadherin-reactive beads activate and facilitate the myogenic program, including myotube formation, expression of a variety of sarcomeric components, and expression of the myogenic transcription factor myogenin. Interestingly, myotube formation depends on high cell density even after cadherin bead stimulation, whereas the expression of the different muscle proteins, including

Figure 10. Effect of cadherin stimulation on adherens junction formation in myogenic cell lines. C2, L8, and L84 cells were sparsely plated and further incubated for 10 h on gelatin-coated coverglasses. The various beads were added to the cells for 6 h in growth medium. The cells were then permeabilized, fixed, and immunostained for β-catenin. Notice the intensive staining of the cell–cell contact sites and the apparent increase in staining after treatment with the cadherin-reactive beads. The positions of beads are indicated by arrowheads. Bar, 10 μm. anti N-cad, anti-N-cadherin antibodies.
myogenin, was also detected in sparse cultures, apparently independently of cell fusion. This is in line with the common sequence of myogenic events triggered by growth factor withdrawal, which start with myogenin expression, induction of growth arrest, expression of structural sarcomeric components, and, finally, fusion into myotubes (Andrés and Walsh, 1996). It is, however, noteworthy that the growth arrest induced by N-cadherin–reactive beads is not unique to the myogenic differentiation pathway, and treatment of a variety of mesenchymal cells with the same types of beads inhibits proliferation and blocks the cell cycle at the G1 phase. The mechanism underlying this growth inhibiting signaling process will be described in detail elsewhere (Levenberg et al., 1998b). Our data are consistent with the notion that growth arrest precedes the expression of the various structural sarcomeric components by ~24 h.

The crucial events in skeletal muscle differentiation are coordinated by the expression of muscle regulatory proteins that act in cooperation with the MEF2 family of transcription factors to activate muscle-specific gene expression (Yun and Wold, 1996). These proteins were also shown to interact with and be regulated by other transcription factors and the cell cycle regulatory system to coordinate activation of the differentiation program and to inhibit proliferation (Olson, 1992, 1993; Rao et al., 1994; Skapek et al., 1995, 1996). The fine balance between proliferation and differentiation appears to be critical for the induction and progression of the myogenic program. For instance, in committed myoblasts MyoD and Myf5 proteins are expressed, although their activity is apparently inhibited by the presence of growth-promoting factors, and thus the progression of differentiation depends on growth factor withdrawal, leading to myogenin expression and activation of the myogenic cascade (Andrés and Walsh, 1996).

Numerous studies indicate that in the course of myogenic differentiation inhibition of cell proliferation and cell death are coordinately regulated, and the inability to exit the cell cycle leads to apoptotic death (Walsh and Perlman, 1997; Fimia et al., 1998). Cell cycle inhibitors, such as p21 or Rb, are able to prevent this apoptosis most probably by the induction of cell cycle arrest (Wang and Walsh, 1996; Wang et al., 1997; Zacksenhaus et al., 1996). As described above, treatment with cadherin-reactive beads inhibits cell cycle progression in C2 myoblasts. However, no apparent differences in the number of apoptotic nuclei (defined by DAPI staining) were observed after application of the various beads (our unpublished results). Current reports demonstrate that the decision to exit the cell cycle and further differentiate or to die is made at the level of myogenin-induced cell cycle arrest, i.e., at the stages of myogenesis when cells already express myogenin. Because cadherin-reactive beads promote myogenin expression, it seems to us unlikely that stimulation of cadherin-mediated adhesion directly affects the apoptotic process.

Another aspect raised by the present study is the specificity of the effects on myogenesis to N-cadherin. As indicated above, additional members of the cadherin family are also expressed in muscle tissues, including M- and R-cadherins (Zeschingk et al., 1995; Rosenberg et al., 1997) and cadherin-11 (Kimura et al., 1995), and perturbation of some of these can affect myogenesis (Zeschingk et al., 1995). We have no direct evidence or claim that the effect shown here for N-cadherin stimulation is unique to this isoform and cannot be obtained by the clustering or immobilization of other cadherins. It is noteworthy that these three cadherins show considerable overall homology with N-cadherin along their cytoplasmic domains (82, 50, and 54% identity), which are presumably involved in the transduction of N-cadherin–mediated signals.

The data presented here are in agreement with the view that activation of cadherin-mediated signaling leads to the expression of myogenin, which in turn inhibits cell cycle progression, triggers the differentiation program, including the expression of sarcomeric proteins, and promotes myotube formation. The mechanism underlying this cadherin-induced activation of myogenin expression is, however, not clear. It was previously shown that cadherin-reactive beads specifically activate tyrosine phosphorylation at adherens junctions and enhance cadherin-mediated cell–cell adhesion in a variety of mesenchymal cells (Levenberg et al., 1998). This is consistent with the present results, showing that cadherin-induced stimulation leads to a specific and generalized enhancement of myoblast–myoblast adhesion (Figure 10). This, in turn, could have two distinct effects that are highly relevant to the progression of myogenic differentiation: 1) the signals triggered by the beads might be directly involved in the stimulation of myogenin expression; and 2) the apparent increase in cell adhesion, triggered by the beads, might further promote the myogenin-induced progression of differentiation.

Another possible pathway for cadherin-induced effects might involve the catenin system. β-Catenin, which is an intrinsic component of adherens junctions, is also implicated in Wnt and Wg signaling (Willert and Nusse, 1998) and in malignant transformation (Korinek et al., 1997; Morin et al., 1997; Redfield et al., 1997). In view of the capacity of extrajunctional β-catenin to translocate to the nucleus and to be involved in gene transactivation, together with LEF and Tcf transcription factors (Cavallo et al., 1997), it might be interesting to explore the possibility that some of the genes whose expression is regulated during myogenesis are under the control of β-catenin, and that changes in β-catenin stability, localization, and/or ac-
tivity might affect the myogenic process. Some of these aspects are currently under study.
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