CRFR1 in AgRP Neurons Modulates Sympathetic Nervous System Activity to Adapt to Cold Stress and Fasting
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In Brief

Kuperman et al. reveal a critical role for the stress-induced CRF receptor expressed in a subset of AgRP neurons in females. CRF receptor activation following challenge reduces AgRP neuronal excitability and enables appropriate sympathetic nervous system activation, thereby protecting the organism from hypothermia and hypoglycemia.
**SUMMARY**

Signaling by the corticotropin-releasing factor receptor type 1 (CRF1) plays an important role in mediating the autonomic response to stressful challenges. Multiple hypothalamic nuclei regulate sympathetic outflow. Although CRF1 is highly expressed in the arcuate nucleus (Arc) of the hypothalamus, the identity of these neurons and the role of CRF1 here are presently unknown. Our studies show that nearly half of Arc-CRF1 neurons co-express agouti-related peptide (AgRP), half of which originate from POMC precursors. Arc-CRF1 neurons are innervated by CRF neurons in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus, and CRF application decreases AgRP*CRF1* neurons’ excitability. Despite similar anatomy in both sexes, only female mice selectively lacking CRF1 in AgRP neurons showed a maladaptive thermogenic response to cold and reduced hepatic glucose production during fasting. Thus, CRF1, in a subset of AgRP neurons, plays a regulatory role that enables appropriate sympathetic nervous system activation and consequently protects the organism from hypothermia and hypoglycemia.

**INTRODUCTION**

The well-being of an organism necessitates appropriate physiological responses to homeostatic challenges. The hypothalamus integrates neural circuits for control of survival behaviors, including feeding, drinking, defense, and reproduction (Sternson, 2013). These circuits often intertwine and overlap and are regulated by various neurotransmitters and neuropeptides. Hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF; also known as corticotropin-releasing hormone [CRH]), secreted from the parvocellular neurons in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), has a prominent role in initiating the cascade of biological events during the stress response and represents a neuropeptide that affects a vast repertoire of the aforementioned behaviors. CRF’s physiological actions are mediated primarily by activating the CRF receptor type 1 (CRF1/CRHR1), which is required for appropriate behavioral and neuroendocrine responses to stress (Müller et al., 2003; Smith et al., 1998; Timpl et al., 1998). CRF1 is widely expressed in the mammalian brain with high expression levels in the cerebral cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, olfactory bulb, and hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (Arc) (Van Pett et al., 2000). Early upon CRF isolation (Vale et al., 1981), it was shown that in addition to its hypophysiotrophic role, CRF acts within the brain to stimulate sympathetic outflow. This was manifested by elevated heart rate and mean arterial pressure (Fisher et al., 1982) as well as increases in blood glucose, norepinephrine, epinephrine, and glucagon levels (Brown et al., 1982). These hormonal changes were associated with increases in motor activity and oxygen consumption and were attributed to the central action of CRF, as they were not prevented by adrenalectomy (Brown et al., 1982). Later studies using selective antagonists were able to confirm that stress-induced norepinephrine release is mediated by CRF1 activation (Griebel et al., 2002). Consistent with this, CRF involvement in adaptive thermogenesis was also demonstrated. Intracerebroventricular administration of CRF stimulates sympathetic outflow to brown adipose tissue (BAT) (Arase et al., 1988). This action was suggested to be mediated through CRF receptors in the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) as well as the preoptic area (Cerri and Morrison, 2006), whereas the effect through PVN-CRFR was questionable (Cerri and Morrison, 2006; LeFeuvre et al., 1987).

Several hypothalamic nuclei were shown to regulate autonomic responses to stressors (Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009), of which the PVN is prominent. Within the PVN, distinct sympathetic premotor neurons project to either the sympathetic intermediolateral column of the spinal cord or the parasympathetic dorsal vagal motor nucleus (Swanson and Sawchenko, 1980; Vifian and Larsen, 2001). Retrograde tracing showed forebrain neurons innervating the BAT, which arise mainly from the PVN and the medial preoptic region (mPO) and to a lesser extent from the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) and the lateral...
hypothalamus (LH) and the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (Barnshad et al., 1999). Likewise, retrograde tracing from the liver labeled second-order neurons in several regions, including the PVN, LH, zona incerta, and retrochiasmatic area (la Fleur et al., 2000).

The PVN is heavily innervated by GABAergic inputs, which deliver substantial inhibitory tone important for regulating sympathetic outflow. Antagonizing PVN GABAergic receptors increases sympathetic nerve activity (Cole and Sawchenko, 2002; Kannan et al., 1989; Madden and Morrison, 2009). Intra-hypothalamic sources for GABAergic input to the PVN include the mPO, DMH, LH, SCN, and Arc (Cone, 2005; Herman et al., 2005; Kalsbeek et al., 2004), as well as GABAergic interneurons in the halo zone surrounding the PVN, including the anterior hypothalamic and perifornical regions (Roland and Sawchenko, 1993).

The Arc is composed of two main populations of neurons, neuroendocrine neurons that have nerve endings in the median eminence and neurons regulating energy homeostasis (Lehman et al., 2013). The appetite-regulatory network in the Arc includes two major distinct populations with opposing actions on food intake and metabolic rate: the anorexigenic pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons and the orexigenic agouti-related peptide (AgRP) neurons, which coexpress neuropeptide-Y (NPY) and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Cone, 2005). Despite the high expression level, the identity of Arc-CRFR1 neurons and the role of CRFR1 in this brain region are presently unknown. Here, we show that CRFR1 marks a unique subpopulation of AgRP neurons, half of which originate from POMC precursor. This subpopulation projects predominantly to regions associated with regulation of food intake and autonomic outflow. We show that CRFR1 decreases the excitability of neurons immunopositive for both AgRP and CRFR1 (AgRP-CRFR1) and that under challenge, CRFR1 signaling in these neurons helps the organism transition from an anabolic to a catabolic state in order to promote survival.

RESULTS

CRFR1 Marks a Subpopulation of AgRP Neurons, Some of which Originate from POMC Precursors

To characterize CRFR1-expressing neurons in the Arc, we used the well-validated CRFR1-GFP reporter mouse (Justice et al., 2008). This mouse line is based on a bacterial artificial chromosome that contains the entire CRFR1 genomic locus and has GFP cellular expression that closely matches that of Crfr1 mRNA (Justice et al., 2008). We further examined the Arc of these mice, validating that the Gfp expression matches that of Crfr1 by double in situ hybridization (Figure 1A). To explore the colocalization of CRFR1 with AgRP-expressing neurons, we crossed the CRFR1-GFP mouse with an AgRP reporter mouse, which expresses Cre recombinase under control of the AgRP promoter (AgRP-ires-Cre; Tong et al., 2008) and a reporter allele encoding tdTomato red fluorescent protein upon Cre-mediated recombination (Rosa26-CAG-Isl-tdtomato, Ai9; Madsen et al., 2010). Analysis of Arc sections obtained from these mice (CRFR1-GFP-AgRP<sup>TOM</sup>) showed that half of Arc-CRFR1 neurons colocalize with AgRP-expressing neurons (males, 46.7 ± 5.7%; females, 50.4 ± 5.0%), defining half of AgRP neurons (males 52.6 ± 9.9%; females 50.4 ± 8.5%) (Figures 1B and 1H). We found that the numbers of CRFR1 and AgRP neurons are similar and do not significantly differ between males and females (Figure S1A). In addition, we found that the expression levels of Agrp and Crfr1 in the mediobasal hypothalamus (MBH) of wild-type (WT) mice does not differ between males and females (Figure S1B). We further assessed whether CRFR1 colocalizes with POMC-expressing neurons by crossing the CRFR1-GFP with mice expressing Cre recombinase specifically in POMC-expressing neurons or cells (POMC-Cre; Balthasar et al., 2004) and the Tomato reporter (CRFR1-GFP-POMC<sup>TOM</sup> mice). Analysis of Arc slices obtained from these mice revealed that about a third of Arc-CRFR1 neurons colocalize with POMC-Cre-expressing neurons (31.7 ± 3.15%; Figures 1C and 1H). Given that POMC-Cre marks not only adult POMC-expressing neurons but also POMC neurons that trans-differentiated from a POMC precursor during early developmental stages (Padilla et al., 2010, 2012), we further used immunohistochemistry (IHC) for adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), which is processed from the POMC precursor and therefore marks neurons currently expressing POMC. ACTH immunostaining showed that in adult mice, CRFR1 and ACTH do not colocalize (Figure 1D).

We further explored the colocalization of CRFR1 with rat insulin promoter (RIP)-Cre-, dopamine-, and somatostatin (SST)-expressing neurons. We found that 36 ± 1.9% and 17.1 ± 1.3% of Arc CRFR1 neurons colocalize with RIP-Cre- and SST-expressing neurons, respectively (Figures 1E and 1F), whereas no colocalization was observed with dopaminergic neurons (Figure 1G).

The finding that in adult mice, CRFR1 and ACTH are expressed in a mutually exclusive manner suggests that CRFR1 marks a subpopulation of POMC neurons that adopt a non-POMC fate (Figure 2A). Because about a quarter of the Arc-NPY population originates from a POMC progenitor (Padilla et al., 2010) we further assessed whether POMC-Cre<sup>−</sup>CRFR1<sup>−</sup> neurons adopted an AgRP fate by using IHC for AgRP on slices obtained from CRFR1-GFP-POMC<sup>TOM</sup> mice. This indicated that the majority of POMC-Cre<sup>−</sup>CRFR1<sup>−</sup> neurons express AgRP, signifying that CRFR1 marks POMC neurons that adopt an AgRP fate (Figure 2B, white arrows). Importantly, POMC-Cre<sup>−</sup>AgRP<sup>−</sup>CRFR1<sup>−</sup> neurons were rarely found (Figure 2B, gray arrow).

To rule out the possibility that absence of CRFR1 affects the early embryonic shift of POMC cells, we measured POMC and AgRP expression levels in hypotalami obtained from developmental CRFR1 knockout (KO) mice. CRFR1 KO mice are glucocorticoid (GC) deficient (Smith et al., 1998); therefore, in order to avoid effects mediated by the absence of circulating GC, we collected hypotalami of CRFR1 KO and their WT littermates at postnatal day 4, while the pups receive GC from their mother’s milk. No differences in Agrp or Pomc mRNA expression levels were observed in CRFR1 KO (Figure 2C).

In summary, Arc-CRFR1 is expressed by at least three neuronal populations (SST, AgRP, and RIP), which share inhibitory properties (Bluet-Pajot et al., 1998; Kong et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2009) (Figure 1D). Most of Arc-CRFR1 is expressed by orexigenic neurons, the major population being AgRP-expressing neurons. Because not all AgRP<sup>−</sup>CRFR1<sup>−</sup> express the POMC-Cre lineage marker, we suggest that AgRP<sup>−</sup>CRFR1<sup>−</sup> neurons arise from at least two ontological lineages.
AgRP-CRFR1 Neurons Respond to Known AgRP Stimulants

To deepen the characterization of AgRP-CRFR1 neurons, we checked their responsiveness to different physiological and hormonal signaling. Using the CRFR1-GFP-AgRPTOM reporter mice we verified that as reported for AgRP neurons, AgRP-CRFR1 neurons are activated by fasting and by ghrelin, measured by the expression of the early mediated gene, c-fos (Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2002). Indeed, c-fos expression was upregulated in AgRP neurons following a 24 hr fast or following ghrelin injection compared with fed mice or saline-injected mice, respectively, regardless of CRFR1 expression (Figures S1C and S1D).

About one third of AgRP neurons were reported to respond to leptin injection as marked by phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3; van de Wall et al., 2008).

IHC with pSTAT3 antibody on slices obtained from the double-reporter mice injected with leptin revealed that a third of CRFR1 neurons respond to leptin in both males and females (Figures 2D and 2E). Leptin-responsive AgRP neurons were evenly distributed in AgRP-CRFR1+ and in AgRP-CRFR1-.
neurons. AgRP leptin responsiveness tended to be higher in females compared with males (females, 46.2% ± 1.2%; males, 32.4% ± 10.2%; p = 0.08; Figure 2F and Figure S1E), supporting the suggested increased leptin signaling in the Arc of females (Clegg et al., 2003). The percentage of AgRP +CRFR1+ neurons that were responsive to leptin was similar to that of total AgRP. This suggests that within each sex, leptin-responsive AgRP neurons were evenly distributed in AgRP+CRFR1+ and in AgRP +CRFR1−/C0 neurons. This was supported by a similarly responsive population within POMC-Cre +CRFR1+ neurons (Figures 2 D and 2E and Figure S1 E).

AgRP-CRFR1 Neurons Project Mainly to the LH and the PVN and Are Innervated by PVN-CRF Neurons
AgRP neurons project to a number of brain areas covering both rostral and caudal regions (Broberger et al., 1998). AgRP projections to different brain regions were shown to originate from separate, distinct subpopulations of AgRP neurons (Betley et al., 2013). Because CRFR1 marks a subset of AgRP neurons, we wondered whether this population has a distinct projection profile. For this purpose, we used the double-reporter mice and characterized the projections of AgRP +CRFR1+ neurons. Nine AgRP projection fields were analyzed, and the proportion of AgRP +CRFR1+ projections out of total AgRP projections to each region was quantified using IMMARIS software. AgRP +CRFR1+ neurons were found to project mainly to the LH, the PVN, the periaqueductal gray (PAG), and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) (Figure 3 A). Weaker projections were observed at the DMH, the mPO, the paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT), the central amygdala (CeA), and the parabrachial nucleus (PBN) (Figure S2). Overall, AgRP +CRFR1+ projections were found to constitute between 2% and 14% of AgRP projections to the inspected regions (Figure 3 B, left y axis). Importantly, this analysis underestimates the overlapping projections because of strict analysis criteria that were used in order to distinguish regional CRFR1 projections from AgRP +CRFR1+ projections. The intensity of AgRP projection varies in different brain regions (Wang et al., 2015). Therefore, the proportion of AgRP +CRFR1+ projections was calculated according to the relative portion of AgRP neurons projection to a specific site (raw data taken from experiment 46554676-Arc, Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas [Oh et al., 2014]; Figures 3B, right y axis, and 3C). Interestingly, within the PVN, and in agreement with AgRP inhibitory action on sympathetic outflow, we could detect AgRP +CRFR1+ neurons innervating PVN-TH+ neurons (Figure 3D, white arrows).
Another intriguing question regarding the connectivity of AgRP*CRFR1* neurons is the origin and identity of the CRFR1 ligand. From the mammalian CRF peptide family (Lewis et al., 2001; Reyes et al., 2001; Vaughan et al., 1995), both CRF and urocortin 1 (Ucn1) are potential nominees for innervating Arc-CRFR1 neurons. In order to check whether CRF innervates AgRP*CRFR1* neurons, we searched for CRF positive synapses, marked by post-synaptic density 95 (PSD95) in AgRP reporter mice. Confocal analysis verified that endogenous CRF innervates AgRP neurons (Figures 3D and 3E, white arrow). CRF is expressed in diverse brain regions, including the cortex, hippocampus, and CeA (Swanson et al., 1983). Yet the immediate candidate for Arc-CRFR1 neurons innervations is CRF arising from the PVN, either directly or as collaterals of CRF neurons projecting to the median eminence (Niimi et al., 1988). To examine this hypothesis, we injected a viral vector encoding a Cre-dependent mCherry reporter fused to synaptophysin into the PVN of CRF-Cre mice (Taniguchi et al., 2011), which were crossed with CRFR1-GFP mice. In these mice, red synapses represent synapses in which the pre-synaptic neurons are PVN-CRF-expressing neurons. Confocal analysis confirmed that Arc-CRFR1 neurons are innervated by CRF neurons arising from the PVN (Figure 3F). We do not rule out the possibility that Arc-CRFR1 neurons could also be innervated by Ucn1 or by CRF arising from other brain regions.

CRF Application Decreases AgRP Neurons’ Excitability
To determine the significance of CRFR1 signaling in AgRP neurons in regulating energy homeostasis, we generated mice lacking CRFR1 specifically in AgRP neurons (AgRPΔCRFR1; Figure 4A). CRFR1 deletion was confirmed by quantitative real-time PCR analysis showing, as expected, a 40% reduction in Crfr1 expression levels in the MBH of AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figure 4B). The reduction in Crfr1 expression is consistent with the confocal analysis showing that 50% of CRFR1 neurons colocalize with AgRP neurons (Figure 1B). To ensure the restricted CRFR1 deletion in the Arc of AgRPΔCRFR1 mice, we verified that Crfr1 expression was unchanged in several brain regions with high Crfr1 expression (Figure S3A). Crfr1 mRNA level was also similar in peripheral tissues that were described for developmental AgRP expression (Figure S3A). In addition, we confirmed that pituitary Crfr1 expression level and both basal and stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis function was intact in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figures S3B and S3C). This is in line with mice lacking CRFR1 from GABAergic neurons that exhibit intact HPA function and no changes in anxiety-like behavior (Refojo et al., 2011).

We crossed AgRPΔCRFR1 mice with CRFR1-GFP-AgRP<sup>TOM</sup> mice to generate mice in which AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons are labeled but do not express CRFR1. Then, to define whether and how the electrophysiological properties of AgRP neurons are modulated by CRF, we visually identified AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons in acute Arc brain slices (Figure S3D) and performed patch-clamp recordings in these cells in slices obtained from both female and male double-reporter mice with (control) or without (AgRPΔCRFR1) CRFR1 expression.

Acute activation of the stress response and AgRP stimulation has opposing effects regarding food intake and autonomic activity. Therefore, we hypothesize that, similar to leptin (van den Top et al., 2004), CRF action on AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons will have an inhibitory effect, negating the typical nature of AgRP neurons. Firing of action potentials (APs) was evoked in AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons by current injection under control conditions and after bath application of CRF (125 nM). Remarkably, CRF application strongly decreased evoked neuronal firing (Figure 4C, left). This effect was mediated by CRFR1 activation, as it was completely abolished in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figure 4C, right). Concomitant with the decrease in neuronal excitability, the after-hyperpolarization (AHP) was increased by CRF application (Figure 4D, left). This increase in AHP amplitude could explain the CRF-mediated decrease in firing rate, implicating that AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons require a longer time to repolarize after generating an AP, thus delaying the appearance of another AP. However, this effect cannot explain the total absence of APs in the presence of CRF, which was observed in some cells (Figure 4C, left). Consistently, we revealed that CRF additionally reduced the input resistance (R<sub>i</sub>) of AgRP neurons (Figure 4E, left). This effect and the increase in AHP amplitude were absent in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figures 4D and 4E, right).

Notably, CRF application had no effect on AgRP-positive neurons (tdTomato positive), which do not express CRFR1 (GFP negative; Figures S3E and S3F). This further supports the observed effects as direct and specific for AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons.

CRF1 Signaling in AgRP Neurons Regulates Heat Production
Given the inhibitory tone CRF poses on AgRP neurons and the projection profile of AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons, we postulated that in the absence of CRFR1, AgRP neurons will have higher activity, leading to stronger suppression of the target regions. This could result in increased food intake and reduced energy expenditure. We followed the body weight and growth rates of both male and female AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and found them similar to that of their control littermates (Figure 5A). In line with the similar body weight, AgRPΔCRFR1 mice did not differ in their body composition and had similar percentages of fat and lean masses (Figures S4A and S4B). Glucose and insulin tolerance tests revealed no disturbance in glucose homeostasis or insulin sensitivity in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice on standard diet (Figures S4C–S4F). Food intake of AgRPΔCRFR1 mice was equivalent to their control littermates, and their feeding response to ghrelin injection was intact (Figures S5A and S5B). This suggests that despite projecting to brain regions associated with the orexigenic action of AgRP, CRFR1 signaling in AgRP*CRFR1<sup>+</sup> neurons does not modulate feeding directly.

Interestingly, although they have similar food intake and locomotor activity (Figures S5A and S5C), AgRPΔCRFR1 female mice exhibited reduced heat production during the dark phase of the day cycle (Figure 5B). The reduced heat production was reflected in lower body temperature in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figure 5C). In addition, hypothalamic expression of Pomc and Mc4r were found to be lower in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice (Figure 5D). This points toward a reduced melanocortinergic tone that is correlated with diminished energy expenditure in both humans and rodents (Huszar et al., 1997). Overall, these findings suggest that under basal conditions, deletion of CRFR1 in AgRP neurons...
Figure 3. AgRP+CRFR1+ Neurons Project Mainly to the LH and PVN and Are Innervated by PVN-CRF Neurons

(A) Nine known AgRP neurons were analyzed for AgRP+CRFR1+ projections. (i) Brain coronal section adapted from Paxinos and Franklin’s mouse brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). Black box indicates region analyzed. (ii) Overlaid images of AgRP TOM fluorescence and GFP immunoreactivity. (iii) Tomato fluorescence reveals AgRP projections. (iv) GFP immunoreactivity in each region. The scale bar represents 25 μm. See also Figure S2.
slightly alters energy balance and to some extent mimics AgRP action as in an energy-deficit state.

Given the role of CRFR1 signaling in integrating the autonomic, metabolic, and behavioral responses to stressors (Brown et al., 1982; Sutton et al., 1982) and the inhibitory action of CRF on AgRP^CRFR1^ neurons, we examined whether the differences in heat production could be augmented under a challenge. We exposed the mice to a cold temperature that activates the sympathetic nervous system, resulting in the stimulation of β-adrenergic receptors on brown adipocytes and induces activation and expression of mitochondrial uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1). This adaptive thermogenic process involves heat dissipation by BAT and induces browning of white adipose tissue (WAT) (Harms and Seale, 2013). Shortly following exposure to 5°C, body temperature dropped significantly more in AgRP^CRFR1^ mice, and their temperature remained lower throughout the experiment (Figure 5E). BAT gene expression analysis showed that Ucp1 transcription was induced to a lesser extent in AgRP^CRFR1^ mice (Figure 5F). Reduced Ucp1 expression was also demonstrated by IHC with UCP1 antibody (Figure 5G), while expression of Adrb3, Pgc1, Dio2, and Fgf21 were similar (Figure S5D). In addition, examination of inguinal WAT, which is known to undergo browning following cold exposure, revealed that several browning-related genes, including Ucp1, Pgc1, Dio2, and Cidea, are strongly induced in control compared with AgRP^CRFR1^ mice (Figure 5H). These data show impairment in autonomic activation necessary for cold-induced thermogenesis in AgRP^CRFR1^ mice. They further suggest that CRFR1 inhibitory action in AgRP neurons is required for allowing appropriate cold-induced thermogenesis. Interestingly, the described differences were not observed in males. Although Pomc mRNA levels were mildly but significantly lower in male AgRP^CRFR1^ mice, they had similar food intake, heat production, body temperature, and response to cold stress as controls (Figures S6A–S6F).

**CRFR1 in AgRP Neurons Regulates Hepatic Glucose Production during Fasting**

Since AgRP neurons are activated by fasting and have a role in regulating hepatic glucose production (HGP) (Könner et al., 2007), we checked whether the absence of CRFR1 from AgRP neurons affects various functions under fasting. First, we challenged the mice with food deprivation while in the metabolic cages. Soon after fast initiation, AgRP^CRFR1^ mice showed a quicker drop of respiratory exchange ratio (RER) than controls, but once refed, they had a similar rebound RER (Figure 6A). This indicates that upon fasting, AgRP^CRFR1^ mice have an earlier transit from carbohydrates to fatty acids as an energy source, meaning that CRFR1 action in AgRP neurons is required for a balanced transition to fat oxidation. Interestingly, this alteration in energy partitioning during fasting is similar to the one observed in mice lacking Foxo1 in AgRP neurons (Ren et al., 2012).

To check if fat oxidation was preferred because of a reduction in HGP, the hepatic capacity to produce glucose was assessed by a pyruvate challenge after an overnight fast. As suspected, AgRP^CRFR1^ mice had a significantly lower increase in glucose levels (Figure 6B), showing that their adaptation to fasting was probably due to reduced hepatic ability to produce glucose. To study possible mechanisms of decreased gluconeogenesis, we measured hepatic gene expression under basal and fasting state. Although G6pc and Pgc1 expression levels were similar at basal state, fasting induced their expression to a lower extent in AgRP^CRFR1^ mice (Figures 6C and 6D). Pck expression was generally lower in AgRP^CRFR1^ mice (main genotype effect) but was not statistically different in the fed or fasted state (Figure 6E), whereas hepatic expression of Foxo1 and Fgf21 was similar at basal level and were similarly induced by fasting (Figures S5E and S5F). Interestingly, hypothalamic Crf is upregulated during fasting in both genotypes, and corticosterone levels were similarly elevated in the fasting state (Figures 6F and S5G), further supporting the relevance of the CRF circuit under these conditions. The altered kinetics of the RER as well as the differences in HGP observed using pyruvate tolerance test were not observed in male AgRP^CRFR1^ mice (Figures S6G and S6H). Taken together, these data suggest that CRFR1 inhibitory action in AgRP neurons is required for appropriate energy partitioning and maintenance of blood glucose levels during periods of limited energy resources.

**DISCUSSION**

**Classification of Arc-CRFR1-Expressing Neurons**

In this work, we have classified the previously uncharacterized population of Arc-CRFR1-expressing neurons. We have found that CRFR1 in this nucleus is composed of several inhibitory subpopulations (AgRP, RIP, and SST neurons). This implies a role for CRFR1 in regulating both energy balance and growth under stressful circumstances in order to promote survival (Figure 6G).

At first, it appeared that a smaller portion of CRFR1 neurons colocalizes with anorexigenic POMC-expressing neurons (using POMC-Cre mice; Figure 1C). However, a deeper examination revealed that the colocalization is only with embryonically labeled POMC neurons that adopt a non-POMC fate during development. It was elegantly shown a few years ago that about a quarter of AgRP neurons arise from POMC progenitors (Padilla et al., 2010). We were able to show that most of POMC-Cre^ CRFR1^ neurons are AgRP-expressing neurons and therefore suggest that AgRP-CRFR1 neurons can be defined by their embryonic origin, half of which originate from an anorexigenic progenitor marked by POMC-cre. This expression pattern is
supported by a recent study that found that Crfr1 expression is largely enriched in AgRP compared with POMC isolated neurons (Henry et al., 2015). It is exciting to think that prenatal stressful events may influence the proportion of POMC differentiation through CRFR1. However, the sequentially of embryonic expression suggests that this is not the case. The differentiation of POMC to AgRP occurs at embryonic day (ED) 14.5 (Padilla et al., 2010). Although hypothalamic CRF is already expressed at ED 13.5 (Keegan et al., 1994), CRFR1 expression is observed only at later gestational stages and was shown at ED 17 in rats (Insel et al., 1988) and by ED 18 but not by ED 15 in mice (Allen Brain Atlas), signifying the importance of this circuit for postnatal stages.

Connectivity Mapping of AgRP-CRFR1-Expressing Neurons

Given the vital role of AgRP neurons in controlling hunger, and their exclusive expression pattern, their connectivity was extensively studied (Atasoy et al., 2012; Betley et al., 2013; Dietrich et al., 2012; Krashes et al., 2014). Interestingly, although CRFR1 marks half of AgRP neurons, we found AgRP+CRFR1+ projections in all the inspected terminal fields. The highest proportion of AgRP+CRFR1+/AgRP projections was found in the PVN and the LH, two regions which receive the densest AgRP projections and that were shown to be sufficient for initiating a feeding response by optogenetic stimuli (Betley et al., 2013). Relatively intense projections were found in the BNST, an area implicated in integrating and processing responses to stressful challenges (Sparta et al., 2013). Interestingly, we observed AgRP-CRFR1 fibers in the fusiform and parastrial parts of the BNST, regions that were demonstrated to project to the GABAergic neurons in the LH to evoke feeding (Jennings et al., 2013). Given the PVN’s role in regulating food intake and autonomic outflow, its reciprocal connections with AgRP neurons are of special interest. AgRP neurons receive rich inhibitory and excitatory synaptic input, where 70% of the excitatory inputs originate within the hypothalamus, mainly from the PVN, DMH, and VMH (Wang et al., 2015). Excitatory input was shown to affect both basal and fasting-induced feeding (Liu et al., 2012). PVN originating glutamatergic input arises from thyrotropin-releasing hormone- and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide-expressing neurons (Krashes et al., 2014). Here we add the observation that AgRP neurons are also innervated by CRF neurons, suggesting that various stressors may affect the AgRP+CRFR1+ pathway.

Role of CRF Signaling in AgRP Neurons

The storing promoting signal transmitted by AgRP neurons conflicts with immediate catabolic requirements needed during stress. In this light, the inhibitory action of CRF on AgRP+CRFR1+ neurons is perhaps not surprising. However, this inhibitory action is exceptional in the CRF field, as it differs from well-known CRF excitatory effects observed in different brain regions (Aldenhoff...
et al., 1983) such as the locus coeruleus (Valentino et al., 1983), the dorsal raphe (Lowry et al., 2000), hypothalamic orexin neurons (Winsky-Sommerer et al., 2004), and the ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Wanat et al., 2008). To date, only one study has reported a similar inhibitory effect of CRF. In that instance, CRF decreased the R in of neurons in the CeA (Rainnie et al., 1992).

Interestingly, a recent study showed that optogenetic activation of PVN-CRF neurons failed to evoke excitatory post-synaptic potentials in AgRP neurons (Krashes et al., 2014), ruling out a functional innervation of AgRP neurons by glutamatergic PVN-CRF neurons. The differences between this and our findings may be reconciled by the neuromodulating nature of CRF, which changes the excitability of neurons but does not directly activate ionotropic receptors.

Our electrophysiological results further expand the repertoire of CRF effects showing that CRF decreases AgRP neurons’ excitability by increasing the amplitude of the AHP. This effect resembles that of AgRP neurons lacking O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT), where AgRP neuronal excitability was inhibited through modulation of voltage-dependent potassium channels (Ruan et al., 2014).

Given the inhibitory effect of CRF on AgRP neurons, we speculated that the absence of CRF receptors in these neurons would mimic an over-activated state that could alter energy homeostasis because of increased intake or reduced energy expenditure.

With regard to food intake, it is important to note that although AgRP neurons are both mandatory and sufficient for feeding (Aponte et al., 2011; Luquet et al., 2005), several transgenic

Figure 5. CRFR1 in AgRP Neurons Are Required for Adaptive Thermogenesis

(A) Growth curves of female and male AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and control littermates (n = 3–18).

(B) Heat production of female AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and control littermates (n = 10 and 11).

(C) Body temperature of female AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and control littermates (n = 6 and 8).

(D) Relative Pomc and Mchr levels in hypothalami of female AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and control littermates (n = 8–12).

(E) Body temperature during cold challenge test (n = 6 and 8).

(F and G) Relative BAT Ucp1 expression (F) (n = 6 and 7) and BAT UCP1 immunoreactivity (G) following 7 h at 5 °C.

(H) Relative expression of thermogenic-related genes in inguinal WAT following 7 h at 5 °C (n = 5–8).

Data are shown as mean ± SEM. “p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. See also Figure S6.
mice with alterations in key components of the melanocortin system displayed similar food intake. For example, energy homeostasis was altered in neither POMC- nor AgRP-specific insulin receptor KO mice (Könner et al., 2007). Similarly, ablation of leptin receptor from POMC neurons did not alter energy intake (Balthasar et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2008). Additionally, overexpression of constitutive activated STAT3 in AgRP neurons led to leaness, but not as a result of decreased food intake (Mesaros et al., 2008). Also in the present study, the absence of an inhibitory action on AgRP neurons did not affect the consummatory behavior of the mice. This could be attributed to the regulatory nature of the CRF system with effects not necessarily reflected under basal conditions. Furthermore, deletion of CRFR1 occurs in part of AgRP neurons and thus its effects may be masked by the unmanipulated AgRP neuronal population.

Nevertheless, as expected, females lacking CRFR1 in AgRP neurons exhibited a small but significant reduction in heat production. This resembles mice lacking leptin receptor in AgRP neurons, which had lower body temperature with unaltered food intake (van de Wall et al., 2008). The unaltered body weight in light of reduced heat production is puzzling and in the long term is probably balanced by increased physical activity or altered food intake, which was not captured during the measurement window in the metabolic cages.

Figure 6. Females’ Adaptive Response to Fasting Requires CRFR1 in AgRP Neurons
(A) RER measured during fasting and refeeding in AgRP-CRFR1 female mice and control littermates (n = 11 and 12).
(B) Glucose levels following a pyruvate challenge (2 g/kg; n = 9–12 females).
(C–F) Relative hepatic expression of G6pase (C), Pgc1a (D), and Pck (E) (n = 6 or 7 females) and hypothalamic expression of Crf (F) (n = 7–11 females) at basal state and following 16 hr fast.
(G) In the Arc, half of CRFR1 neurons colocalize with AgRP neurons. About half of these neurons developed from a POMC progenitor. These neurons are activated in fasting or cold conditions by CRF neurons. CRFR1 signaling modulates ion channel activity, leading to hyperpolarization and contributing to increased thermogenesis and HGP under cold or fasting conditions, respectively.
Data are shown as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
The anabolic role of AgRP/NPY neurons goes beyond food intake, as was effectively shown by hyperinsulinemia and adiposity in paired fed NPY administered animals (Zarjevski et al., 1993). The inhibitory effect NPY neurons pose on BAT thermogenesis was demonstrated pharmacologically more than 20 years ago by central (Billington et al., 1991) or PVN-NPY administration (Billington et al., 1994). Consistent with this, mice in which NPY is expressed only in the Arc have reduced energy expenditure with lower BAT temperature mediated by reduced expression of PVN-tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (Shi et al., 2013). The higher sensitivity of mice lacking CRFR1 in AgRP neurons to a cold environment and the reduced induction of browning-related genes in the inguinal WAT supports the idea of mimicking activated AgRP neurons. This is in line with studies showing that AgRP administration decreases BAT temperature (Brito et al., 2007) and that AgRP neuronal activation leads to reduced browning of WAT (Ruan et al., 2014).

It was suggested that under cold conditions, FGF21, acting through β-Klotho in the SCN, stimulates PVN-CRF neurons to induce sympathetic activation (Owen et al., 2014). FGF21 plays a critical role in BAT thermogenesis and in the recruitment of brown-like adipocytes in WAT (Fisher et al., 2012). Our results may be incorporated and add the CRF action on AgRP+CRFR1+ neurons, which concurrently reduces AgRP-derived inhibitory tone to allow appropriate sympathetic activation.

Remarkably, we revealed a role of CRFR1 in AgRP neurons in regulating fasting glucose levels by influencing HGP. Endogenous glucose production, primarily by the liver, is an important mechanism for maintaining fasting serum glucose levels (Saltiel, 2001). The PVN plays a key regulatory role in HGP induced by sympathetic activation. Triiodothyronine administration to the PVN increased HGP via sympathetic projections to the liver, independently of circulating glucoregulatory hormones (Klieverik et al., 2009). Furthermore, activation of NMDA receptors or blockade of GABA receptors in the PVN induces HGP due primarily to activation of the sympathetic input to the liver. This induction was shown to depend on removal of GABAergic input to the PVN (Kalsbeek et al., 2004). The GABAergic input was suggested to originate from the SCN. Here we suggest that AgRP neurons contribute further to this phenomenon by delivering GABAergic input to sympathetic preautonomic neurons in the PVN, resulting in an increased HGP. This effect of Arc-CRFR1 is complementary to the counter-regulatory enhancing effect described for VMH-CRFR1 during acute hypoglycemia (Cheng et al., 2007). Overall, activation of the CRFR1 system acts through several mechanisms in different hypothalamic nuclei and aims to defend blood glucose levels.

Interestingly, although the CRF action on electrophysiological properties of AgRP+CRFR1+ neurons was similar in males and females, the main differences we found between AgRPΔCRFR1 and control mice were observed only in females. The Arc and AgRP neurons in particular were not associated previously with sexual dimorphism. However, several studies specifically manipulating AgRP neurons provided results obtained from conditional female mice only. For example, ablation of AgRP-VTA pathway increased the development of drug-associated preference in females (Dietrich et al., 2012). Similarly, O-GlcNAc signaling in AgRP neurons was shown to be required for the regulation of WAT browning by fasting and ghrelin, but only results from female mice lacking OGT in AgRP neurons were presented (Ruan et al., 2014). Interestingly, a sex-specific phenotype was observed in females lacking leptin receptor in POMC neurons, which, as in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice, exhibited reduced heat production with unaltered food intake (Shi et al., 2008). Likewise, females lacking STAT3 from POMC neurons have a stronger phenotype with a larger reduction in Pomc expression (Xu et al., 2007). These phenotypes could also stem from POMC-originated AgRP neurons that were manipulated (i.e., the same neurons we manipulated in this study). Moreover, in the present study, the sex-specific phenotype could also be attributed to sexual differences in the stress response. Estrogen receptor α is not expressed by AgRP neurons (Olofsson et al., 2009); still, estrogen directly stimulates the CRF promoter and potentiates norepinephrine actions (Vamvakopoulos and Chrousos, 1993, 1994). In this context, it is important to note that within each sex, the differences are independent of HPA function and of GC action, which were unaltered.

Stress-related pathologies might be alleviated by CRFR1 antagonists. Hypoglycemia and reduced thermogenesis, which may lead to obesity, are potential side effects that emerge from the present study because of blockage of Arc-CRFR1. The capacity to block Arc-CRFR1 should also be examined for compounds designed to antagonize peripheral CRF action because of the close proximity to the median eminence lacking an intact blood-brain barrier. This should be considered particularly in women.

From an evolutionary point of view, an organism should have a stronger signal favoring food consumption than satiation in order to survive times with limited access to food. This notion is supported by the observation that a portion of AgRP neurons evolved from neurons with an opposite action. The higher proportion of orexigenic neurons requires governing mechanisms to ensure that other needs will be fulfilled as well. CRFR1 presence in a subpopulation of AgRP neurons provides the organism with such a regulatory tool, acting as a gatekeeper, which assists the organism in recruiting its resources for survival purposes.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animal Care**

Mice were housed and handled in a pathogen-free, temperature-controlled (22°C ± 1°C) mouse facility on a reverse 12/12 hr light/dark cycle, with lights switched on at 10 p.m. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Weizmann Institute of Science approved all procedures. Animals were fed a regular chow diet (2018 Teklad Global 18% Protein Rodent Diet). Animals were given ad libitum access to food and water. Food was withdrawn only if required for an experiment.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Animals were anesthetized and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were post-fixed with 30% sucrose solution in 4% PFA. Fixed brains were serially sectioned (30 μm) and divided into three sets. For quantification, at least two mice were used, and from each mouse a complete set was immunostained and analyzed. Briefly, sections were incubated in blocking solution (20% horse serum, 0.3% Triton in PBS) for 1 hr to prevent nonspecific binding of the antibody. Next, sections were incubated overnight at room temperature with the primary antibody in PBS containing 2% horse serum and 0.3% Triton. Following PBS washes, sections were incubated for...
1 hr at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories). Sections were washed with PBS and then mounted on slides. The following antibodies were used: GFP, PSD95, and mCherry (1:250, 1:500, and 1:1,000, respectively; Abcam); ACHT (1:1,000; DAKO); AgRP (1:200; Phoenix Pharmaceuticals); Tyrosin Hydroxylase (1:1,000; Millipore); CRF and SST (both 1:1,000; kindly provided by the late Professor Wylie Vale); pSTAT3 (1:250; Cell Signaling Technology); and c-fos (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The M.O.M. staining kit (Vector Laboratories) was used when the primary antibody was of mouse origin. Immunohistochemical staining for UCP1 on BAT was carried out using paraffin sections with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase (avidin biotin complex method; Vector Laboratories) using the rabbit anti-Ucp1 Ab (Abcam). Sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Image Analysis**

Images were captured using a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510 and LSM700). For quantification of AgRP-CRFR1 colocalization, and for assessing pSTAT3, a complete set from each mouse was imaged at 2 μm intervals. For the projections analysis, images were captured at 63× magnification in Z sections of 1 μm intervals. For each terminal field two to six images were analyzed from at least two males and two females. A researcher blind to the slice origin performed quantification of the projections as well as of leptin-responsive neurons using IMMARIS software.

**Metabolic Studies**

Indirect calorimetry, food and water intake, and locomotor activity were measured using the Labmaster system (TSE-Systems), as previously described (Kuperman et al., 2010). Food deprivation was initiated 3 hr after the beginning of the dark phase, and the refeeding period started at the beginning of the subsequent dark phase.

**Body Temperature Measurements**

Mice were implanted with an Implantable Programmable Temperature and Identification Transponder (IPTT-300; Bio Medica Data Systems) under isoflurane anesthesia. Body temperature data were obtained using a SP-6005 reader (Bio Medica Data Systems).

**Statistical Analyses**

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using repeated-measures two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc t tests or Student’s t tests as appropriate, using Prism software (GraphPad Software).

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and six figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.04.017.
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CRFR1 in AgRP Neurons Modulates Sympathetic Nervous System Activity to Adapt to Cold Stress and Fasting
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Figure S1. Characterization of AgRP^CRFR1^ neurons. Related to Figure 1.

A. Number of CRFR1-GFP and AgRP^TOM^ neurons in males and females CRFR1-GFP-AGRP^TOM^ mice (n=7 for each sex).

B. Relative Crfr1 and Agrp expression in the MBH of WT ICR mice (n=9 for each sex).

C. Immunostaining of c-Fos in the Arc of fed and overnight-fasted CRFR1-GFP-Agrp^TOM^ reporter mice.

D. Immunostaining of c-Fos in the Arc of ghrelin or saline injected CRFR1-GFP-Agrp^TOM^ reporter mice.

E. Percentage of pSTAT3 positive neurons out of CRFR1-GFP^+/AgRP^TOM^+/CRFR1-GFP-Agrp^TOM^+ neurons in males and females CRFR1-GFP- AgRP^TOM^ mice (n=3 for each sex) and in female CRFR1-GFP- POMC^TOM^ mice (n=2).
Figure S2. Projection mapping of AgRP⁺CRFR1⁺ neurons. Related to Figure 3.

Nine known AGRP neuron projection fields were analyzed for AgRP⁺GFP⁺ projections.

(i) Brain coronal section adapted from the Paxinos & Franklin mouse brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001), black box indicates region analyzed. (ii) Overlaid images of AgRP<sup>TOM</sup> fluorescence and GFP immunoreactivity. (iii) Tomato fluorescence reveals AgRP projections. (iv) GFP immunoreactivity in each region. See also Figure 3.

DMH- dorsomedial hypothalamus, PVT- paraventricular nucleus of thalamus, mPO- medial preoptic area, CeA- central amygdala, PBN- parabrachial nucleus. Scale bar, 25 μm.
Figure S3. Unaltered HPA function in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice. Related to Figure 4.

A. *Crfr1* expression in several brain regions and peripheral tissues in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and their control littermates (n=6).

B. Pituitary *Crfr1* expression in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice and their control littermates (n=5-6).

Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
C. Basal and stress induced HPA function in females (left; n=8) and males (right; n=8-9) AgRPΔCRFR1 mice. Gray rectangle represents restraint time. Data are shown as mean±SEM.

D-F. CRF has no effect on AgRP neurons which do not express CRFR1. All parameters tested, firing rate (D), AHP amplitude (E), and $R_{in}$ (F) remain constant in the presence of CRF (125nM; n=7 neurons). Each single experiment is represented with blue (female) or orange (male) circles and the histogram the mean ± SEM.
Figure S4. Unaltered body composition and glucose homeostasis in AgRPΔCRFR1 mice.

Related to Figure 5.

A,B Body composition of females (A; n=6) and males (B; n=6-9) AgRPΔCRFR1 mice.

C-F Glucose and insulin tolerance tests in females (C,E; n=10, n=5-6) and males (D,F n=14-15; n=12-14) AgRPΔCRFR1 mice.

Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Figure S5. Phenotypes of AgRPΔCRFR1 female mice. Related to Figures 5 and 6.

A. Locomotor activity (n=11-13)
B. Food intake (n=10-11)
C. Food intake in 30min following ghrelin or saline injection (n=7)
D. Relative expression of selected genes in the BAT following exposure to 5°C (n=6-8).
E. Hepatic Fgf21 relative expression at fast and fed state (n=7).
F. Hepatic Foxo1 relative expression at fast and fed state (n=7).
G. Fasting corticosterone levels (n=4-5).

Data are shown as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05.
Figure S6. Phenotypes of AgRP△CRFR1 male mice. Related to Figures 5 and 6

A. Relative Pomc expression in the mediobasal hypothalamus (n=5-6)
B. Food intake (n=9)
C. Heat production (n=9-10)
D. Body temperature (n=6-9).
E. Body temperature during cold challenge test (n=5-10).
F. Relative BAT Ucp1 expression following exposure to 7h in 5°C (n=6-7).
G. RER kinetics during food deprivation and refeeding (n=11-13).
H. Glucose levels following pyruvate injection (2gr/kg; n=6-9)

Data are shown as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05.

Supplemental Experimental procedures

Mice

CRFR1-GFP mice were kindly provided by the late Prof. Wylie Vale, Salk Institute, CA, USA. RIP-Cre mice (Postic et al., 1999) were kindly provided by Dr. Eran Hornstein, Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel.

The following lines were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA): AgRP-IRES-Cre (Stock# 012899), POMC-Cre (Stock# 005965), CRF-IRES-Cre (Stock# 012704) and tdTomato reporter (Stock# 007909). To generate AgRP-conditional CRFR1 knockouts, AgRP-IRES-Cre mice had been backcrossed for four generations on a C57BL/6 background and then intercrossed with CRFR1loxP/loxP mice in which two loxP sites flank the second exon (Kuhne et al., 2012). Both experimental and control groups carried 2 floxed CRFR1 alleles, while only the experimental group carried the Cre allele. The genotyping reaction included the multiplex primer (Kuhne et al., 2012) which allows the detection of...
AgRP-CRFR1−/− mice that show somatic recombination owing to stochastic embryonic expression of AgRP-IRES-Cre. These mice were excluded. Primers sequence will be sent upon request.

**Stereotaxic injections**

For immunostaining of secreted neuropeptides located in the axons terminals, mice were injected with colchicine which inhibits microtubule polymerization resulting in concentration of neuropeptides in the cell body. Colchicine (2µl of 1µg/µl) was injected to the lateral ventricles (injection coordinates: AP-0.22mm; ML+0.95mm; DV-2.2mm). Mice were sacrificed by perfusion when locomotor symptoms were observed.

For observation of synapses originated from PVN-CRF neurons, 300nl AAV9-CMV-Flex-synaptophysin-mCherry viral vector (McGovern Institute for Brain Research at MIT) were injected bilaterally into the PVN of CRF-Cre mice crossed with CRFR1-GFP mice (injection coordinates: AP-0.9mm; ML±0.3mm; DV-4.5mm). Mice were perfused 1 month following the injection.

**Electrophysiology**

Adult (6-to10 week-old) male and female mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane (Abbott, Abbott Park, IL, USA) and decapitated. The brain was gently removed from the skull and chilled in ice-cold choline chloride-based cutting solution containing (in mM): 120 choline chloride, 3 KCl, 27 NaHCO3, 2 MgCl2, 17 D-glucose, pH 7.4, saturated with carbogen (95% O2/5% CO2). The brain was trimmed in a large block containing the hypothalamus and afterwards sliced using a vibratome (HM650V, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). 300-µm-thick coronal slices were cut through the full extent of the arcuate nucleus/lateral hypothalamus. Slices were incubated for 45 min at 34°C in artificial cerebrospinal fluid
(ACSF), containing in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 1 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, and 25 Glucose, pH 7.3, saturated with carbogen. Subsequently slices were maintained in ACSF at room temperature (23–25 °C) for at least 60 min prior to patch-clamp recordings. ACSF contained 50 µM APV and 5µM NBQX to block ionotropic glutamate receptors.

All experiments were carried out at room temperature and slices were continuously superfused with ACSF containing 50 µM APV and 5 µM NBQX (4-5 ml/min). Neurons of the arcuate nucleus co-expressing AgRP-tdTomato and CRFR1-GFP, or AgRP-tdTomato alone, were visually identified by epifluorescence microscopy. After identification, the cell bodies of these neurons were visualized by infrared videomicroscopy and the gradient contrast system. Somatic whole-cell patch-clamp recordings (seal resistance >1GΩ) were performed in bridge mode using a discontinuous single-electrode voltage-clamp amplifier (SEC-10L, npi electronics, Tamm, Germany). Only measurements of the access resistance (Rₐ) were done in voltage-clamp mode (holding potential -70 mV). The current/potential was low-pass filtered at 3 kHz, digitized at 9 kHz via an ITC-16 interface and stored with the standard software Pulse 8.31 (HEKA Elektronik, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany). The patch-clamp electrodes (open-tip resistance 4-5 MΩ) were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (Harvard Apparatus, Kent, UK) on a DMZ-Universal puller (Zeitz-Instruments, Munich, Germany) and filled with a solution consisting of (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 5 NaCl, 2 MgCl₂, 5 Glucose, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 20 phosphocreatine, pH 7.3 with KOH, osmolarity 305mOsm (all substances were from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

5 min after reaching the whole-cell configuration, injection of 400-ms-long depolarizing current pulses was used to induce mild firing (1-6 action potentials) of the neurons. CRF (125 nM, Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) was bath applied and the same current pulses were
applied again 15 min after starting CRF application. Due to CRF-independent fluctuations in the resting membrane potential (RMP), analysis of CRF effects on this parameter could not be performed. If such fluctuations occurred, we used continuous current injection to shift the RMP for the experiments in the presence of CRF to the control value.

Offline analysis was performed using the Pulse Software and statistical analysis with SigmaStat 3.5. Statistical comparisons were carried out using two-tailed paired t-tests with significance declared at p<0.05.

**RNA preparation and quantitative-PCR**

RNA was extracted from hypothalamic, mediobasal hypothalami (MBH), livers, adrenal glands, BAT or iWAT as indicated using miRNaesy mini kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA was extracted from olfactory bulb, cortex, testis, ovaries, lungs and skeletal muscle using TRIzol reagent (life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. RNA was reverse transcribed to generate cDNA using high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems Inc, Foster City, California). cDNA product corresponding to 10 ng RNA was used as templates for real-time PCR analysis.

Quantitative (q) PCR analysis was carried out using the StepOnePlus system using either SYBR Green or Taqman assays (Applied Biosystems, LifeTechnologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Primers were designed to span introns using Primer Express (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). For The following TaqMan assays were used: mCRFR1–assay #Mm01240376_m1; mHPRT–assay #Mm01545399_m1. Primers sequence will be sent upon request.
In-situ hybridization

For detection of co-localization on single cell level, double ISH (DISH) was performed as previously described (Refojo et al., 2011). The following riboprobes were used: *Crfr1*, nucleotides 1728-2428 of GenBank accession no. NM_007762; *Gfp*, nucleotides 679-1396 of GenBank accession no. U55762. Specific DNA fragments coding for the riboprobes were generated by PCR applying T7 and T3 or SP6 primers using plasmids containing the above-mentioned cDNAs as templates. Antisense and sense cRNA probes were synthesized and labeled with 35SUTP or dioxygenin (DIG) by in vitro transcription from 200 ng of respective PCR product used as templates. For DIG detection anti-DIG-POD (Fab) antibody was used 1:400. Tiramide-biotin signal amplification (TSA) was performed using the NEL700A Kit.

Glucose, insulin and pyruvate tolerance tests

GTT and ITT were performed as previously described (Chen et al., 2006). Briefly, following 5.5 h of fasting, glucose (2 g/kg body weight) or insulin (0.75 units/kg bodyweight, Sigma-Aldrich Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) were injected i.p. and glucose levels were measured at the indicated time points using an automatic glucometer (Roche Diagnostics). For pyruvate tolerance test, mice were fasted for 16 h before pyruvate injection (2 g/kg, Sigma-Aldrich Co).

Body composition

Mice body composition was assessed using EchoMRI-100TM (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX, USA).
**Ghrelin and leptin injections**

For assessing leptin-induced STAT3 phosphorylation, food deprived mice were perfused 1 hour following i.p. leptin injection (3µg/gr; PLR Ltd, Rehovot, Israel). For assessing ghrelin-induced neuronal activation, mice were perfused 1 hour following i.p. ghrelin injection (3µg/gr; kindly provided by the late Prof. Wylie Vale, Salk Institute, CA, USA).

**Corticosterone measurement**

For the evaluation of the endocrine response to stress, tail blood samples were collected before (basal), immediately after 20 minutes of restraint stress, and 80 and 120 minutes after stress initiation. Restraint stress was induced using a 50-mL ventilated conical tube. Plasma samples were immediately centrifuged and stored at −80°C until assays were conducted. Corticosterone concentrations were quantified using a corticosterone enzyme immunoassay kit (Cayman Chemical Co, Ann Harbor, Michigan, USA).

**Ghrelin sensitivity test**

Ghrelin (3 µg/gr) was injected i.p. into fed mice during the light phase and food intake was measured during 30 minutes. As control, similar volume of saline was injected on the subsequent day.
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