Spanning high-dimensional expression space using ribosome-binding site combinatorics
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ABSTRACT

Protein levels are a dominant factor shaping natural and synthetic biological systems. Although proper functioning of metabolic pathways relies on precise control of enzyme levels, the experimental ability to balance the levels of many genes in parallel is a major outstanding challenge. Here, we introduce a rapid and modular method to span the expression space of several proteins in parallel. By combinatorially pairing genes with a compact set of ribosome-binding sites, we modulate protein abundance by several orders of magnitude. We demonstrate our strategy by using a synthetic operon containing fluorescent proteins to span a 3D color space. Using the same approach, we modulate a recombinant carotenoid biosynthesis pathway in

Escherichia coli
to reveal a diversity of phenotypes, each characterized by a distinct carotenoid accumulation profile. In a single combinatorial assembly, we achieve a yield of the industrially valuable compound astaxanthin 4-fold higher than previously reported. The methodology presented here provides an efficient tool for exploring a high-dimensional expression space to locate desirable phenotypes.

INTRODUCTION

Native protein abundance in bacteria spans over four orders of magnitude, from a handful of molecules per cell to tens of thousands (1). The intricate balance of protein expression levels is at the heart of proper functioning of biological systems (2) and is often critical for the efficient activity of metabolic pathways (3). In contrast to native biological systems, where the balancing of protein levels is selected for during evolution (4), the expression of a synthetic system can lead to imbalances in protein concentrations (5). As a result, non-native metabolic pathways rarely function optimally when first introduced, and the enzyme levels must be fine-tuned (6). The ability to achieve a balanced expression of multiple genes is often critical for metabolic engineering efforts, where an optimization process is needed to increase the productivity of non-natural biosynthetic pathways towards industrial-scale biochemical production.

Figure 1 schematically depicts the major challenges associated with imbalanced enzyme concentrations, based on a two enzyme metabolic pathway model (Supplementary Results). Low enzyme expression can limit the pathway flux and, therefore, product synthesis rate (blue region). At the other extreme, excessive expression will lead to protein burden (7), resulting in the depletion of cellular resources that limit growth (purple region). Finally, imbalances between enzymes producing and consuming an intermediate metabolite can result in a metabolic bottleneck and a high concentration of potentially toxic pathway intermediates (6) (green region). Only a small region of enzyme expression space is considered to be balanced regarding all three criteria.

How can one arrive at this balanced combination in vivo? In the vast majority of cases, it is infeasible to a priori determine the expression level required for an optimal activity of a system. Thus, it is vital to develop a method to scan the protein expression space. Several experimental approaches for controlling the intracellular abundance of proteins exist, such as altering the promoter (8) and the ribosome-binding site (RBS) (9,10) sequences, modulating the stability of transcripts (11,12) or varying...
the degradation rate of the mature protein (13). Yet, how can modulation of protein expression be systematically used to span a high-dimensional space? Here, we present a method that uses the relatively short RBS sequence in a combinatorial manner to explore protein expression space to locate desired phenotypes. Previous studies, most notably by Salis et al., demonstrated that changes in the RBS sequence can affect protein expression levels by several orders of magnitude (9). Rather than randomly mutating the RBS sequence, an approach that often requires the screening of an extremely large number of mutants, most of which showing knocked down expression, we use a small set of RBS sequences to modulate in parallel the protein expression levels of multiple genes over several orders of magnitude. Using this approach, we are able to efficiently scan a large fraction of the expression space with a manageable set of tested genotypes. Our methodology involves combinatorially pairing target genes with a small set of RBS sequences and assembling them into a library of synthetic operons. In this manuscript, we demonstrate that such an approach is a fast and efficient way to explore a multi-dimensional expression space and achieve diverse phenotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and reagents

The bacterial strain used for cloning and constructs assembly was Escherichia coli DH5α unless stated otherwise. All primers were synthesized by Sigma Aldrich, Israel. Polymerase chain reaction reactions were performed using Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes, Finland). Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England BioLabs (Beverly, MA, USA) unless stated otherwise.

Iterative operon assembly process

For the construction of RBS-modulated synthetic operons, we used an approach that adheres to the principles described in ‘Idempotent Vector Design for Standard Assembly of BioBrick’, also known as the BioBrick (14) standard. To facilitate the assembly process, we first concatenate a chloramphenicol resistance marker (CmR) to each of the DNA sequences designated for assembly. The CmR cassette is paired to the DNA sequence using polymerase chain reaction overlap extension before the assembly process. Next, the target DNA is assembled into a vector using a standard restriction–ligation process. On transformation, only clones that contain properly assembled constructs are able to form colonies on agar plates supplemented with Cm. As the resistance cassette is flanked by restriction sites, it can be easily removed when preparing the vector for the next assembly cycle. In this manner, it is possible to perform multiple assembly rounds while using a single resistance marker (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure S1).

Combinatorial assembly of RBS-modulated operons

For any coding sequence designated for assembly, we first sub-cloned the coding sequence into a linearized vector mixture where each vector contains a distinct RBS sequence upstream to the cloning site (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figures S2–S5). To assemble a combinatorial library of operons, where each variant contains the same order of genes but with a different combination of RBS sequences, we construct in parallel the RBS-modulated mixture for each of the desired genes. We then perform iterative assembly steps, where at each step an additional RBS-modulated coding sequence is added along the operon, as described earlier in the text. Therefore, by repeating this process for N rounds, where in each round an additional RBS-modulated coding sequence is added along the operon, as described earlier in the text. Therefore, by repeating this process for N rounds, where in each round an additional RBS-modulated coding sequence is added along the operon, we sequentially assemble a combinatorial mixture of plasmids. Where each plasmid contains the same N coding sequences in a pre-defined order but which are driven by a varying combination of the six RBS sequences (Supplementary Figures S6–S10).

Fluorescence measurements of reporter proteins

E. coli K12 MG1655 cells transformed with a tri-color reporter operon (Supplementary Figure S11) were grown in M9 media supplemented with 0.2% glucose and chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml). After overnight incubation, cells were diluted (1:2500) in a 96-well plate containing the same media and incubated in an automated robotic platform (Evoware II, Tecan). Every 15 min the plate was transferred by a robotic arm into a multi-well environment.
fluorometer (Infinite M200-pro, Tecan). In each measurement, OD was measured at 600 nm, mCherry was measured by excitation at 587 nm and emission measurement at 620 nm and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was measured by excitation at 520 nm and emission measurement at 555 nm. We used the fluorescent protein accumulation rate as a measurement for RBS strength, a detailed description of the algorithm used for data analysis can be found in section 5.4.2 of the Supplementary Methods. For flow cytometry measurements, cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with 0.2% glucose until mid-exponential phase (OD ≈ 0.3). Fluorescence was quantified using BD LSR II Flow Cytometer. A blue laser (488 nm) and a 530 ± 30 nm emission filter were used to measure YFP fluorescence, and a yellow laser (560 nm) and a 610 ± 20 nm emission filter were used to measure mCherry fluorescence. In all, ≈100 000 cells were recorded in each flow cytometry experiment (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure S6).

Microscopy and fluorescence imaging

Fluorescence images of colonies expressing RBS-modulated variants of the tri-color reporter operon were taken using a Nikon ECLIPSE E800 microscope equipped with a Nikon Intensilight (C-HGFIIE) for illumination. Chroma filter cubes were used to image fluorescence proteins: mCherry (excitation filter 530–560 nm, emission filter 590–650 nm, 30 ms exposure), cyan fluorescent protein (mCYP: excitation filter 426–446 nm, emission filter 460–500 nm, 60 ms exposure) and YFP (mYFP: excitation filter 490–510 nm, emission filter 520–550 nm, 800 ms exposure). Images were captured with a Nikon DS-5M-L1 digital Sight Camera System using the NIS-Elements BR3.22 software. Different channels were overlaid to give the figures shown. Images of colonies appearing in Figure 5B were taken using a binocular microscope (WILD M8; Heerbrugg, Switzerland) with Schott Ace Fiber Optic Light Source 150W Microscope Illuminator. Images were captured using a Nikon Digital Sight Camera System. Stitching of adjacent fields was done using AutoStitch software (http://www.cs.bath.ac.uk/brown/autostitch/autostitch.html).

Carotenoid extraction and analysis

To screen the combinatorial library (Supplementary Figure S12) for astaxanthin producing variants, we visually inspected ~25 000 colonies representing ~10% of the total number of the 6^7 possible RBS combinations (seven genes in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway that are modulated, each with six distinct RBS sequences). We re-streaked 500 colonies whose color resembled that of astaxanthin. Out of this sub-group, we chose 50 clones that exhibited the most intense color for thin-layer chromatography carotenoid profile analysis. The thin-layer chromatography allowed examining the carotenoid profile and estimating astaxanthin accumulation levels. The highest astaxanthin producing colonies were selected for further analysis using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Selected clones were grown in shake flasks containing 100 ml of LB medium. After 48 h incubation at 37°C, 20-ml samples were taken from the culture, and cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cell pellet was washed with cold water, and carotenoids were extracted by vigorous shaking with 1:1 volume of acetone. Insoluble components of the extract were removed by centrifugation (15 000g), and supernatant was transferred into a glass round-bottom flask for evaporation in a rotary evaporator. Dried extract was re-solvated in 1.5 ml of acetone, and 50 μl of samples was injected for HPLC analysis. HPLC analysis was performed using Jasco platform with high pressure mixing installed with a Borwin software, P4987 pumps and an MD-915 photodiode array detector. Samples were analyzed by injecting 50 μl on a YMC pack ODS-A column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm, 12 nm). Solvent A: 75% aqueous methanol, Solvent B: ethylacetate. Solvent flow rate of 0.6 ml/min was used with the following gradient: 15–85% of B (0–24 min), 85% (24–30 min), 85–15% (30–34 min) and 15% (34–40 min). The spectra of the eluted carotenoids were recorded online with the photodiode array detector (300–900 nm). Carotenoid compounds were identified by co-chromatography with authentic standard compounds and by analysis of their UV-Vis spectra. For the quantification of the carotenoid compounds, the integrated peak areas were compared with those of authentic standards. The concentration of the standard solutions was determined spectrophotometrically (15) (Jasco V-570 instrument). For additional identification, HPLC peaks were collected and directly injected into a mass-spectrometer (Micromass Quattro Ultima tandem quadrupole instrument equipped with a Z-spray ESI interface and Waters Masslynx v4.1 software). The corresponding masses were analyzed from obtained full-scan [ESI(+), m/z 100–1000] mass spectra (16). To measure dry cell weight, 20 ml from cell culture was taken for each sample. Cells were centrifuged, and cell pellet was transferred into pre-weighted tubes. Cell pellet was lyophilized for 24 h before dry cell weight measurement.

RESULTS

RBS combinatorics is an efficient method to explore a high-dimensional expression space

As RBS expression modulators we selected six sequences that were previously demonstrated by Salis et al. to span several orders of magnitude in protein expression levels (9) (Figure 2A). These synthetic DNA sequences are composed of three distinct functional parts: (i) a spacer sequence (5’-UTR), (ii) RBS core, which can also be referred to as a modified Shine–Dalgarno sequence, and (iii) the ATG starting codon followed by 6His-tag encoded in the N-terminus of the protein. Distinct RBS expression modulators contain a modified Shine–Dalgarno sequence as an RBS core, but they are flanked by identical upstream and downstream insulator sequences.

First, we quantified the effect of RBS sequences on protein expression levels by placing each sequence upstream to a YFP reporter and measuring the fluorescence signal using flow cytometry (see Supplementary Methods). The six RBS sequences were labeled ‘A’ to
in descending order of expression level. As shown in Figure 2B, a small set of RBS sequences can span ~100-fold in protein expression levels. Next, we asked whether it is possible to use this compact set of RBS sequences to assemble a library that spans a high-dimensional expression space. We aimed to assemble a combinatorial library of operons in which each variant contains the same genes but under the translational regulation of different RBS sequences.

To facilitate the library construction process, we used an augmented BioBrick (14) cloning strategy designated for the assembly of synthetic operons (Figure 3). In this procedure, genetic parts are iteratively assembled using a positive-selection stage that bypasses the need for time-consuming screening steps. Briefly, a chloramphenicol (Cm) resistance cassette is joined to all of the genetic parts that are to be assembled. In each step, an additional genetic part is incorporated into the construct while the resistance cassette enables a direct selection for properly assembled constructs. The vector is then 'recycled' for the next iteration by excising the resistance cassette. The resulting library of operons is then transformed into cells and screened for a desired phenotype. The observed color space indicates that the combinatorial assembly of RBS sequences can significantly modulate expression level of multiple genes within the operon (Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). Each genetic variant in the library contains a distinct barcode sequence from which the RBS composition of all the genes in the operon can be inferred in a single sequencing reaction (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

RBS-modulated expression of multiple genes: a tri-color fluorescent system

To test whether RBS combinatorics can successfully span a multi-dimensional expression space, we constructed a tri-color reporter system. CFP, YFP and mCherry were randomly paired with three representatives of our RBS set (RBS sequences ‘A’, ‘C’ and ‘E’) and assembled together to yield a library of synthetic operons. The resulting library, therefore, contained $3^3 = 27$ genetic variants, in which all three genes are in the same order but under the regulation of different RBS sequences. On transformation, colonies display distinct color patterns (Figure 4B), resulting from differential expression of the fluorescent reporters. The observed color space indicates that the combinatorial assembly of RBS sequences can significantly modulate expression level of multiple genes within the operon (Figure 4C). We verified that the different colors observed are attributed to different combinations of RBS sequences by sequencing sample clones and quantifying their fluorescence levels. In addition, we measured the fluorescence protein accumulations rates in an operon consisting of YFP and mCherry and found a grid of nine clusters (Figure 4D), where each distinct cluster contains variants with an identical RBS composition. Moreover, the
operon library differs only in the RBS sequences regulating gene expression. We assembled the genes together into a synthetic operon. The resulting three representatives of our RBS set (sequences ‘A’, ‘C’ and ‘E’) and the accumulation rate of mCherry is evident, where higher protein accumulation rate of YFP modulates the effect of translational coupling. Identical genotypes (each labeled by a distinct color) cluster together in the fluorescence space. The effect of translational coupling is also apparent on a 3D grid where the position on each axis corresponds to the RBS composition, as determined by barcode sequencing, is shown. Colonies containing the tri-color RBS-modulated operon are arranged on a 3D grid where the position on each axis corresponds to the RBS strength of the fluorescent protein. (Supplementary Results), and we suggest it is a manifestation of translational coupling (17) between adjacent genes in the operon. The tri-color reporter system is further analyzed in the supplementary section and is an ideal synthetic platform for extending the quantitative analysis of translational coupling (Supplementary Figure S15).

**RBS-modulated expression of a metabolic pathway: carotenoid biosynthesis in E. coli**

Our tri-color reporter system demonstrates that a combinatorial assembly of RBS sequences can span a large fraction of a multi-dimensional expression space. Yet, what is the effect of such expression modulation on the operation of a metabolic pathway? To address this question, we cloned seven genes that compose the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway into E. coli (18–20). The end product of this exogenous metabolic pathway is astaxanthin, a high-value xanthophyll (21) known for its potent antioxidant properties. To explore the effect of combinatorial RBS modulation on the biosynthesis of astaxanthin in E. coli, each of the genes in the carotenoid pathway was randomly paired with our RBS set and assembled into a library of synthetic operons (Figure 5A). As shown in Figure 5B, the transformed E. coli colonies display a variety of colors and intensities. The color pattern of each colony is attributed to differential accumulation of distinct carotenoid intermediates, each colony having a unique color correlated with the carotenoid composition. For each sampled clone, we determined the RBS composition in the operon by sequencing, and quantified the carotenoid profile using HPLC. Figure 5C shows that clones differing in their RBS composition exhibit diverse carotenoid profiles: some clones accumulate mainly a single product, whereas others produced significant levels of a variety of carotenoids. By screening the combinatorial RBS library for astaxanthin producing variants, we were able to isolate clones yielding up to 2.6 mg/g cell dry weight. Based on previous attempts to optimize astaxanthin production (22), we aimed to further enhance astaxanthin production by overexpressing dxs—a gene feeding into the carotenoid pathway. The incorporation of an RBS-modulated dxs gene into the synthetic operon led to further increase in astaxanthin production from 2.6 to 5.8 mg/g of cell dry weight. This astaxanthin production yield is ~4-fold higher than the best previously reported results (22). Although the carotenoid profile and astaxanthin accumulation levels varied significantly among sampled clones, we did not observe a consistent correlation between astaxanthin productivity and the growth rate of the cells (Supplementary Table S3). Similarly, the yield of the high astaxanthin clones was not any lower than the other clones.

![Figure 4](http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/)
DISCUSSION

Tuning the expression of recombinant enzymes is essential for the optimization of multi-step metabolic pathways (Figure 1). There are two main strategies to achieve balanced expression levels. On one hand, rational design involves the calculation or estimation of the relative and absolute amount of each of the pathway’s components (23). However, such attempts are often limited by the lack of sufficient information regarding the kinetics, energetics and regulation of pathway components. Alternatively, strategies based on random mutagenesis of regulatory elements can sample the expression space (24). Yet, even a large pool of mutants do not ensure adequate coverage of the expression space: often, the vast majority of genotypes are clustered in a small portion of the phenotypic space. Moreover, random mutagenesis often yields large libraries, in which screening for a desired phenotype can be challenging or even infeasible. In this study, we introduce a strategy that facilitates the exploration of the phenotypic space using a compact set of regulatory elements. By using a small set of well-characterized RBS sequences to regulate the expression of multiple genes in a synthetic operon, we were able to efficiently sample the multi-dimensional expression space across several orders of magnitude in each axis. The small size of the RBS set can limit the number of genetic variants in the library and enable exhaustive screening. In addition, even in cases in which the screening throughput is limited and the magnitude of the combinatorial library prevents an exhaustive screen, our approach allows to sample the combinatorial space in a way that covers different options in terms of expression levels. Our aim was not to check each and every possible combination but rather to effectively use the limited number of clones that can be screened to locate desired phenotypes.

As a metabolic test case, RBS modulation of carotenoid biosynthesis in *E. coli* suggests that the accumulation of metabolic products of a pathway varies significantly according to the RBS sequences regulating its constituent enzymes. The relationship between the genotype (i.e. the regulating RBS sequences across the operon) and the phenotype (i.e. the accumulation of specific products) is not trivial (Figure 5), suggesting that a rational design would probably fail to achieve optimal metabolic production. As exemplified in our construction efforts, an operon ‘rationally’ designed for high levels of expression modulation could not be functionally expressed, presumably because of either protein or metabolic burden issues. In contrast, the combinatorial assembly of the astaxanthin biosynthetic pathway resulted in a 4-fold yield increase over conventional assembly and selection methods (22) without any significant decrease in the growth rate or yield of the cells. In conclusion, our results demonstrate the need for an efficient method sampling the expression space to locate an ideally balanced pathway.

To compare the results obtained by our combinatorial approach with ‘rational’ conventional manual assembly methods, we constructed several pre-designed operons. As expected, an operon modulated uniformly by the weak ‘E’ RBS sequences produces little astaxanthin and mostly intermediate carotenoids (Supplementary Figure S16). At the other extreme, although we were able to assemble an operon composed solely from the strong ‘A’ RBS on a promoterless assembly vector, we did not succeed in sub-cloning this operon into our expression vector containing the strong Ptac promoter. A similar situation occurred while attempting to construct other operons composed of strong RBS sequences (several ‘B’s or ‘C’s followed by ‘A’s). These results are consistent with the situation depicted in Figure 1 where the construct viability or genetic stability can be lost at high expression levels, for example, because of protein burden or metabolic imbalance. Therefore, by constructing a combinatorial library containing a range of RBS sequences with varying strengths, our method allows to sample the expression space and locate an RBS combination where the desired phenotype is achieved without hampering viability.
Beyond the optimization of biosynthetic pathways, combinatorial modulation of gene expression can be a valuable research tool for analysis of operons the dominant regulatory unit in prokaryotes. Our combinatorial approach enables us to give a quantitative characterization of effects, such as translational coupling between consecutive genes in an operon, showing that the protein accumulation rate of an upstream gene modulates the accumulation rate of the next gene by up to an order of magnitude.

The strategy we presented here can be expanded in various ways. Specifically, other regulatory elements can be combined in the same approach to further modulate gene expression. For example, by using a small library of promoters to control the transcription of an operon, the span of the overall expression space can in principle be further increased by several orders of magnitude. Finally, although we considered mostly metabolic applications, our approach can be harnessed for numerous biological fields, such as signal transduction and genetic circuits. It is our belief that the strategy we presented here can become a powerful tool for both research efforts and industrial applications.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online: Supplementary Tables 1–3, Supplementary Figures 1–16, Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Results and Supplementary References [25–31].
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