Photon-induced magnetization reversal in the Fe$_8$ single-molecule magnet
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We use millimeter-wave radiation to manipulate the populations of the energy levels of a single crystal of molecular magnet Fe$_8$. When continuous-wave radiation is in resonance with the transition from the ground state to the first excited state, the equilibrium magnetization exhibits a peak or dip whose field position varies linearly with the radiation frequency. Our results provide a lower bound of 0.17 ns for transverse relaxation time and suggest the possibility that single-molecule magnets might be utilized for quantum computation.
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Single-molecule magnets lie at the frontier between the quantum and classical worlds. Like classical magnets used for magnetic storage, they are bistable, exhibiting hysteresis at low temperatures.$^{1,2}$ However, they also exhibit striking quantum-mechanical properties, such as tunneling between “up” and “down” orientations,$^{3–5}$ as well as a geometric-phase effect created by the interference between tunneling paths.$^6$ Recent experiments show that the rate for magnetization reversal can be augmented using microwave radiation.$^7,8$ Here, we demonstrate that the magnetization of a system of single-molecule magnets can be partially reversed by the application of radiation at a resonant frequency. These results open up the possibility that single-molecule magnets can be used for magnetic storage and as qubits,$^9$ the processing elements in quantum computers.

The single-molecule magnet Fe$_8$O$_2$(OH)$_2$(tacn)$_6$ (hitherto called Fe$_8$) is composed of eight magnetic Fe(III) ions strongly coupled together to form a single spin-10 system. The molecules crystallize into a triclinic lattice and have a large biaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy. Reversal of the magnetization from one easy-axis direction to another is impeded by a $\sim$25 K barrier,$^5$ suggesting a double-well model of the system’s energy, as illustrated in Fig. 1, where the left (right) well corresponds to the spin pointing along (antiparallel to) the easy axis. The potential contains a series of energy levels that roughly correspond to different orientations of the magnetic moment. A magnetic field applied along the easy axis tilts the potential, favoring, e.g., the spin-up orientation. At certain values of magnetic field, levels in opposite wells will align, permitting resonant tunneling between up and down states, a phenomenon first discovered in the material Mn$_{12}$ acetate.$^3$

The system can be described by an effective spin Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -D S_z^2 + E(S_x^2 - S_y^2) + C(S_z^4 + S_x^4) - g \mu_B \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{H},$$

(1)

where the anisotropy constants $D$, $E$, and $C$ are 0.292, 0.046, and $-2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ K, respectively, and $g = 2.6, 10.11$ The first (and largest) term causes the spin to prefer to lie along or opposite the $z$ axis, resulting in the double-well potential of Fig. 1, and making the energy levels in Fig. 1 approximately the eigenstates of $S_z$. The second and third terms break the rotational symmetry of the Hamiltonian and result in tunneling between the otherwise unperturbed states. When the magnetic field $H$ is in the $x$-$z$ plane, the Zeeman term can be rewritten as

$$g \mu_B \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{H} = g \mu_B H S_z \cos \theta + S_x \sin \theta,$$

(2)

where $\theta$ is the angle between the spin $S$ and the external magnetic field $H$. (In the experiments the field is actually $\sim 17^\circ$ out of the $x$-$z$ plane,$^{12}$ but this fact has only minor effects on the results presented.)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Double-well potential and energy levels for the Fe$_8$ magnet. The left well corresponds to the spin pointing “up” and the right corresponds to it pointing “down.” The photon-induced magnetization reversal process is illustrated schematically by the arrows. Resonant microwave radiation drives some molecules from the ground state to the first excited state in the right well (wavy arrow). Some of this increased population is distributed to the left well by thermal activation (red arrows), which involves multiple phonon transitions, tunneling (green arrows), which is only significant when levels in opposite wells align, or some combination of both.
We mounted a single crystal of Fe₈ on a Hall-bar detector with its easy axis tilted ~33° in the a-b plane from the field direction. A component of the field perpendicular to the easy (z) axis enhances tunneling. The sample was irradiated with monochromatic microwaves. We measured the steady-state magnetization of the sample as a function of magnetic field both with and without the presence of radiation. After subtracting the two curves we obtain ΔM, the radiation-induced change in magnetization, as a function of magnetic field.

Figure 2 shows ΔM as a function of field for several frequencies of radiation. Each curve shows that the radiation induces a change in the sample’s magnetization at certain values of magnetic field. At these fields, the frequency of the radiation matches the energy difference between the lowest two levels in, e.g., the right well in Fig. 1, resulting in the absorption of a photon and subsequent thermal or tunneling relaxation (or a combination of both) into the left well. We find that the magnitude of the magnetization change is largest when the first excited state in the right well is near a tunneling resonance with a level in the opposite well, as in Fig. 2(a). This indicates that the photon-induced reversal process can be enhanced by tunneling, consistent with the relaxation results of Sorace et al. Our results show magnetization reversal even when levels in opposite wells are far from resonance, when tunneling is effectively nil. In this case, the radiation produces a nonthermal population in the first excited state in the right well. Thermal phonons then produce transitions between levels until a quasiequilibrium is established, resulting in an increased population in the left well. Our calculations (discussed below) indicate that a significant fraction (ranging between 21% and 59% for our experimental parameters) of the population pumped out of the ground state ends up in the opposite well.

Some of our data show an asymmetry between peaks in negative field and those at positive field, as in Fig. 2(a). We attribute this to elliptical polarization of the radiation produced by mode mixing in our waveguide. The asymmetry only affects the height of the peaks, but not their position.

The Zeeman term in the Hamiltonian implies that the energy difference between levels should vary linearly with external field. In Fig. 3 we plot the field at which magnetization reversal occurs as a function of microwave frequency (using the data shown in Fig. 2 and similar curves at other frequencies). We indeed obtain a linear dependence. The straight solid line in Fig. 3 results from numerically calculating the energy difference between the two lowest levels of the right well (Fig. 1) using the accepted Hamiltonian and anisotropy constants and setting θ=34°, a value that gives a good fit to the data and is also in agreement with the directly measured angle between the sample’s easy axis and the field direction.

We numerically modeled our results by constructing a master rate equation that includes the spin-phonon transitions as well as photon-induced transitions. To do this, we first diagonalized the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), and used the energy eigenstates as the basis for our master equation. Using this basis simplifies calculations, although it treats resonant tunneling as coherent. Since neither the experiment nor the calculations are done when the system is tuned precisely to resonance, the unphysical assumption of coherence does not present a problem.
The master rate equation we solved numerically is

\[
\frac{dP_i}{dt} = -\sum_{j=1}^{21} (\gamma_{ij}^{1+} + \gamma_{ij}^{1-} + \gamma_{ij}^{2+} + \gamma_{ij}^{2-} + w_{ij}) P_i
\]

\[+ \sum_{j=1}^{21} (\gamma_{ij}^{1+} + \gamma_{ij}^{1-} + \gamma_{ij}^{2+} + \gamma_{ij}^{2-} + w_{ij}) P_j, \tag{3}\]

where \(P_i\) is the population of the energy eigenstate \(|i\rangle\) with energy \(e_i\). The spin-phonon transition rates were calculated using a golden-rule method following Leuenberger and Loss.\(^4\)

\[
\gamma_{ij}^{1\pm} = \frac{g_o^2}{48\pi c \rho \hbar} |\langle i| (S_{\pm})_i \rangle|^3 \frac{(e_i - e_j)^3}{e^{(e_i - e_j)/T} - 1}, \tag{4}\]

\[
\gamma_{ij}^{2\pm} = \frac{g_o^2}{32\pi c \rho \hbar} |\langle i| S_{\pm}^2 \rangle|^3 \frac{(e_i - e_j)^3}{e^{(e_i - e_j)/T} - 1}, \tag{5}\]

where \(c_s\) is the sound velocity and \(\rho\) the mass density. The spin-phonon coupling constant \(g_o\) was determined empirically by fitting ac susceptibility data (not shown). It should be noted that we can also obtain an acceptable fit to our data if we leave out the \(\Delta m = \pm 2\) spin-phonon rates, Eq. (5), and compensate for this with a larger value of \(g_o\). While \(g_o\) has been theoretically calculated\(^5\) for this case, the use of that value gives relaxation rates that are orders of magnitude smaller than measured.

The radiation-induced transition rates are similarly calculated using a standard expression from electron-spin resonance.\(^6\)

\[
w_{ij} = \frac{(H_1 g \mu_B)^2}{2\hbar^2} |\langle i| \cos \alpha S_x + i \sin \alpha S_y |j\rangle|^2 \times \frac{T_2}{1 + \left(\frac{e_i - e_j}{\hbar}\right)^2 T_2^2}, \tag{6}\]

where \(H_1\) is the magnitude of the radiative magnetic field and \(T_2\) is the spin’s transverse relaxation time. The ellipticity of the radiation is defined as \(\tan \alpha\).

To find the steady-state magnetization in the presence of radiation, we numerically solve the 21 equations implicit in Eq. (3), setting the left side of each to be zero to determine each \(P_i\), the equilibrium population of level \(|i\rangle\). From this we solved for the magnetization \(M\) using

\[
M = \sum_{i=1}^{21} \langle i| \mathbf{\hat{S}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{H}} |i\rangle P_i, \tag{7}\]

where \(\mathbf{\hat{S}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{H}}/|\mathbf{\hat{H}}|\) is the spin operator along the external field direction.

In our calculations we fixed the anisotropy parameters to currently accepted values. The only parameters we varied were \(H_1\), the magnitude of the radiation field, \(T_2\), the spin’s transverse relaxation time, and \(\alpha\) only for the results in Fig. 2(a)\(^7\) the ellipticity of the radiation. \(H_1\) only sets the amplitude of the peaks, while \(T_2\) determines the width. The ellipticity controls the relative height of the two peaks. Our fits determined \(H_1\) to be in the range 0.03–0.165 Oe, depending on frequency, as indicated in Fig. 2. In our simulations, we used \(T_2 = 0.17\) ns, a value that allowed us to reproduce most of the data curves well. This value is a lower bound for \(T_2\) since it may reflect the effects of inhomogeneities from dipole fields, anisotropy parameters, and \(g\) factors.\(^7,17\)–\(^19\) Our line widths are consistent with those found spectroscopically by others.\(^20\)

Our results suggest that single-molecule magnets can be employed in a form of magnetic storage. Instead of using an applied magnetic field to flip a bit, as is done in usual forms of magnetic storage, radiation of an appropriate frequency can be used to drive the spin from one orientation to another. In addition, these results have implications for the use of molecular magnets as qubits. By using pulsed radiation, single- and multiple-qubit operations should be achievable. While there are other magnetic systems in which radiation can change\(^21\) or induce\(^22\) a magnetic state, the single-molecule magnets are the only bistable magnetic systems in which radiation can drive a substantial magnetization change through a quantum resonant process.

Since submitting this manuscript, two papers/preprints\(^23,24\) have appeared that show similar photon-induced effects in other systems of molecular magnets.
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13. Since the radiation also heats the sample somewhat, we took great care to measure the magnetization curve in the absence of radiation at the same temperature as when the sample is irradiated.