

1 Amplifying the Success Probability of Randomized Algorithms

1.1 Item a

We define the algorithm \mathcal{A}' as follows: On input a graph G the algorithm runs $A(G)$ for $t = 180 \log n$ times independently with fresh randomness and outputs the majority decision of these repetitions. The algorithm runs in time $O(T(n) \cdot \log n)$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_t be the random variables describing each independent execution where $X_i = 1$ if the algorithm answered correctly in execution i (note that we are doing both the YES and NO cases simultaneously so we refer to success rather than the actual output of the algorithm). Notice that, by assumption, $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 2/3$ for every $i \in [t]$.

Define $X := \sum_{i=1}^t X_i$. By linearity of expectation, we have that $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbb{E}[X_i] = 2t/3$. Thus, by the Chernoff bound, for all $a > 0$:

$$\Pr [X \leq 2t/3 - a] \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{2a^2}{t} \right\} .$$

Setting $a = t/6$, we get

$$\Pr [X \leq t/2] \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{2(t/6)^2}{t} \right\} = \exp \{-t/18\} = \exp \{-10 \log n\} = 1/n^{-10} .$$

Whenever $X > t/2$ the algorithm answers correctly since the majority of the executions give the correct answer. Therefore the probability that the algorithm is correct is at least $1 - 1/n^{-10}$ as required.

1.2 Item b

We describe the algorithm \mathcal{A}'' on input a graph $G = ([n], E)$ and $k = O(1)$:

1. If $n = \Theta(k)$ then brute-force test for a k -clique: go over all possible choices of k nodes and test whether they are a clique. If one is found then output “TRUE” and exit and otherwise output “FALSE” and exit.
2. If the algorithm has not exited, then partition the n nodes of the graph into $k + 1$ arbitrary non-intersecting subsets V_1, \dots, V_{k+1} .
3. For $i = 1$ to $k + 1$ do:
 - (a) Let $V := \bigcup_{i \in [k+1] \setminus \{i\}} V_i$ and $G' := (V, E')$ where $E' := \{(u, v) \in E \mid u, v \in V\}$.
 - (b) Let $b \leftarrow \mathcal{A}'(G')$ (where \mathcal{A}' is the algorithm from the previous question).
 - (c) If $b = \text{“TRUE”}$, return $\mathcal{A}''(G')$.
4. If the algorithm has not exited yet, return “FALSE”.

Analysis. We begin by analysing the running time of \mathcal{A}'' . Notice that G' is a graph on $k/(k+1) \cdot n$ nodes. Let $t(n)$ be the running time of the algorithm given a graph with n nodes. We observe that (when n is not constant)

$$t(n) \leq O(k \cdot T(n) \log n) + t\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \cdot n\right) .$$

Solving this recursion when $k = O(1)$, and where the base layer takes time $O(1)$ we get that $t(n) = O(T(n) \log^2 n)$ as required.

We now analyse the correctness of the algorithm. First, note that the algorithm only ever returns “TRUE” at the base level of the recursion when it has found a k -clique. Since, by construction, this clique is a subset of the original graph if the algorithm, indeed, returns “TRUE” then the graph must contain a k -clique.

We now show that the algorithm errs with probability at most $1/n^9$. Since we have already explained why the algorithm cannot err when there is no k -clique in the graph, we need only analyse the YES case. Any k -clique in the graph must be in at least one of the choices of V since it contains k nodes. If \mathcal{A}' fails on this choice of V then the algorithm will err. \mathcal{A}' errs with probability $1/n^{10}$. This is true separately for every recursion level. By the union-bound, the probability that one of the critical executions of \mathcal{A}' fails is at most m/n^{10} where m is the recursion depth. Since we are cutting the number of nodes by a multiplicative constant $(k/(k+1))$ in every round, the recursion depth is $O(\log n)$. Therefore \mathcal{A}'' errs with probability at most $O(\log n)/n^{10} < 1/n^9$.

2 Convolution 3SUM to 3SUM

We recall that if 3SUM can be solved in time $T(n, U)$, then colourful 3SUM can be solved in time $O(n) + T(O(n), O(U))$. We will show that convolution 3SUM with sets of size n and universe U can be reduced in linear time to colourful 3SUM with sets of size n and universe $O(nU)$. Together with the previous statement, this shows that if 3SUM can be solved in time $T(n, U)$ then convolution 3SUM can be solved in time $O(n) + T(O(n), O(nU))$.

Construction. Given a convolution 3SUM instance $A, B, C \subseteq [-U, U]$ of size n we output the following colourful 3SUM instance $A', B', C' \subseteq [-U', U']$ of size n where $U' = \Theta(nU)$.

$$\begin{aligned} A' &:= \{ 4Ui + A[i] \mid i \in [n] \} \\ B' &:= \{ 4Ui + B[i] \mid i \in [n] \} \\ C' &:= \{ -4Ui + C[i] \mid i \in [n] \} \end{aligned}$$

Time and range analysis. Notice first that, indeed, $A', B', C' \subseteq [-O(nU), O(nU)]$ since the indices are at most n . Further notice that this transformation can be done in linear time given a single scan of each of the sets A, B, C .

Correctness. Suppose that there exist $i, j \in [n]$ such that $A[i] + B[j] + C[i+j] = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} &(4Ui + A[i]) + (4Uj + B[j]) + (-4U(i+j) + C[i+j]) \\ &= 4U(i+j - (i+j)) + (A[i] + B[j] + C[i+j]) \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the elements in A', B', C' matching $A[i], B[j], C[i+j]$ sum to zero.

On the other hand, suppose that there exist $a \in A', b \in B', c \in C'$ with $a + b + c = 0$. Due to the way a, b, c were constructed, there exist $i, j, k \in [n]$ such that $a = 4Ui + A[i]$, $b = 4Uj + B[j]$ and $c = -4Uk + C[k]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a + b + c \\ &= 4Ui + A[i] + 4Uj + B[j] - 4Uk + C[k] \\ &= 4U(i + j - k) + (A[i] + B[j] + C[k]) \ . \end{aligned}$$

If $i + j - k \neq 0$, then $|4U(i + j - k)| \geq 4U > 3U$. Since $A[i] + B[j] + C[k] \in [-3U, 3U]$, this sum cannot zero out the expression. In other words, in order for the above expression to be equal to 0 it must be that $i + j = k$. Once we zero out the expression $4U(i + j - k)$ we are left with the requirement that $A[i] + B[j] + C[k] = A[i] + B[j] + C[i+j] = 0$, completing the proof of correctness.

3 Triangle Detection to 3SUM

Recall that if 3SUM can be solved in time $T(n, U)$, then colourful 3SUM can be solved in time $O(n) + T(O(n), O(U))$. We will show that convolution 3SUM with sets of size n and universe U can be reduced in linear time to colourful 3SUM with sets of size n and universe $O(nU)$. Therefore, we work with colourful 3SUM rather than vanilla 3SUM.

Construction. We transform a graph $G = ([n], E)$ with $|E| = m$ into a colourful 3SUM instance as follows:¹

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{ 6n^2 \cdot i + 2n \cdot j \mid (i, j) \in E \wedge i > j \} \\ B &:= \{ -6n \cdot i + j \mid (i, j) \in E \wedge i > j \} \\ C &:= \{ -6n^2 \cdot i - j \mid (i, j) \in E \wedge i > j \} \end{aligned}$$

Time and range analysis. Since $i, j \in [n]$, we have that $A, B, C \subseteq [-O(n^3), O(n^3)]$ and since an element is added to one of the sets if and only if there exists an edge that corresponds to it, we have that $|A|, |B|, |C| = O(|E|) = O(m)$. Notice that this transformation can be done in linear time. Therefore, once we show correctness (i.e., that the colourful 3SUM instance is a YES instance if and only if there exists a triangle in the graph) we will have shown, as required, that if 3SUM can be solved in time $T(n, U)$ then triangle detection can be done in time $O(n) + T(O(m), O(n^3))$.

Correctness. We begin by showing that if the graph has a triangle, then the constructed 3SUM instance has a solution. Let $(i, j), (j, k), (i, k) \in E$ be a triangle in the graph with $i > j > k$ and let a, b, c be the matching values in the sets respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c &= (6n^2 \cdot i + 2n \cdot j) + (-6n \cdot j + k) + (-6n^2 \cdot i - k) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

¹Amir: If we start from a colored triangle instance, we can simplify this construction (and analysis) a bit by removing the $i < j$ conditions.

We now show that if the constructed 3SUM instance has a solution then the graph has a triangle. Suppose that there exist $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ with $a + b + c = 0$. Then by construction there exist edges $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2) \in E$ where $a = 6n^2 \cdot a_1 + 2n \cdot a_2$ and $a_1 > a_2$. The values b and c are similarly defined. We now show that $a_1 = c_1, a_2 = b_1$ and $b_2 = c_2$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a + b + c \\ &= 6n^2 \cdot a_1 + 2n \cdot a_2 - 2n \cdot b_1 + b_2 - 2n^2 \cdot c_1 - c_2 \\ &= 6n^2(a_1 - c_1) + 2n(a_2 - b_1) + (b_2 - c_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in [n]$ we have $2n(a_2 - b_1) + (b_2 - c_2) \in [-2n^2 - n, 2n^2 + n]$. It must, therefore, be that $a_1 - c_1 = 0$ since otherwise $|6n^2(a_1 - c_1)| > 2n^2 + n$ and so there is no way for the values to zero out the expression. Similarly, $a_2 - b_1 = 0$ since $b_2 - c_2 \in [-n, n]$ which is not enough to zero out $2n(a_2 - b_1)$ when $|a_2 - b_1| \geq 1$. Finally, this reduces to the requirement that $b_2 - c_2 = 0$. Therefore $a_1 = c_1, a_2 = b_1$ and $b_2 = c_2$.

Since $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2), (c_1, c_2) \in E$ and $a_1 = c_1, a_2 = b_1$ and $b_2 = c_2$ we have that $(a_1, a_2), (a_2, b_2), (a_1, b_2) \in E$. We show that this must be a triangle:

1. *Self-edges*: There cannot be a self edge in the triple, since, by construction and by the equalities we have proved: $a_1 > a_2, a_2 = b_1 > b_2$ and $a_1 = c_1 > c_2 = b_2$.
2. *Duplicates*:
 - (a) $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_2, b_2)$ and $(a_2, b_2) \neq (a_1, b_2)$ since $a_1 > a_2$.
 - (b) $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_1, b_2)$ since this would imply that $a_2 = b_2$, but we know that $a_2 > b_2$.

4 3SUM to Sumset Size

We describe an algorithm that solves 3SUM in given the ability to make 2 oracle calls to a sumset size oracle. For convenience we use the case of 3SUM' with repetitions where we are given a set $S \subseteq [-U, U]$ and need to find $a, b, c \in S$ with $a + b = c$.

On input a 3SUM' with repetitions instance S :

1. If $0 \in S$ output "YES".
2. Let $k := \text{SumsetSize}(S + S)$.
3. Let $\ell := \text{SumsetSize}(S + (S \cup \{0\}))$.
4. Output "YES" if $\ell < k + |S|$. Otherwise output "NO".

Analysis. Clearly this algorithm runs in linear time if oracle calls are unit cost. Therefore we only need to show correctness.

Since we allow repetitions, and, surprisingly, $0 + 0 = 0$, if $0 \in S$ then S is a "YES" instance, and the algorithm indeed outputs "YES". We therefore henceforth assume that $0 \notin S$. Notice that in this case $S + (S \cup \{0\}) \equiv (S + S) \cup S$.

- "YES" Case: Let $a, b, c \in S$ be a 3SUM' with repetitions solution. Then $a + b \in (S + S)$ and $a + b = c \in S$. Therefore $(S + S) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, implying that $\ell = |S + (S \cup \{0\})| < |S + S| + |S| = k + |S|$, and the algorithm will output "YES".

- "NO" Case: Suppose that for every $a, b, c \in S$: $a + b \neq c$. Then, for every pair $a, b \in S$: $a + b \in (S + S)$ and $a + b \notin S$. Similarly, every $c \in S$ is not in $S + S$. Therefore $(S + S) \cap S = \emptyset$, implying that $\ell = |S + (S \cup \{0\})| = |(S + S) \cup S| = |S + S| + |S| = k + |S|$, and the algorithm will output "NO".