

What happens when you push measures  
using polynomial maps – a dictionary  
between algebraic geometry and analysis.

based on joint works with N. Avni, and S. Carmeli



- Let  $F$  be a local field,

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:

- $\mathbb{R}$

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ .

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ .

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ . a tuple of multivariate polynomials.

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ . a tuple of multivariate polynomials.
- $X(F)$  the set of  $F$ -points considered as a topological space.

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ . a tuple of multivariate polynomials.
- $X(F)$  the set of  $F$ -points considered as a topological space.
- On  $X(F)$  we consider compactly supported smooth measures:

$$\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)) = C_c^\infty(X(F))\mu_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_c(X(F)).$$

where  $\mu_0$  is some standard measure.

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ . a tuple of multivariate polynomials.
- $X(F)$  the set of  $F$ -points considered as a topological space.
- On  $X(F)$  we consider compactly supported smooth measures:

$$\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)) = C_c^\infty(X(F))\mu_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_c(X(F)).$$

where  $\mu_0$  is some standard measure.

- Push-forward gives a map

$$\varphi_* : \mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c(Y(F)).$$

- Let  $F$  be a local field, i.e. locally compact non-discrete topological field:
  - $\mathbb{R}$
  - $\mathbb{Q}_p$
  - $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
  - Finite extension of the above.
- $X, Y$  affine spaces over  $F$ . more generally, smooth algebraic varieties over  $F$ .
- A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ . a tuple of multivariate polynomials.
- $X(F)$  the set of  $F$ -points considered as a topological space.
- On  $X(F)$  we consider compactly supported smooth measures:

$$\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)) = C_c^\infty(X(F))\mu_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_c(X(F)).$$

where  $\mu_0$  is some standard measure.

- Push-forward gives a map

$$\varphi_* : \mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c(Y(F)).$$

$$(\phi_*(\mu))(A) := \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A))$$

We study the image  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$
- 2 the collective behavior of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$
- 2 the collective behavior of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

The question is related to representation theory and number theory:

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$
- 2 the collective behavior of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

The question is related to representation theory and number theory:

- In representation theory, functions for compact groups become distributions for non-compact groups.

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$
- 2 the collective behavior of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

The question is related to representation theory and number theory:

- In representation theory, functions for compact groups become distributions for non-compact groups.
- Number of solutions modulo  $p^n$  —

## Question

*What is the relation between  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  and the algebro-geometric properties of  $\varphi$ ?*

This question has 2 aspects:

- 1 the (worst) individual elements of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$
- 2 the collective behavior of  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$

The question is related to representation theory and number theory:

- In representation theory, functions for compact groups become distributions for non-compact groups.
- Number of solutions modulo  $p^n$  —  $\varphi_*(1_{X(O_F)})(p^n Y(O_F))$

Choose a standard measure  $\nu_0$  on  $Y(F)$ .

Choose a standard measure  $\nu_0$  on  $Y(F)$ . Consider

$$\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0}$$

as a “functions” space.

Choose a standard measure  $\nu_0$  on  $Y(F)$ . Consider

$$\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0}$$

as a “functions” space.

When are these actual functions?

## Definition

*A top form on  $X$  is a choice of a top multilinear antisymmetric form on each tangent space that depends polynomially on  $x \in X$ :*

## Definition

*A top form on  $X$  is a choice of a top multilinear antisymmetric form on each tangent space that depends polynomially on  $x \in X$ :*

$$\omega = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

## Definition

*A top form on  $X$  is a choice of a top multilinear antisymmetric form on each tangent space that depends polynomially on  $x \in X$ :*

$$\omega = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

## Definition

*A form  $\omega$  on  $X$  gives a measure by:*

$$|\omega| := |p| \cdot \text{haar}$$

# Gelfand-Leray measure

## Definition

*Smooth (a.k.a. submersive) morphism is a morphism whose differential is onto*

# Gelfand-Leray measure

## Definition

*Smooth (a.k.a. submersive) morphism is a morphism whose differential is onto*

## Lemma

*Given a short exact sequence of vector spaces:*

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

*we have*

$$\Omega^{top}(W) = \Omega^{top}(V) \otimes \Omega^{top}(L)$$

# Gelfand-Leray measure

## Definition

*Smooth (a.k.a. submersive) morphism is a morphism whose differential is onto*

## Lemma

*Given a short exact sequence of vector spaces:*

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

*we have*

$$\Omega^{top}(W) = \Omega^{top}(V) \otimes \Omega^{top}(L)$$

## Corollary

*Given smooth  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  and 2 (standard) top forms on  $X$  and on  $Y$  we get a form on the fibers  $\omega_{\varphi^{-1}(y)}$*

# Gelfand-Leray measure

## Definition

*Smooth (a.k.a. submersive) morphism is a morphism whose differential is onto*

## Lemma

*Given a short exact sequence of vector spaces:*

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

*we have*

$$\Omega^{\text{top}}(W) = \Omega^{\text{top}}(V) \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(L)$$

## Corollary

*Given smooth  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  and 2 (standard) top forms on  $X$  and on  $Y$  we get a form on the fibers  $\omega_{\varphi^{-1}(y)}$  s.t.*

$$\frac{\varphi_*(f \cdot |\omega_X|)}{|\omega_Y|}(y) = \int f|_{\omega_{\varphi^{-1}(y)}}$$

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ ,

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L^1(Y(F))$ ,

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L^1(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L^1(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

*In characteristic 0 we can add:*

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L^1(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

*In characteristic 0 we can add:*

- $\varphi$  is (locally) dominant.

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

## Lemma

*TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is generically smooth.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L^1(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

*In characteristic 0 we can add:*

- $\varphi$  is (locally) dominant.

## Proof.

Radon-Nikodym. □

# Dictionary between algebraic geometry and analysis

# Dictionary between algebraic geometry and analysis

| <b>Class of functions</b> | <b>Class of maps</b> |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| $C^\infty$                | Smooth               |
| $L^1_{loc}$               | Generically smooth   |
| $C^0$ or $L^\infty_{loc}$ | ?                    |

| <b>Class of functions</b> | <b>Class of maps</b> |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| $C^\infty$                | Smooth               |
| $L^1_{loc}$               | Generically smooth   |
| $C^0$ or $L^\infty_{loc}$ | ?                    |

When are the integrals of the G-L form converging?

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite.*

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite. and the same for all field extensions.*

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite. and the same for all field extensions.*

## Proposition

*Let  $Z$  be a variety. Under the assumption of the existence of a resolution of singularities, TFAE:*

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite. and the same for all field extensions.*

## Proposition

*Let  $Z$  be a variety. Under the assumption of the existence of a resolution of singularities, TFAE:*

- *$Z$  is integrable*

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite. and the same for all field extensions.*

## Proposition

*Let  $Z$  be a variety. Under the assumption of the existence of a resolution of singularities, TFAE:*

- *$Z$  is integrable*
- *there is a resolution  $\varepsilon : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  s.t.  $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$  is regular for any regular  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$*

## Definition

*We say that an algebraic variety  $Z$  is integrable if locally on  $Z$ , for any top form  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$ ,  $|\omega|$  is locally finite. and the same for all field extensions.*

## Proposition

*Let  $Z$  be a variety. Under the assumption of the existence of a resolution of singularities, TFAE:*

- *$Z$  is integrable*
- *there is a resolution  $\varepsilon : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  s.t.  $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$  is regular for any regular  $\omega$  on  $Z^{sm}$*

*If  $Z$  is CM and  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  we can also add*

- *The singularities of  $Z$  are rational.*

## Theorem (Miracle flatness)

$\varphi$  is flat iff its fibers have constant dimension.

## Lemma

*TFAE*

## Theorem (Miracle flatness)

$\varphi$  is flat iff its fibers have constant dimension.

## Lemma

*TFAE*

- $\varphi$  is flat with reduced fibers.

## Theorem (Miracle flatness)

$\varphi$  is flat iff its fibers have constant dimension.

## Lemma

*TFAE*

- $\varphi$  is flat with reduced fibers.
- $\varphi$  is generically smooth in each fiber.

## Theorem (Miracle flatness)

$\varphi$  is flat iff its fibers have constant dimension.

## Lemma

*TFAE*

- $\varphi$  is flat with reduced fibers.
- $\varphi$  is generically smooth in each fiber.

## Definition

$\varphi$  is called *FRS* if it is flat and its fibers (are reduced and) have rational singularities.

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ ,

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(Y(F))$ ,

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

Proof.

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

Proof.

- Reduce for the case  $\dim(Y) = 1$

Theorem (A. - Avni 2013, Reiser - 2018)

*In char 0 TFAE:*

- $\varphi$  is FRS
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset C(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.
- $\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(Y(F))$ , for field extensions.

Proof.

- Reduce for the case  $\dim(Y) = 1$
- Resolve the singularities of a fiber.



# More facts for dominant maps

Theorem (Cluckers-Loeser-Gordon-Halupczok 2010-2014)

For  $p$ -adic fields if  $\varphi$  is (locally) dominant then,

$$\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset \text{Const}(Y(F)),$$

# More facts for dominant maps

Theorem (Cluckers-Loeser-Gordon-Halupczok 2010-2014)

For  $p$ -adic fields if  $\varphi$  is (locally) dominant then,

$$\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset \text{Const}(Y(F)),$$

where  $\text{Const}(Y(F))$  is the algebra generated by  $|f|, \ln(|f|)$  for definable  $f : X \rightarrow F$ .

Theorem (Glazer-Hendel 2021-2026, Cluckers-Miller 2013)

if  $\varphi$  is generically smooth, then there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$\frac{\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))}{\nu_0} \subset L_{loc}^{1+\varepsilon}(Y(F))$$

Theorem (Glazer-Hendel-Sodin 2024)

Explicit bounds for  $\varepsilon$  in terms of the degree/complexity of  $\varphi$ .

## Question

When are  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))_x :=$   
 $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))/\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X(F) \setminus \{x\})\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  finite  
dimensional?

## Question

When are  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))_x :=$   
 $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))/\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X(F) \setminus \{x\})\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  finite  
dimensional?

## Example (Shalika Germs)

Consider the characteristic polynomial map

$$p : \text{Mat}_{n \times n} \longrightarrow \text{Monic}_n$$

## Question

When are  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))_x := \varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))/\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X(F) \setminus \{x\})\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  finite dimensional?

## Example (Shalika Germs)

Consider the characteristic polynomial map

$$p : \text{Mat}_{n \times n} \longrightarrow \text{Monic}_n$$

- The theory of Shalika germs implies: the stalk of  $p_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)))$  are finite dimensional.

## Question

When are  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))_x := \varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))/\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X(F) \setminus \{x\})\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  finite dimensional?

## Example (Shalika Germs)

Consider the characteristic polynomial map

$$p : \text{Mat}_{n \times n} \longrightarrow \text{Monic}_n$$

- The theory of Shalika germs implies: the stalk of  $p_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)))$  are finite dimensional.
- A similar phenomenon holds for  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  when a group  $G$  act on  $X$  preserving the fibers and has finitely many orbits on each fiber.

## Question

When are  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))_x := \varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))/\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X(F) \setminus \{x\})\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  finite dimensional?

## Example (Shalika Germs)

Consider the characteristic polynomial map

$$p : \text{Mat}_{n \times n} \longrightarrow \text{Monic}_n$$

- The theory of Shalika germs implies: the stalk of  $p_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)))$  are finite dimensional.
- A similar phenomenon holds for  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  when a group  $G$  act on  $X$  preserving the fibers and has finitely many orbits on each fiber.
- We will discuss a generalization of this phenomenon to the infinitesimal setting.

# The Scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ and Quasitransitivity

Definition (The scheme  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ )

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above. Let

$$D\varphi : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \varphi^*(\mathcal{T}_Y)$$

be the differential, and let  $\text{Im}(D\varphi)$  be its image sheaf. Define

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varphi := \text{Spec}_X (\text{Sym}(\text{Im}(D\varphi))).$$

# The Scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ and Quasitransitivity

## Definition (The scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ )

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above. Let

$$D\varphi : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \varphi^*(\mathcal{T}_Y)$$

be the differential, and let  $\text{Im}(D\varphi)$  be its image sheaf. Define

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varphi := \text{Spec}_X (\text{Sym}(\text{Im}(D\varphi))).$$

- There is a canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi \hookrightarrow T^*X$ .

# The Scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ and Quasitransitivity

Definition (The scheme  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ )

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above. Let

$$D\varphi : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \varphi^*(\mathcal{T}_Y)$$

be the differential, and let  $\text{Im}(D\varphi)$  be its image sheaf. Define

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varphi := \text{Spec}_X (\text{Sym}(\text{Im}(D\varphi))).$$

- There is a canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi \hookrightarrow T^*X$ .
- Let  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{B}_\varphi} : \mathfrak{B}_\varphi \rightarrow X$  be the structure map.

# The Scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ and Quasitransitivity

## Definition (The scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ )

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above. Let

$$D\varphi : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \varphi^*(\mathcal{T}_Y)$$

be the differential, and let  $\text{Im}(D\varphi)$  be its image sheaf. Define

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varphi := \text{Spec}_X (\text{Sym}(\text{Im}(D\varphi))).$$

- There is a canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi \hookrightarrow T^*X$ .
- Let  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{B}_\varphi} : \mathfrak{B}_\varphi \rightarrow X$  be the structure map.

## Definition (Quasi-transitive morphism)

A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth varieties is quasi-transitive if, for every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\dim ((\varphi \circ \Pi_{\mathfrak{B}_\varphi})^{-1}(y)) \leq \dim X,$$

# The Scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ and Quasitransitivity

## Definition (The scheme $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi$ )

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above. Let

$$D\varphi : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \varphi^*(\mathcal{T}_Y)$$

be the differential, and let  $\text{Im}(D\varphi)$  be its image sheaf. Define

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varphi := \text{Spec}_X (\text{Sym}(\text{Im}(D\varphi))).$$

- There is a canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{B}_\varphi \hookrightarrow T^*X$ .
- Let  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{B}_\varphi} : \mathfrak{B}_\varphi \rightarrow X$  be the structure map.

## Definition (Quasi-transitive morphism)

A morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth varieties is quasi-transitive if, for every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\dim ((\varphi \circ \Pi_{\mathfrak{B}_\varphi})^{-1}(y)) \leq \dim X,$$

for each component.

Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

Wrong idea of proof.

Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

Wrong idea of proof.

① Quasitransitivity  $\Rightarrow$  many vector fields in  $\ker(D\varphi)$

## Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

## Wrong idea of proof.

- 1 Quasitransitivity  $\Rightarrow$  many vector fields in  $\ker(D\varphi)$
- 2 exponentiate the fields to get local automorphisms

## Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

## Wrong idea of proof.

- 1 Quasitransitivity  $\Rightarrow$  many vector fields in  $\ker(D\varphi)$
- 2 exponentiate the fields to get local automorphisms
- 3 Use Shalika-like argument



## Theorem (A., Avni, Carmeli - 2026)

*Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-transitive morphism of smooth algebraic varieties over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ . Then  $\varphi_*(\mathcal{M}_c^\infty(X(F)))$  has finite stalks*

## Wrong idea of proof.

- 1 Quasitransitivity  $\Rightarrow$  many vector fields in  $\ker(D\varphi)$
- 2 exponentiate the fields to get local automorphisms
- 3 Use Shalika-like argument



Step 3 does not work. Non-archimedean exponentiation is too local.