

Ideals in $L(L_1)$ *

W. B. Johnson[†], G. Pisier[‡], and G. Schechtman[§]

November 13, 2019

Abstract

The main result is that there are infinitely many; in fact, a continuum; of closed (two-sided) ideals in the Banach algebra $L(L_1)$ of bounded linear operators on $L_1(0, 1)$. This answers a question from A. Pietsch's 1978 book "Operator Ideals". The proof also shows that $L(C[0, 1])$ contains a continuum of closed ideals. Finally, a duality argument yields that $L(\ell_\infty)$ has a continuum of closed ideals.

1 Introduction

After C^* -algebras, the spaces of bounded linear operators $L(X)$ on non Hilbertian classical Banach spaces X are arguably the most natural non commutative Banach algebras. In 1969, Berkson and Porta wrote a foundational paper [5] on $L(X)$ with special attention given to $X = L_p$ ($L_p := L_p(0, 1)$). Previously known was that the ideal of compact operators $K(X)$ in $L(X)$ is the only non trivial closed ideal when X is one of the classical spaces ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ or c_0 [9], [12], [23]. (Throughout this paper "ideal" means "two-sided ideal".) In the 1970s there was progress in constructing new closed ideals in $L(L_p)$. For example, after Rosenthal [19] constructed a few non obvious ones, the third author [21] proved that $L(L_p)$ contains infinitely many closed ideals when $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$. Several years later, Bourgain, Rosenthal, and the third author [8] proved that there are at least \aleph_1 . Actually, these last two results are

*AMS subject classification: 46B03 (primary), 46B20, 46B28 (secondary)

[†]Supported in part by NSF DMS-1565826, Clay Mathematics Institute, and MSRI.

[‡]Supported in part by MSRI.

[§]Supported in part by ISF and MSRI.

not even stated in the cited papers, but, as pointed out by Pietsch [18, Chapter 5], it is an easy consequence of the main results of [21] and [8] that there are at least \aleph_0 ; respectively, \aleph_1 ; isomorphically distinct infinite dimensional complemented subspaces of L_p , $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, each of which is isomorphic to its square. After the 1970s, relatively little research was done on the Banach algebra structure of $L(X)$ spaces until the current millenium. Some of that research culminated in a paper of Schlumprecht and Zsak [22] in which they prove that there are a continuum of closed ideals in $L(L_p)$ when $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$. This solved a problem from Pietsch's 1978 book [18, Chapter 5].

It is perhaps surprising that $L(X)$ with X separable can contain $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ closed ideals. It was pointed out on MathOverflow by Kania [14] that an example due to Mankiewicz [16] has this property; in fact, there are even $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ maximal ideals in his example. Several months after we wrote the first version of this paper, the first and third authors constructed $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ closed ideals in $L(L_p)$, $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$. These new ideals are not maximal—it was proved in [13, Section 9] that $L(L_p)$ has a unique maximal ideal.

The situation for $L(L_1)$ is different. The previously known closed ideals in this Banach algebra are the compact operators $K(L_1)$, which is the smallest one because L_1 has the approximation property; the strictly singular operators, $\mathcal{S}(L_1)$; the operators on L_1 that factor through ℓ_1 ; the Dunford–Pettis operators—that is, the operators that map weakly compact sets onto norm compact sets; and the unique maximal ideal, $\mathcal{M}(L_1)$. Some explanation is necessary. Given an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces and a Banach space Z , the operator T is Z -singular if TS is not an (into) isomorphism for any operator $S : Z \rightarrow X$. An operator is strictly singular if it is Z -singular for every infinite dimensional Z . The strictly singular operators, $\mathcal{S}(X)$, on any Banach space X form a closed ideal, as do the weakly compact operators, $\mathcal{W}(X)$. But $\mathcal{W}(L_1) = \mathcal{S}(L_1)$ because L_1 has the Dunford–Pettis property [1, Theorem 5.4.6]. If Z is any Banach space, $\mathcal{I}_Z(X)$ denotes the operators on X that factor through Z . Obviously, $L(X) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Z(X) \cdot L(X) \subset L(X)$, so $\mathcal{I}_Z(X)$ is a (usually non closed) ideal in $L(X)$ if it is closed under addition, which it will be if $Z \oplus Z$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Z . It happens that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\ell_1}(L_1)$ is closed in $L(L_1)$. This is because it is the same as the Radon–Nikodym operators [18, Theorem 24.2.7 and Proposition 24.2.12] by a result of Lewis and Stegall [15]. The $\mathcal{I}_{\ell_1}(L_1)$ is the smallest ideal that is not contained in the ideal $\mathcal{S}(L_1)$ of strictly singular operators because the identity on ℓ_1 factors through every non strictly singular operator on ℓ_1 [1, Section 5.2]. $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denotes the set of operators T in $L(X)$ such that the identity on X does not factor through T . Evidently $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is an ideal if it is closed under addition, in which case it is obviously the largest ideal in $L(X)$. Then it must be closed because the invertible elements in any Banach algebra form an open set. Enflo and Starbird [11] proved that $\mathcal{M}(L_1)$ is closed under addition and that $\mathcal{M}(L_1)$ is the same as the L_1 -singular operators on L_1 . That the ideal of Dunford–Pettis operators is different from the other four mentioned ideals is due to Coste; the proof is in [10, p. 93]. In his book [18], Pietsch asked whether there are infinitely many closed ideals in $L(L_1)$. Until now no one has proved that $L(L_1)$ contains a closed ideal different from the four mentioned above. (We are indebted to T. Kania for sending us unpublished notes that

made writing this paragraph easier.)

A common way of constructing a (not necessarily closed) ideal in $L(X)$ is to take some operator $U : Y \rightarrow Z$ between Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{I}_U(X)$ be the collection of all operators on X that factor through U ; that is, all $T \in L(X)$ such that there are $A \in L(X, Y)$ and $B \in L(Z, X)$ such that $T = BUA$. We write $\mathcal{I}_Z(X)$ for $\mathcal{I}_{I_Z}(X)$ as we did above. $L(X)\mathcal{I}_U L(X) \subset \mathcal{I}_U$ is clear, so \mathcal{I}_U is a (proper) ideal in $L(X)$ if \mathcal{I}_U is closed under addition and I_X does not factor through U . One usually guarantees the closure under addition by using a U for which $U \oplus U : Y \oplus Y \rightarrow Z \oplus Z$ factors through U , and these are the only U that will appear in the sequel. Each of the new ideals in $L(L_1)$ that we construct is of the form $\overline{\mathcal{I}_U(L_1)}$ for some operator $U : \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1$. Using the standard construction technique we mentioned, it is easy to build a continuum of ideals in $L(L_1)$; the difficulty is to show that the closures of the ideals are different. To illustrate this difficulty, consider, for example, the family $\mathcal{I}_{L_p}(L_1)$, $1 < p < 2$. These are all different, but their closures $\overline{\mathcal{I}_{L_p}(L_1)}$ are all equal to $\mathcal{S}(L_1)$. Since this is not really relevant for our main result, we only outline a proof for those who know the relevant background in the local theory of Banach spaces. To see that these ideals are different, fix $1 < p < q < 2$. Let T be a surjection from L_1 onto the closed span of a sequence of IID symmetric p -stable random variables in L_1 . So the image of T is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_p . Were T to factor through L_q , the space ℓ_p would be isomorphic to a quotient of a subspace of L_q , which it is not because, for example, quotients of subspaces of L_q have type q and ℓ_p does not. Next we show that $\overline{\mathcal{I}_{L_p}(L_1)}$ is the ideal of weakly compact operators when $1 < p < \infty$. The containment of $\overline{\mathcal{I}_{L_p}(L_1)}$ in $\mathcal{W}(L_1)$ is clear. Let $T \in \mathcal{W}(L_1)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. By the classification of weakly compact sets in L_1 we have that there is a constant $M < \infty$ so that $T_{B_{L_1}} \subset MB_{L_\infty} + \epsilon B_{L_1} \subset MB_{L_p} + \epsilon B_{L_1}$. Take an increasing sequence E_n of subspaces of L_1 so that E_n is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_1^n and $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is dense in L_1 . Let P_n be a contractive projection from L_1 onto E_n . Choose $x_{n,i}$ in MB_{L_p} so that $\|Te_{n,i} - x_{n,i}\|_1 \leq \epsilon$, where $(e_{n,i})_{i=1}^n$ in E_n is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1^n . Let $T_n : L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ be P_n followed by the linear extension to E_n of the mapping $e_{n,i} \mapsto x_{n,i}$. Since $T_n B_{L_1}$ is a subset of the weakly compact set MB_{L_p} , a subnet of T_n converges in the weak operator topology to an operator S on L_1 . By construction, $\|Sx - Tx\|_1 \leq \epsilon\|x\|$ if $x \in \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ and hence by density for all $x \in L_1$.

We now describe without proof a somewhat less elementary example where different ideals have the same closure. Let $U : \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1$ be an injective operator that maps the unit vector basis for ℓ_1 onto the Rademacher functions. Several months before completing the research herein, we proved that $\overline{\mathcal{I}_U(L_1)}$ is an ideal different from the four previously known ones. It was natural then to look at the ideals $\mathcal{I}_{U_p}(L_1)$, $1 < p < 2$, where $U_p : \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1$ is an injective operator that maps the unit vector basis for ℓ_1 onto an IID sequence of symmetric p -stable random variables. These ideals are all distinct, but it turned out that for all p we have $\overline{\mathcal{I}_{U_p}(L_1)} = \overline{\mathcal{I}_U(L_1)}$.

It is convenient to break ideals in $L(X)$ into two classes. An ideal in $L(X)$ is *small* if

it is contained in the strictly singular operators; otherwise it is called *large*. The space $L(L_1)$ is unusual in that every large closed ideal contains the ideal of strictly singular operators, which in every space is the largest small ideal. In fact, the ideal in $L(L_1)$ of operators that factor through ℓ_1 is the smallest large ideal and contains the ideal of strictly singular operators. Obviously $\mathcal{I}_U(X)$ is small if U is strictly singular, and $\mathcal{I}_U(X)$ is large if $U : Y \rightarrow Z$ maps an isomorph of an infinite dimensional complemented subspace of X onto a complemented subspace of Z ; for example, $U = I_Y$ for some complemented subspace Y of X . The first condition is not necessary for smallness. Indeed, if $Z = \ell_q$ for some $2 < q < \infty$, then every operator from ℓ_q into L_1 is compact [20], so $\mathcal{I}_{I_Z}(L_1)$ is small although I_Z is not strictly singular. Similarly, the second condition is not necessary for largeness. Consider, for example, $X = C[0, 1] \oplus \ell_2$. Let T be an isometric embedding of ℓ_2 into $C[0, 1]$ and define $U : X \rightarrow X$ by $U(x, y) := (Ty, 0)$. Certainly U is not strictly singular, but for any infinite dimensional subspace Y of X , UY is not complemented in X . Indeed, U is weakly compact, but no infinite dimensional reflexive subspace of $C[0, 1]$ is complemented because $C[0, 1]$ has the Dunford–Pettis property [1, Theorem 5.4.6]. A more striking example was given by Astashkin, Hernández, and Semenov [2]. Fix $0 < \lambda < 1/2$ and let J be the formal identity operator from $L \log^\lambda L(0, 1)$ into $L_1(0, 1)$. This operator is an isomorphism on the closed span of the Rademacher functions, but Astashkin, Hernández, and Semenov [2] proved that J is not an isomorphism on any infinite dimensional complemented subspace of $L \log^\lambda L$. Let $X := L_1 \oplus L \log^\lambda L$ and define $U : X \rightarrow X$ by $U(x, y) = (Jy, 0)$. Then U is not strictly singular but also is not an isomorphism when restricted to any infinite dimensional complemented subspace of X .

It clarifies what we already said about known results to mention that the results in [8] show that there are at least \aleph_1 large closed ideals in $L(L_p)$, $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, while in [22] it was proved that there are at least a continuum of small closed ideals in these spaces. The $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ closed ideals constructed recently by the first and third authors are large, but it is open whether there are more than a continuum of small closed ideals in $L(L_p)$, $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$. In Section 3 we prove that there are a continuum of small closed ideals in $L(L_1)$. We do not know whether there are more than three large ideals in $L(L_1)$. This is related to the famous problem whether every infinite dimensional complemented subspace of L_1 is isomorphic to ℓ_1 or L_1 . Also, in contrast to the case of $p > 1$, we do not know if there are more than a continuum of closed ideals in $L(L_1)$.

An immediate consequence of the construction in Section 3 is that $L(C(\Delta))$, where $\Delta := \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the Cantor set, contains a continuum of small closed ideals. By Miljutin’s Theorem [17], [1, Theorem 4.4.8], this is the same as saying that $L(C(K))$ has a continuum of small closed ideals for every compact uncountable metric space K . In Section 4 we use a duality argument to prove that $L(\ell_\infty)$ has a continuum of small closed ideals and also show more generally that distinct small closed ideals in $L(L_1)$ dualize to give distinct small closed ideals in $L(L_\infty)$. When X is reflexive, it is obvious that distinct closed ideals in $L(X)$ dualize to give distinct closed ideals in $L(X^*)$, but one does not expect this to be the case when X

is non reflexive.

Our notation is standard. We just mention that when a quantity (usually of the form N^α with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$) is treated as an integer, it should be adjusted to the closest larger or smaller integer, depending on context. As we mentioned earlier, all ideals are assumed to be two-sided ideals. All results are valid for both real and complex Banach spaces even if some proofs are, for simplicity of notation, written for the case of real scalars.

Much of this research was conducted while the authors participated in the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute program “Geometric Functional Analysis and Applications” in the Autumn of 2017. The authors thank MSRI for support during that semester.

2 A Lemma

In this section we prove a lemma that will be used in the construction of a continuum of closed ideals in $L(L_1)$. Although the proof is quite simple, it was surprising to us and we think that it will be useful again down the road. In the lemma, the domain L_1 space should be L_1 of a probability space (else condition (1) must be adjusted); we wrote the proof for $L_1(0, 1)$ with Lebesgue measure. As for the range space, it follows formally from the lemma as stated that the range L_1 space can be any L_1 space of dimension $N^{\frac{p}{2}}$ —it is just more convenient to prove it for $L_1^{N^{\frac{p}{2}}}$, where the measure is the uniform probability measure on $N^{\frac{p}{2}}$ points.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\} \subset L_q$, and let $T : L_1 \rightarrow L_1^{N^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ be an operator. Suppose that C and ϵ satisfy*

1. $\max_{|\epsilon_i|=1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i v_i \right\|_q \leq CN^{1/2}$, and
2. $\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|Tv_i\|_1 \geq \epsilon$.

Then $\|T\| \geq (\epsilon/C)N^{\frac{q-p}{2q}}$.

Proof: Take u_i^* in $L_\infty^{N^{p/2}} = (L_1^{N^{\frac{p}{2}}})^*$ with $|u_i^*| \equiv 1$ so that $\langle u_i^*, Tv_i \rangle = \|Tv_i\|_1 \geq \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon N &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle T^* u_i^*, v_i \rangle := \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^N (T^* u_i^*)(a) v_i(a) da \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{a \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N (T^* u_i^*)(a) v_i(b) \right| db \\
&=: \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N v_i(b) T^* u_i^* \right\|_{L_\infty[0,1]} db \\
&\leq \|T\| \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N v_i(b) u_i^* \right\|_{L_\infty^{p/2}} db \\
&\leq \|T\| N^{\frac{p}{2q}} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{[N^{\frac{p}{2}}]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^*(c) v_i(b) \right|^q dc \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} db \\
&\leq \|T\| N^{\frac{p}{2q}} \left(\int_{[N^{\frac{p}{2}}]} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^*(c) v_i(b) \right|^q db dc \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq C \|T\| N^{\frac{p+q}{2q}}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2 For $q \geq 2$, the power of N in the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 is of optimal order as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

This is shown by the following simple argument. Set $m := N^{p/2}$. By [4] there are functions $f_1, \dots, f_{m^{2/q}}$ that are unit vectors in L_1^m (these functions are even ± 1 valued) such that

$$\left\| \sum x_j f_j \right\|_{L_q^m} \leq b_q \left(\sum |x_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for every $x = (x_j) \in \ell_2^{m^{2/q}}$. Here b_q is a constant depending only on $q > 2$. Assume $p \leq q$ so that $N \geq m^{2/q}$. Let K be (the closest integer to) $m^{-2/q} N$. Let $s : [N] \rightarrow [m^{2/q}]$ be a surjection such that $|s^{-1}(k)| = K$ for every $k \in [m^{2/q}]$. Define $v : \ell_\infty^N \rightarrow L_q^m$ by $v(x) = \sum x_k f_{s(k)}$ for all $x \in \ell_\infty^N$. We claim that $\|v\| \leq b_q K m^{1/q}$. Indeed,

$$\|v(x)\|_q \leq \left\| \sum \left(\sum_{j \in s^{-1}(k)} x_j \right) f_j \right\|_q \leq b_q \left(\sum \left| \sum_{j \in s^{-1}(k)} x_j \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq b_q K (m^{2/q})^{1/2} \sup |x_j|.$$

Let $T : L_1^m \rightarrow L_1^m$ be the identity and let $J_m : L_q^m \rightarrow L_1^m$ be the inclusion. We set $v_k := J_m v(e_k) \in L_1^m$. Note that $\|v_k\|_1 = 1$ and hence $\epsilon = \inf \|v_k\|_1 = 1$. Then condition 1. in Lemma 2.1 holds with $C := b_q K m^{1/q} N^{-1/2}$. We find

$$1 = \|T\| = \epsilon$$

and also

$$(\epsilon/C)N^{\frac{q-p}{2q}} = C^{-1}N^{1/2}m^{-1/q} = b_q^{-1}Nm^{-2/q}K^{-1} = b_q^{-1}.$$

Thus if the conclusion of the Lemma is $\|T\| \geq (\epsilon/C)N^\alpha$ with an exponent α we have necessarily $\alpha \leq \frac{q-p}{2q}$. This proves our claim. \blacksquare

3 Small Ideals in $L(L_1)$

First we fix some notation that will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let μ be the uniform probability on the two point set $\{-1, 1\}$. Given a set S , $L_1\{-1, 1\}^S$ denotes the space of μ^S -integrable functions on $\{-1, 1\}^S$. If $T \subset S$, we regard $L_1\{-1, 1\}^T$ as a subspace of $L_1\{-1, 1\}^S$, where f in $L_1\{-1, 1\}^T$ is identified with \tilde{f} in $L_1\{-1, 1\}^S$, defined by $\tilde{f}(x_t)_{t \in S} := f(x_t)_{t \in T}$. Our model for L_1 is $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 3.1 *There exists a family $\{\mathcal{I}_p : 2 < p < \infty\}$ of (non-closed) ideals in $L(L_1)$ such that their closures $\overline{\mathcal{I}_p}$ are distinct small ideals in $L(L_1)$.*

Proof: Write \mathbb{N} as the disjoint union of finite sets E_k so that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cardinality $|E_k|$ of E_k is n for infinitely many k . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, fix k_n so that $|E_{k_n}| = n$. Fix $2 < p < \infty$, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define $N := N(p, n)$ to be $2^{2n/p}$, so that the dimension of $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{E_{k_n}}$ is $N^{p/2}$. In view of Bourgain's [7] solution to Rudin's $\Lambda(p)$ -set problem, for some constant $C_p < \infty$ there are non constant (and hence mean zero) characters $\{v_i(k_n, p) : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ on the group $\{-1, 1\}^{E_{k_n}}$ so that for all scalars $(a_i)_{i=1}^N$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i v_i(k_n, p) \right\|_p \leq C_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

(One can substitute an older and simpler theorem for Bourgain's deep result—see the remark following the proof.) For $k \neq k_n$ in \mathbb{N} with $|E_k| = n$, let $f_k : E_{k_n} \rightarrow E_k$ be a bijection and let $T_k : \mathbb{K}^{E_{k_n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{E_k}$ be the induced linear isomorphism (\mathbb{K} is the scalar field, either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R}). So for all r , T_k is an isometric isomorphism from $L_r\{-1, 1\}^{E_{k_n}}$ onto $L_r\{-1, 1\}^{E_k}$. Next set $v_i(k, p) := T_k v_i(k_n, p)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Finally, define, $J_p : \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to be an injective operator that maps the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 onto the following set of characters:

$$V_p := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{|E_k|=n} \{v_i(k, p) : 1 \leq i \leq N(p, n)\}.$$

We can now define the ideals \mathcal{I}_p , $2 < p < \infty$. Set

$$\mathcal{I}_p := \{T \in L(L_1) : T \text{ factors through } J_p\},$$

where, as we mentioned earlier, $L_1 := L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Obviously $L(L_1)\mathcal{I}_p L(L_1) \subset \mathcal{I}_p$. Moreover, by construction, \mathcal{I}_p is closed under addition. This follows from the observation that $J_p \oplus J_p : \ell_1 \oplus \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1 \oplus L_1$ factors through J_p . We leave the checking of the observation to the reader, just remarking that for $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{N}$, if you identify $L\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{M}}$ with the functions in $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ that depend only on \mathbb{M} , then the (norm one) conditional expectation projection $P_{\mathbb{M}}$ from $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{M}}$ is zero on the mean zero functions in $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{M}}$.

We show that $\overline{\mathcal{I}_p} \neq \overline{\mathcal{I}_q}$ when $p \neq q$ by verifying that $\mathcal{I}_p \not\subset \overline{\mathcal{I}_q}$ when $2 < p < q < \infty$. We will use the observation that for all x_1, \dots, x_n in V_p and scalars a_1, \dots, a_n , we have $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\| \leq 2B_p C_p (\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2)^{1/2}$, where B_p is the constant in Khintchine's inequality. Indeed, sequences of mean zero independent random variables are unconditional in L_p with constant at most 2, so if f_k is in the linear span of $\{v_i(k, p) : 1 \leq i \leq N(p, k)\}$, then

$$\left\| \sum_k a_k f_k \right\|_p \leq 2B_p \left(\sum_k |a_k|^2 \|f_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

because L_p has type 2 with constant B_p , hence the observation follows from (1).

Suppose $2 < p < q < \infty$. We prove that $\mathcal{I}_p \not\subset \overline{\mathcal{I}_q}$ by showing that $J_p Q \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}_q}$, where Q is a quotient mapping from L_1 onto ℓ_1 . In fact, we prove the formally stronger fact that V_p , the image under J_p of the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 , has distance at least $1/10$ from SB_{L_1} for every S in \mathcal{I}_q . Let $\epsilon > 0$; $\epsilon = 1/10$ will do. If our claim is false, there is T in $L(L_1)$ so that

$$V_p \subset TJ_q B_{\ell_1} + \epsilon B_{L_1}^o, \quad (2)$$

where B_X is the closed unit ball of X and B_X^0 is the open unit ball of X . For n in \mathbb{N} define $T_n = P_{E_{k_n}} T$, where again $P_{E_{k_n}}$ is the conditional expectation projection from $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto $L_1\{-1, 1\}^{E_{k_n}}$. Clearly $\|T_n\| \leq \|T\|$. Let $V_p(k_n) := \{v_i(k_n, p) : 1 \leq i \leq N(p, n)\}$. Then

$$V_p(k_n) \subset T_n J_q B_{\ell_1} + \epsilon B_{L_1}^{o_{E_{k_n}}} = \text{conv} T_n(\pm V_q) + \epsilon B_{L_1}^{o_{E_{k_n}}}. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$V'_q := \{w \in V_q : \|T_n w\| \geq 1 - 2\epsilon\}.$$

We need a lower bound on the cardinality of V'_q ; namely, that $|V'_q| \geq \delta N(p, n)$ for some constant δ that does not depend on n , for then by Lemma 2.1 we get a contradiction by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To show that $|V'_q| \geq \delta N(p, n)$, we use the fact that the modulus $|T_n|$ of the L_1 operator T_n has the same norm as T_n . Let $f := |T_n|1$. Then $\|f\|_1 \leq \|T\|$ and for all w in V_q we have $|T_n w| \leq f$. Define $E := [f \leq \|T\|/\epsilon] \subset \{-1, 1\}^{E_{k_n}}$, so the measure of its complement \tilde{E} is at most ϵ . Since each $v_i := v_i(k_n, p)$ has constant modulus 1, we have that

$$\langle 1_E v_i, v_i \rangle \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq N(p, n). \quad (4)$$

Since $\|v_i - u\|_1 < \epsilon$ for some u in $\text{conv } \pm T_n V_q$, we get from (4) that for each $1 \leq i \leq N(p, n)$, there is w_i in $\pm V_q$ such that $\langle 1_E v_i, T_n w_i \rangle \geq 1 - 2\epsilon$ and hence w_i is in V'_q ; that is $\|T w_i\| \geq 1 - 2\epsilon$. That would complete the proof except for the annoying fact that these w_i need not be distinct. However, for any w in V_q , $w_i = \pm w$ for only a bounded number of i 's, where the bound depends only on ϵ and $\|T\|$. Indeed, if $\langle 1_E v_i, \epsilon_i T_n w \rangle \geq 1 - 2\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and all i in S , then

$$\begin{aligned} |S|(1 - 2\epsilon) &\leq \langle 1_E \sum_{i \in S} \epsilon_i v_i, T_n w \rangle = \langle \sum_{i \in S} \epsilon_i v_i, 1_E T_n w \rangle \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in S} \epsilon_i v_i \right\|_1 \|1_E T_n w\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in S} \epsilon_i v_i \right\|_2 \|T\|/\epsilon = |S|^{1/2} \|T\|/\epsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and hence $|S| \leq (\|T\|/\epsilon)^2 (1 - 2\epsilon)^{-2}$. Therefore $|\{w_i : \|T w_i\|_1 \geq (1 - 2\epsilon)^2\}|$ is at least $(1 - 2\epsilon)^2 (\epsilon/\|T\|)^2 N(p, n)$. ■

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 it was not important that the v_i be characters,. One can get similar examples by using the earlier and softer result [4], [3], that for $2 < q < \infty$ and every N there are constant modulus vectors v_1, \dots, v_N in $L_q^{Nq/2}$ so that the $\|\cdot\|_q$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ norms are C_q equivalent on the linear span of $(v_i)_{i=1}^N$, and in $L_2^{Nq/2}$ every linear combination of $(v_i)_{i=1}^N$ has its norm dominated by the $\ell_2^{Nq/2}$ norm of its coefficients. The only change in the proof is that the final equality in (5) becomes an inequality.

Minor adjustments of the proof of Theorem 3.1 yield that other families of closed ideals in $L(L_1)$ are distinct. For example, for $2 < p < \infty$ let \mathcal{J}_p be the set of all operators on L_1 that factor through an operator of the form $I_{p,1} S i_{1,2}$, where $I_{p,1} : L_p \rightarrow L_1$ and $i_{1,2} : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_2$ are the formal identity mappings and S is an operator from ℓ_2 into L_p . It is easy to check that each \mathcal{J}_p is an ideal in $L(L_1)$ and $\mathcal{I}_p \subset \mathcal{J}_p$. On the other hand, the argument we gave to show that the operator $J_p Q$ (where Q is a quotient mapping from L_1 onto ℓ_1) is not in $\overline{\mathcal{I}_q}$ when $2 < p < q < \infty$ also shows that $J_p Q$ is not in $\overline{\mathcal{J}_q}$, so $\overline{\mathcal{J}_p} \neq \overline{\mathcal{J}_q}$ when $2 < p < q < \infty$. The family of \mathcal{J}_p 's has some advantages over the family of \mathcal{I}_p 's: \mathcal{J}_p is easy to describe and it is obvious that the family of \mathcal{J}_p 's are nested. On the other hand, each \mathcal{I}_p is generated by a single operator, J_p , and with a bit more work we could have constructed the family of \mathcal{I}_p 's to be nested. By the way, we do not know whether the containment $\overline{\mathcal{I}_p} \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}_p}$ is proper. In fact, there is a lot of choice in the construction of \mathcal{I}_p , and we do not know whether different choices produce the same closed ideals.

There are known to exist \aleph_1 closed ideals in $L(C[0, 1])$ since, for example, there are \aleph_1 mutually non isomorphic complemented subspaces of $C[0, 1]$ each of which is isomorphic to its square [6], but as far as we know, only finitely many small ideals in $L(C[0, 1])$ are known to exist. To close this section we prove that there are a continuum of small closed ideals in $L(C[0, 1])$. Of course, by Miljutin's Theorem [17], [1, Theorem 4.4.8], this is equivalent to

saying that $L(C(K))$ has a continuum of small closed ideals for some (or all) uncountable compact metric spaces K , so our theorem is proved for the convenient case that K is a Cantor set.

Corollary 3.2 *The Banach algebra $L(C(\Delta))$ of bounded linear operators on the space of continuous functions on the Cantor set $\Delta := \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ contains a continuum of small closed ideals.*

Proof: For $2 < p < \infty$ define $K_p : C(\Delta) \rightarrow c_0$ by mapping $f \in C(\Delta)$ to $\int \chi_n f$, where χ_n ranges over the characters in the set V_p used in Theorem 3.1. We can and do identify c_0 with a norm one complemented subspace of $C(\Delta)$. The enumeration is chosen so that $K_p^* = J_p$, where J_p is the operator from ℓ_1 into $L_1(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ used in Theorem 3.1 and $L_1(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is identified with a subspace of $C(\Delta)^*$ in the usual way. Let \mathcal{G}_p be the ideal of all operators on $C(\Delta)$ that factor through K_p . We proved in Theorem 3.1 that for $2 < p < q < \infty$, if Q is a quotient mapping from $L_1(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ onto ℓ_1 , then $J_p Q$ is not in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_q$. Using the fact that $L_1(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is a complemented subspace of $C(\Delta)^*$, we deduce that K_p , when considered as an operator in $L(C(\Delta))$ via the identification of c_0 with a subspace of $C(\Delta)$, is not in $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_q$. Consequently, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_p \neq \overline{\mathcal{G}}_q$ when $2 < p < q < \infty$. Finally, notice that the ideals $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_p$ are small. Indeed, K_p factors through a Hilbert space because $K_p^* = J_p$ does, hence K_p is strictly singular because it ranges in c_0 . ■

4 Ideals in $L(\ell_\infty)$

In this section we prove that $L(\ell_\infty)$ has a continuum of closed ideals. Since ℓ_∞ is isomorphic as a Banach space to L_∞ , the Banach algebras $L(\ell_\infty)$ and $L(L_\infty)$ are isomorphic as Banach algebras even though $L(L_1)$ and $L(\ell_1)$ are very different as Banach algebras— $K(\ell_1)$ is the only closed ideal in $L(\ell_1)$ while in Section 3 we proved that $L(L_1)$ has a continuum of closed ideals. The problem in dealing with $L(L_\infty)$ is that for non reflexive spaces X , distinct closed ideals in $L(X)$ do not naturally generate distinct closed ideals in $L(X^*)$. For example, $L(L_1)$ has at least two proper closed large ideals; namely, the ideal of operators on L_1 that factor through ℓ_1 and the unique maximal ideal; while $L(L_\infty)$ has no proper large ideal because the identity on L_∞ factors through every non strictly singular operator on L_∞ . However, in Corollary 4.4 we prove that any family of distinct small closed ideals in $L(L_1)$ naturally “dualize” to give a corresponding family of small closed ideals in $L(L_\infty)$. This is done in two steps, and only the first step is needed to see that $L(L_\infty)$ has a continuum of closed ideals. The first step, Proposition 4.1, implies that if X is isomorphic to $X \oplus X$, X is complemented in X^{**} , and $T \in L(X)$ is such that TB_X is far from SB_X for every S in some ideal \mathcal{I} that is contained in the ideal $\mathcal{W}(X)$ of weakly compact operators on X , then T^{**} is not in the

closed ideal in $L(X^{**})$ generated by $\mathcal{I}^{\#\#}$, where for a subset A of $L(X)$, $A^\#$ is defined to be $\{S^* \in L(X^*) : S \in A\}$. It is also convenient to denote by A^\dagger the set $L(X^*) \cdot A^\# \cdot L(X^*)$, the collection of all operators in $L(X^*)$ that factor through some operator in $A^\#$. Note that \mathcal{I}^\dagger is an ideal in $L(X^*)$ if \mathcal{I} is an ideal in $L(X)$ and $X \oplus X$ is isomorphic to X . Indeed, then if T, S are in \mathcal{I} , the operator $T \oplus S : X \oplus X \rightarrow X \oplus X$ is similar to an operator in the ideal \mathcal{I} , from which it easily follows that \mathcal{I}^\dagger is closed under addition and is an ideal in $L(X^*)$. It is equally easy to verify that if \mathcal{I} is an ideal in $L(X)$ and $X \oplus X \sim X$ then $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\dagger} = L(X^{**}) \cdot \mathcal{I}^{\#\#} \cdot L(X^{**})$.

Given subsets A, B of a Banach space X , define a (non symmetric) distance from A to B by $d(A, B) := \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|$.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{W}(X)$ be an ideal in $L(X)$, where X is a Banach space that is isomorphic to its square $X \oplus X$. Assume that there is a projection P from X^{**} onto X . Suppose that $T \in L(X)$ and $d(TB_X, SB_X) \geq \epsilon > 0$ for every S in \mathcal{I} . Then $d(T^{**}B_{X^{**}}, UB_{X^{**}}) \geq \epsilon/\|P\|$ for every U in $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\dagger}$. Consequently, T^{**} is not in the closure of the ideal $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\dagger}$ in $L(X^{**})$ and thus T^* is not in the closure of the ideal \mathcal{I}^\dagger in $L(X^*)$.*

Proof: Assume, for contradiction, that $d(T^{**}B_{X^{**}}, UB_{X^{**}}) < \delta < \epsilon/\|P\|$ for some U in $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger\dagger}$. Write $U = ES^{**}F$ where E, F are norm one operators in $L(X^{**})$ and S is in \mathcal{I} . Thus

$$TB_X \subset T^{**}B_{X^{**}} \subset ES^{**}B_{X^{**}} + \delta B_{X^{**}}^o$$

so that

$$TB_X \subset (PE)S^{**}B_{X^{**}} + \delta\|P\|B_X^o.$$

Since S is weakly compact, the set SB_X is norm dense in $S^{**}B_{X^{**}}$. Therefore

$$TB_X \subset (PE)SB_X + \delta\|P\|B_X^o.$$

This implies that $d(TB_X, (PE)SB_X) \leq \delta\|P\| < \epsilon$, which is a contradiction because $(PE)S$ is in \mathcal{I} .

The “consequently” statement is obvious. ■

Theorem 4.2 *The Banach algebra $L(\ell_\infty)$ has a continuum of distinct closed ideals.*

Proof: As was mentioned earlier, it is equivalent to prove that $L(L_\infty)$ has a continuum of distinct closed ideals. The space L_1 satisfies the hypotheses on the space X in Proposition 4.1. Let \mathcal{I}_p , $2 < p < \infty$, denote the ideals in $L(L_1)$ that were constructed in Theorem 3.

Let $2 < p < q$. In Theorem 3 it was proved that $d(V_p, SB_{L_1}) \geq 1/10$ for every $S \in \mathcal{I}_q$. Now $V_p \subset J_p Q B_{L_1}$ and $J_p Q$ is in \mathcal{I}_p , so by Proposition 4.1 the operator $(J_p Q)^{**}$ is not in the closure of \mathcal{I}_q^\dagger and hence $(J_p Q)^*$ is not in the closure of \mathcal{I}_q^\dagger . This proves that the closures of \mathcal{I}_q^\dagger , $2 < p < \infty$, are distinct ideals in $L(L_\infty)$. ■

We turn to the second step in proving that any family of distinct small closed ideals in $L(L_1)$ gives rise to a family of distinct closed ideals in $L(L_\infty)$.

Proposition 4.3 *Suppose that $T \in L(L_1)$ and $S \in \mathcal{W}(L_1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are such that $d(TB_{L_1}, SB_{L_1}) < \epsilon$. Then there is $U \in L(L_1)$ such that $\|T - SU\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof: In the first step we show that there is $T_1 \in L(L_1)$ with $\|T - T_1\| < \epsilon$ and $T_1 B_{L_1} \subset \overline{SB_{L_1}}$. In particular, the operator T_1 is weakly compact. The operator T_1 is actually of the form $S^{**}V$ for some norm one operator $V : L_1 \rightarrow L_1^{**}$ (note that S^{**} ranges in L_1 because S is weakly compact). The proof was more or less given in the introduction even if the result was not stated there in this generality, but for convenience we repeat the argument. Write L_1 as the closure of the union of a sequence of subspaces E_n with E_n isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_1^n and let P_n be a contractive projection from L_1 onto E_n . Let $\{e_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ be a basis for E_n that is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1^n . Take δ so that $d(TB_{L_1}, SB_{L_1}) < \delta < \epsilon$ and choose vectors $\{x_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ in B_{L_1} so that $\|Te_{n,i} - Sx_{n,i}\| < \delta$. Let A_n be P_n followed by the operator extension to E_n of $e_{n,i} \mapsto x_{n,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly $\|Tx - SA_n x\| < \delta$ for every $x \in E_n$. Considering the A_n as operators into L_1^{**} with the weak* topology, we have that some subnet of $\{A_n\}_n$ converges pointwise weak* to an operator $V : L_1 \rightarrow L_1^{**}$. The operator $S^{**} : L_1^{**} \rightarrow L_1$ is weak* to weak continuous and so $\|Tx - S^{**}Vx\| \leq \delta$ for every $x \in \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ and hence for every $x \in L_1$. Since $\|V\| \leq 1$ and S is weakly compact, $T_1 := S^{**}V$ maps B_{L_1} into $\overline{SB_{L_1}}$.

Before proceeding with the proof, we make a comment. The simple first step gives an operator that factors through S^{**} and is ϵ -close to T . Perhaps under some reasonable but general conditions this gives an approximation to T that factors through S itself, but we do not see it. The argument that follows is short but uses quite a bit of the classical structure theory of L_1 .

Since the operator T_1 is weakly compact, it is representable [10, p. 73]. That is, there is a Bochner measurable function $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \overline{T_1 B_{L_1}}$ so that for every $f \in L_1$ we have $T_1 f = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt$ (in this proof we use $L_1(0, 1)$ as our model for L_1). Since g is Bochner measurable, it can be approximated arbitrarily closely in the norm of $L_\infty([0, 1], L_1)$ by a countably valued Bochner measurable function [10, p. 42]. That is, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in $g[0, 1] \subset \overline{T_1 B_{L_1}} \subset \overline{SB_{L_1}}$ and a measurable partition $\{S_n\}_n$ of $[0, 1]$ such that the operator $T_2 \in L(L_1)$ defined by $T_2 f := \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\int_{S_n} f(t) dt) x_n$ satisfies the inequality

$\|T_1 - T_2\| < \epsilon - \|T - T_1\|$. The operator T_2 has a natural factorization $T_2 = U_1 V_1$ through ℓ_1 ; indeed, $V_1 f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\int_{S_n} f(t) dt) e_n$ and $U_1 e_n := x_n$. So $\|V_1\| \leq 1$ and $U_1 B_{\ell_1} \subset \overline{S B_{L_1}}$. For the final step, take $0 < \tau < \epsilon - \|T - T_1\| - \|T_1 - T_2\|$ and choose $y_n \in B_{L_1}$ so that $\|S y_n - x_n\| < \tau$. Define an operator $R : \ell_1 \rightarrow L_1$ by setting $R e_n = y_n$, so $\|R\| \leq 1$. It is evident that the operator $U := R V_1$ satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 4.3. ■

Our next result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3.

Corollary 4.4 *If \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 are small ideals in $L(L_1)$ that have distinct closures, then the ideals \mathcal{I}_1^\dagger and \mathcal{I}_2^\dagger in $L(L_\infty)$ also have distinct closures.*

References

- [1] Albiac, Fernando; Kalton, Nigel J. Topics in Banach space theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] Astashkin, Sergei V.; Hernández, Francisco; Semenov, Evgeni M. Strictly singular inclusions of rearrangement invariant spaces and Rademacher spaces. *Studia Math.* 193 (2009), no. 3, 269–283.
- [3] Bennett, G.; Dor, L. E.; Goodman, V.; Johnson, W. B.; Newman, C. M. On uncomplemented subspaces of L_p , $1 < p < 2$. *Israel J. Math.* 26 (1977), no. 2, 178–187.
- [4] Bennett, G.; Goodman, V.; Newman, C. M. Norms of random matrices. *Pacific J. Math.* 59 (1975), no. 2, 359–365.
- [5] Berkson, Earl; Porta, Horacio. Representations of $\mathcal{B}(X)$. *J. Functional Analysis* 3 (1969), 1–34.
- [6] Bessaga, C.; Pełczyński, A. Spaces of continuous functions. IV. On isomorphical classification of spaces of continuous functions. *Studia Math.* 19 1960 53–62.
- [7] Bourgain, J. Bounded orthogonal systems and the $\Lambda(p)$ -set problem. *Acta Math.* 162 (1989), no. 3-4, 227–245.
- [8] Bourgain, J.; Rosenthal, H. P.; Schechtman, G. An ordinal L_p -index for Banach spaces, with application to complemented subspaces of L_p . *Annals of Math.* (2) 114 (1981), no. 2, 193–228.
- [9] Calkin, John W. Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space. *Annals of Math.* 42, No. 4 (Oct, 1941), 839–873.

- [10] Diestel, J.; Uhl, J. J., Jr. Vector measures. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis. Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [11] Enflo, P.; Starbird, T. W. Subspaces of L^1 containing L^1 . *Studia Math.* 65 (1979), no. 2, 203–225.
- [12] Gohberg, Israel C.; Markus, Alexander S.; Feldman, Israel A. Normally solvable operators and ideals associated with them. (English translation) *American Mathematical Society Translations* 61 (1967), 63–84, Russian original appearing in *Bul. Akad. Štiințe RSS Moldoven* 10 (76) (1960), 51–70.
- [13] Johnson, W. B.; Maurey, B.; Schechtman, G.; Tzafriri, L. Symmetric structures in Banach spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (1979), no. 217, v+298 pp.
- [14] Kania, T. <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/296878/about-the-existence-of-characters-on-bx/296972#296972>
- [15] Lewis, D. R.; Stegall, C. Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $\ell_1(\Gamma)$. *J. Functional Analysis* 12 (1973), 177–187.
- [16] Mankiewicz, P. A superreflexive Banach space X with $L(X)$ admitting a homomorphism onto the Banach algebra $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$. *Israel J. Math.* 65 (1989), no. 1, 1–16.
- [17] Miljutin, A. A. Isomorphism of the spaces of continuous functions over compact sets of the cardinality of the continuum. (Russian) *Teor. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* Vyp. 2 1966 150–156.
- [18] Pietsch, A. *Operator Ideals*. Volume 16 of *Mathematische Monographien*. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften (1978).
- [19] Rosenthal, H. P. On the subspaces of L^p ($p > 2$) spanned by sequences of independent random variables. *Israel J. Math.* 8 (1970), 273–303.
- [20] Rosenthal, Haskell P. On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\nu)$. *J. Functional Analysis* 4 (1969), 176–214.
- [21] Schechtman, Gideon. Examples of \mathcal{L}_p -spaces ($1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$). *Israel J. Math.* 22 (1975), 138–147.
- [22] Schlumprecht, Thomas; Zsák, András. The algebra of bounded linear operators on $\ell_p \oplus \ell_q$ has infinitely many closed ideals. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 735 (2018), 225–247.
- [23] Whitley, R. J. Strictly singular operators and their conjugates. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 113 (1964) 252–261.

William B. Johnson
Department Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX, USA
E-mail: johnson@math.tamu.edu

Gilles Pisier
Department Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX, USA
E-mail: pisier@math.tamu.edu

Gideon Schechtman
Department of Mathematics
Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot, Israel
E-mail: gideon.schechtman@weizmann.ac.il