

Preprint (1999),

## Embedding subspaces of $L_p$ into $\ell_p^N$ , $0 < p < 1$

By GIDEON SCHECHTMAN of Rehovot and ARTEM ZVAVITCH of Rehovot

( )

**Abstract.** It is shown that if  $X$  is  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , then there exists a subspace  $Y$  of  $\ell_p^N$  such that  $d(X, Y) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $N \leq C(\varepsilon, p)n(\log n)^3$ .

### 1. Introduction

Given an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $L_p([0, 1], dt)$  and,  $\varepsilon > 0$  what is the smallest integer  $N = N(n, \varepsilon)$  such that there is a subspace  $Y$  of  $\ell_p^N$  with  $d(X, Y) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , where  $d(X, Y)$  is the Banach-Mazur distance? For  $p \geq 1$  the dependence of  $N$  on  $n$  is known, except for possibly redundant log factors. (It is, forgetting log factors,  $n$ , for  $1 \leq p < 2$ , and  $n^{p/2}$ , for  $2 < p < \infty$ . See Bourgain, Lindenstrauss and Milman [1], Talagrand [11], [12] for the best known results). In the case of  $0 < p < 1$  the known result is only  $N(n, \varepsilon) \leq c(\varepsilon, p)n^2$  (see Schechtman [10]). Recently Peña [9] considered the problem we address in the present paper, i.e. extending the result of [1] to the range  $0 < p < 1$ , and observed that any  $X$  as above admits a  $Y \subseteq \ell_p^N$  with  $N \leq C(p)n$  and  $d(X, Y) \leq C(p)(\log n)^{1/p}$ . The problem of reducing the  $\log n$  factor to  $1 + \varepsilon$  was left open in [9] and was the trigger to the present note. For a more extensive review of the background material see the forthcoming [4].

In this paper we extend the result of [1] to the range  $0 < p < 1$  by proving the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  then*

$$N(n, \varepsilon) \leq C(p, \varepsilon)n(\log n)^3.$$

Throughout this paper  $C, c, c_1$  etc. denote absolute constants whose value may change from line to line. Similarly  $C(p), c(p), C(p, \varepsilon)$  denote constants depending on the arguments,  $p$  or  $p$  and  $\varepsilon$ , only.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30, 46B07; Secondary 46B09, 60G15.

*Keywords and phrases.*  $L_p$ ,  $\ell_p^N$ , Lewis' change of density, entropy estimates.

The proof is by the method initiated in [10] and refined in [1] of random selection of coordinates. We may assume that  $X \subseteq \ell_p^M$  for some finite (but large)  $M$  and we would like to choose randomly a small set  $A$  of coordinates for which the operator of restriction to  $A$  is an isomorphism on  $X$ . It is easy to see that this is impossible in general (for example if  $X$  is essentially supported on a small subset of the coordinates). So we need to first change the “location” of  $X$  in  $\ell_p^M$ . This is done (as in all the previous references) via change of density. In the existing literature referred to above one uses the so called Lewis’ change of density. This was available until now only in the range  $p \geq 1$ , indeed, the proof in [6] uses duality in an essential way. In section 2. below we extend Lewis’ result to the range  $0 < p < 1$ . The proof is new and simpler even in the known range and we believe it is of independent interest.

Rather than choosing the set  $A$  of coordinates in one step we prefer do to it iteratively reducing the size of  $M$  by a factor of approximately 1/2 each time. (This is the approach of [11].) This amounts to estimating

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^M \varepsilon_i |x_i|^p \right|$$

over a typical choice of signs  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ . Indeed, if for a particular choice of signs this quantity is smaller than  $\delta$  then it is easy to see that the restriction operator to both  $A = \{i; \varepsilon_i = 1\}$  and  $A^c = \{i; \varepsilon_i = -1\}$  is an  $\left(\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}\right)^{1/p}$ -isomorphism.

The expected value of (1.1) over all choices of signs is dominated by a similar expression with independent Gaussian variables replacing the  $\varepsilon_i$ -s:

$$E \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^M g_i |x_i|^p \right|.$$

To estimate this quantity we use, as is done implicitly in [1], a theorem of Dudley. This is done in section 4.. The method requires fine entropy estimates and these are developed in section 3.. The proof of the entropy estimates is based on a proof of a similar estimate in [1]. The main difficulty for us was to realize that in spite of the way it is written there these estimates do not use duality in an essential way. In the last section of this paper we gather all the ingredients into a proof of Theorem 1.1

## 2. Lewis’ theorem in the non-convex setting

We first state and prove a theorem (due to Lewis [6] in the range  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) concerning the existence of special bases in finite dimensional subspaces of  $L_p$ . Even for  $p \geq 1$  the proof is somewhat different and more direct than that of Lewis and in particular does not use explicitly Lewis’ lemma [7] nor the notion of  $p$ -summing operators. [8] (see also [13]) contains another proof, simpler than the original, for the case  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then, there is a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of  $X$  satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 \right)^{(p-2)/2} f_k f_l d\mu = \delta_{kl}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq n.$$

*In particular, one can find a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  and a subspace  $\tilde{X}$  of  $L_p(\Omega, \nu)$  isometric to  $X$  which admits a basis  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  orthonormal in  $L_2(\Omega, \nu)$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 \equiv n$ .*

*Proof.* We first assume that  $\Omega$  is finite. Assume without loss of generality that  $X$  has maximal support, i.e., for every  $t \in \Omega$ , there is a  $x \in X$  with  $x(t) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a basis for  $X$ . Given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ , we consider it as an operator from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $X$  sending the basis vector  $e_i$  to  $\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} x_j$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix which maximizes  $\det(B)$  subject to

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (B e_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq 1.$$

Note that necessarily  $\{A e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a basis for  $X$  and in particular  $\sum_{i=1}^n (A e_i)^2(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ . Consequently, for every  $t$  the function  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^n (B e_i)(t)^2 \right)^{p/2}$  is continuously differentiable at  $A$  as a function of  $(b_{ij})$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{kl}} \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (B e_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^p &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{kl}} \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} x_j(t) \right)^2 \right)^{p/2} d\mu(t) \\ &= p \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} x_j(t) \right)^2 \right)^{(p-2)/2} \left( \sum_{s=1}^n b_{ks} x_s(t) \right) x_l(t) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as is well known (expand  $\det(B)$  by the  $k^{\text{th}}$  row),  $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_{kl}} \det(B)$  is the  $lk$  element of  $B^{-1}$  multiplied by  $\det(B)$ . By the method of Lagrange multipliers, we get that  $C = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{kl}} \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (A e_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^p \right)_{i,j}^n$  is a multiple of  $\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{kl}} \det(A) \right)_{i,j}^n$  which, as we have just remarked, is a multiple of  $A^{*-1}$ , i.e.,  $A^* C$  is a multiple of the identity matrix. Put  $f_k = \sum_{s=1}^n a_{ks} x_s$ , then it follows from (2.2) that for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2(t) \right)^{(p-2)/2} f_k(t) f_u(t) d\mu(t) = \lambda \delta_{uk}$$

which, after normalization, gives a basis satisfying (2.1). Put

$$f(t) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2(t) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \nu(\{t\}) = \mu(\{t\}) f^p(t) / \int f^p(s) d\mu(s),$$

$$\tilde{X} = \{x/f ; x \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad h_i = n^{1/2} f_i / f$$

then  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  is the required basis in the ‘In particular’ part of the theorem.

This finishes the proof in the case that  $\mu$  is finitely supported. This case is all we need for the rest of this paper so we only sketch the easy generalization. First, by changing the density, i.e. dividing the elements of  $X$  by some positive  $L_p$  function  $\varphi$  and changing the measure to  $\varphi^p d\mu$ , we may assume that for some constant  $K$  (depending on  $n$ ) every norm one function in  $X$  is bounded by  $K$ . Now, for every  $\varepsilon$  there is a subspace  $X_\varepsilon$  of the same  $L_p$  space which consists of simple functions and which is  $\varepsilon$  close to  $X$  in the sense that for every norm one function  $f$  in  $X$  (resp.  $X_\varepsilon$ ) there is a function  $g$  in  $X_\varepsilon$  (resp.  $X$ ) with  $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$ . By the first part of the proof there is a basis  $f_1^\varepsilon, \dots, f_n^\varepsilon$  in  $X_\varepsilon$  satisfying (2.1). Any limit  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of these bases as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  along some subsequence, will satisfy (2.1) as well and be in  $X$ . To get the basis  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  we change the density again as in the discrete case above.  $\square$

**Remarks:** 1. Note that if  $X$  is a subspace of  $\ell_p^M$  and  $\mu$  is the probability measure on  $\{1, \dots, M\}$  given by the theorem above then, as observed in [3] we can split each atom of  $\nu$  of mass large than  $4/M$  into pieces each of size between  $2/M$  and  $4/M$ . This will enlarge the number of atoms by at most  $M/2$ . The new measure, which we still denote by  $\nu$ , is now supported on  $\{1, \dots, M'\}$ , where  $M' \leq 3M/2$ .  $\mu(\{i\}) \leq 4/M$  for all  $i$ ,  $X$  is still isometric to a subspace (which we continue to denote by  $X$ ) of  $L_p$  of the new measure space and the new  $X$  admits an orthonormal basis  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  whose sum of squares is a constant.

2. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be as given in Theorem 2.1. If  $x \in \tilde{X}$  then, for  $0 < p < 2$ ,

$$\|x\|_2 \leq n^{1/p-1/2} \|x\|_p \text{ and } \|x\|_\infty \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_p.$$

Indeed, If  $x \in \tilde{X}$  and  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i h_i$  then

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_\infty \leq n^{1/2} \|x\|_2$$

and

$$\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_\infty^{2-p} \|x\|_p^p \leq n^{(2-p)/2} \|x\|_2^{2-p} \|x\|_p^p.$$

Thus  $\|x\|_2 \leq n^{1/p-1/2} \|x\|_p$ .

Note that this implies in particular that  $d(X, \ell_2^n) \leq n^{1/p-1/2}$  for every  $n$  dimensional subspace of  $L_p$ . This extends a result of Lewis [6] to the range  $0 < p < 1$  (but it is easy to get this extension also by other means).

3. The basis with property (2.1) is unique up to orthogonal transformation: Let  $X$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be as in Theorem 2.1 and let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be another basis satisfying (2.1), i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad \int F^{p-2} f_k f_l d\mu = \int G^{p-2} g_k g_l d\mu = \delta_{kl}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq n$$

where  $F = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$  and  $G = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$ . Then there exists an orthonormal matrix  $U = (a_{ij})$  such that  $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} g_j$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We are going to show that  $F = G$  from which the assertion easily follows. Denote by  $X_F$  (resp.  $X_G$ )  $X$

considered as a subspace of  $L_2(F^{p-2}d\mu)$  (resp.  $L_2(G^{p-2}d\mu)$ ). Define three Hilbert space isometries,  $u : \ell_2^n \rightarrow X_F$ ,  $v : \ell_2^n \rightarrow X_G$ , and  $T : X_F \rightarrow X_G$  by

$$u(e_i) = f_i, v(e_i) = g_i, i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } Tx = xG^{-(p-2)/2}F^{(p-2)/2}.$$

$U = v^{-1}Tu$  is a unitary operator on  $\ell_2^n$  represented by the orthogonal matrix  $U = (a_{ij})$ . Then on one hand  $Tf_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}g_j$  and on the other hand  $Tf_i = f_iG^{-(p-2)/2}F^{(p-2)/2}$  squaring, summing over  $i$  and using the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}g_j)^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2$  we get that  $F = G$ .

4. As we remarked above Lewis' proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on another theorem of Lewis [7] which states that if  $\alpha$  is a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  regarded as the space of  $n \times n$  matrices and  $\alpha^*$  is the dual norm where duality is given by the trace ( $\text{trace}BA = \langle B^*, A \rangle$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the usual scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ ) then there is an invertible matrix  $A$  with  $\alpha(A) = 1$  and  $\alpha^*(A^{-1}) = n$ . The proof (first used by F. John for  $\alpha$  being an operator norm) is by a variational argument and  $A$  is obtained as a point at which  $\det(A)$  is maximal under the constraint:  $\alpha(A) \leq 1$ . The proof is quite simple but it may be instructive to notice that, although this is not the way it is commonly presented, it is really just a solution of a maximization problem under constraints, using the method of Lagrange multipliers. Indeed, assuming the norm  $\alpha$  is smooth, the gradient of  $\alpha(A)$  considered as a function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2} \setminus \{0\}$  is (like the gradient of any norm on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ) the norming functional of  $A$ , i.e., a matrix  $B = B_A$  such that  $\alpha(B) = 1$  and  $\text{trace}BA = \alpha(A)$ . Since the gradient of  $\det(A)$  is a multiple of  $A^{*-1}$  we get that  $B_A$  is a multiple of  $A^{-1}$ . (The reason for removing the adjoint is the relation between trace duality and the usual duality in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .) It is now easy to see that the multiple must be  $1/n$ .

### 3. Entropy estimates

Let  $X$  be an  $n$  dimensional subspace of  $L_p(\Omega, \nu)$  for some probability measure  $\nu$  and assume  $X$  admits an orthonormal basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  with  $\sum f_i^2 \equiv n$ . For  $0 < q \leq \infty$  denote by  $B_q = B_q(X)$  the unit ball of  $X$  in the  $L_q(\Omega, \nu)$  norm. By  $E(B_r, B_s, t)$  we denote the metric entropy of  $B_p$  with respect to  $tB_s$ , i.e., the minimal number of translates of  $tB_s$  needed to cover  $B_r$ . The case  $p \geq 1$  of the next proposition has been implicitly proved in [1] (see the proof of Proposition 7.2 there). A superficial glance at the proof there seems to imply that duality is used. As we shall see below this is not really the case and a small variation of the proof works also in the range  $0 < p < 1$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $0 < p < 2$  then, for any  $t > 1$ ,*

$$\log E(B_p, B_2, t) \leq c(p)n(\log n)t^{-2p/(2-p)}.$$

Proof. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_k$  be a maximal subset of  $B_p$  with respect to the condition:  $\|f - g\|_2 > 8^k t$  for every  $f \neq g$  in  $\mathcal{E}_k$ . (For  $k$  such that  $8^k t > n^{(2-p)/2p}$  we take  $\mathcal{E}_k = \{0\}$ ). Then

$$|\mathcal{E}_k| \geq E(B_p, B_2, 8^k t).$$

Since, for every  $k$  there are  $x_i \in B_p$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, E = E(B_p, B_2, 8^{k+1}t)$ , satisfying  $\cup_{i=1}^E \{x_i + 8^{k+1}tB_2\} \supseteq B_p$ , we can find for every  $k$  a  $y_k \in B_p$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad |\{y_k + 8^{k+1}tB_2\} \cap \mathcal{E}_k| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{E}_k|}{E(B_p, B_2, 8^{k+1}t)} \geq \frac{E(B_p, B_2, 8^k t)}{E(B_p, B_2, 8^{k+1}t)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_k = \{(f - y_k)/8^{k+1}t, f \in \{y_k + 8^{k+1}tB_2\}\} \cap \mathcal{E}_k$ . Then,

$$\|g\|_2 \leq 1, \|g\|_p \leq 2^{1/p\vee 1}/8^{k+1}t, \text{ for any } g \in \mathcal{L}_k$$

and

$$\|g - g'\|_2 > 1/8, \text{ for any } g \neq g', g, g' \in \mathcal{L}_k.$$

For  $g \neq g'$  and  $0 < \theta < p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g'\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |g - g'|^{\theta} |g - g'|^{2-\theta} d\nu \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |g - g'|^p d\nu \right)^{\theta/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |g - g'|^{(2-\theta)p/(p-\theta)} d\nu \right)^{(p-\theta)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r = (2 - \theta)p/(p - \theta)$ , then  $r > 2$ , and for any  $g \neq g', g, g' \in \mathcal{L}_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 8^{-2} &< \|g - g'\|_2^2 = \int |g - g'|^{\theta} |g - g'|^{2-\theta} \\ &\leq \|g - g'\|_p^{\theta} \|g - g'\|_r^{2-\theta} \leq (2^{1/p\vee 1}/8^{k+1}t)^{\theta} \|g - g'\|_r^{2-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\|g - g'\|_r > 8^{-3/(2-\theta)} (8^{k+1}t)^{\theta/(2-\theta)} \text{ for all } g \neq g', g, g' \in \mathcal{L}_k.$$

It particular,

$$(3.2) \quad E(B_2, B_r, 8^{-3/(2-\theta)} (8^{k+1}t)^{\theta/(2-\theta)}) \geq |\mathcal{L}_k|.$$

We now use (7.13) in the proof of Proposition 7.2 of [1] according to which

$$\log E(B_2, B_r, s) \leq crn(\log n)(s/c)^{-2\frac{(2-\theta)p}{\theta(2-p)}}.$$

It follows that

$$\log E(B_2, B_r, 8^{-3/(2-\theta)} (8^{k+1}t)^{\theta/(2-\theta)}) \leq c^{1+2\frac{(2-\theta)p}{\theta(2-p)}} rn(\log n)(8^{k+1}t)^{-2p/(2-p)}$$

and picking  $\theta = p/2$  we get

$$\log E(B_2, B_r, 8^{-3/(2-\theta)} (8^{k+1}t)^{\theta/(2-\theta)}) \leq c^{1/(2-p)} n(\log n)(8^{k+1}t)^{-2p/(2-p)}.$$

Now, (3.1) and (3.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} c^{1/(2-p)} n(\log n)(8^{k+1}t)^{-2p/(2-p)} &\geq \log |\mathcal{L}_k| = \log |\{y_k + 8^{k+1}tB_2\} \cap \mathcal{E}_k| \\ &\geq \log E(B_p, B_2, 8^k t) - \log E(B_p, B_2, 8^{k+1}t). \end{aligned}$$

By summing these inequalities over all  $k$  we obtain

$$\log E(B_p, B_2, t) \leq c(p)n(\log n)t^{-2p/(2-p)}.$$

The constant  $c(p)$  is bounded by  $c^{1/(2-p)}/p$  for some universal  $c$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** As in [1] one can get also that for every  $n$  dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $L_p$  and for every  $\varepsilon$  there is another subspace  $\tilde{X}$  of  $L_p$  whose Banach-Mazur distance from  $X$  is at most  $1 + \varepsilon$  and, letting  $\tilde{B}_q$  denote the unit ball of  $\tilde{X}$  with respect to the  $L_q$  norm,

$$\log E(\tilde{B}_p, \tilde{B}_\infty, t) \leq c(p)n \log(n\varepsilon^{-1})t^{-p}$$

for  $t > 1$ .

#### 4. A Bound on a Gaussian process

Let  $X$  be an  $n$  dimensional subspace of  $L_p(\Omega, \nu)$  for a set  $\Omega$  with  $K$  points and some probability measure  $\nu$  satisfying  $\nu(\{i\}) \leq 6/K$  and assume  $X$  admits an orthonormal basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  with  $\sum f_i^2 \equiv n$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  and let  $g_i, i = 1, \dots, K$  be independent standard Gaussian random variables. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in B_p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^K \nu(\{i\}) g_i |x(i)|^p \right| \leq c(p) \left( \frac{n}{K} (\log n)^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let  $\delta$  be the natural distance associated with the Gaussian process  $\{G_x = \sum_{i=1}^K \nu(\{i\}) g_i |x(i)|^p\}_{x \in B_p}$ , i.e.,

$$\delta(y, z) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^K [\nu(\{i\})(|y_i|^p - |z_i|^p)]^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad y, z \in B_p.$$

Let  $\theta = (1-p)/(2-p)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \delta(y, z)^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \nu(\{i\})^2 |y_i - z_i|^{2p} \\ &\leq 6K^{-1} \|y - z\|_p^{\theta 2p} \|y - z\|_2^{(1-\theta)2p} \\ &\leq 12K^{-1} \|y - z\|_2^{2p/(2-p)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the second remark following Theorem 2.1  $\|x\|_2 \leq n^{(2-p)/2p} \|x\|_p$  for all  $x \in X$  It follows that the  $\delta$  diameter of  $B_p$  is at most  $(12n)^{1/2} K^{-1/2}$ .

Proposition 3.1 and (4.1) also imply

$$\begin{aligned} \log E(B_p, \delta, t) &\leq \log E(B_p, (12K^{-1})^{1/2} \|\cdot\|_2^{p/(2-p)}, t) \\ &\leq \log E(B_p, \|\cdot\|_2, 12^{-(2-p)/2p} K^{(2-p)/2p} t^{(2-p)/p}) \\ &\leq c(p)n(\log n)K^{-1}t^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality in the later display requires that  $t \geq 12^{1/2}K^{-1/2}$ . For  $0 < t < 12^{1/2}K^{-1/2}$  we first obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(y, z)^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \nu(\{i\})^2 |y_i - z_i|^{2p} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^K (\nu\{i\}) |y_i - z_i|^p \right)^2 \leq \|y - z\|_p^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we use standard volume considerations in the  $n$ -dimensional space  $X$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log E(B_p, \delta, t) &\leq \log E(B_p, \|\cdot\|_p^p, t) \\ &\leq \log E(B_p, \|\cdot\|_p, t^{1/p}) \leq cn \log t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Dudley's theorem ([2], [5]),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in B_p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^K \nu(\{i\}) g_i |x(i)|^p \right| &\leq c \int_0^{(12n)^{1/2}K^{-1/2}} (\log E(B_p, \delta, t))^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_{12^{1/2}K^{-1/2}}^{(12n)^{1/2}K^{-1/2}} c(p)n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}K^{-1/2}t^{-1} dt \\ &\quad + c \int_0^{12^{1/2}K^{-1/2}} n^{1/2}(\log t^{-1})^{1/2} dt \\ &\leq c(p) \left( \frac{n}{K} (\log n)^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5. The proof of Theorem 1.1

By a simple approximation we may assume that  $X$  is a subspace of  $\ell_p^M$  for some finite  $M$ . Using Theorem 2.1 and the first remark following it we may then assume that  $X \subseteq L_p(\Omega, \nu)$  where  $|\Omega| \leq 3M/2$ ,  $\nu_i = \nu(\{i\}) \leq 4/M$  for all  $i \in \Omega$  and  $X$  admits an orthonormal basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  with  $\sum f_i^2 \equiv n$ . We would like to find a subset  $A \subset \Omega$  for which

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| 2 \sum_{i \in A} \nu_i |x_i|^p - \sum_{i \in \omega} \nu_i |x_i|^p \right| \leq (c(p)nM^{-1}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}.$$

Then the restriction operators to both  $A$  and the complement of  $A$  are

$\left( \frac{1 + (c(p)nM^{-1}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}}{1 - (c(p)nM^{-1}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/p}$ -isomorphisms and of course one of  $A$  or  $A^c$  is of cardinality at most  $3M/4$ . This reduces the dimension of the containing space from  $M$  to  $3M/4$ . Iterating, we get that as long as

$$(5.2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^l \left( \frac{1 + (c(p)n3^i(4M)^{-i}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}}{1 - (c(p)n3^i(4M)^{-i}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/p} \leq 1 + \varepsilon,$$



$X$   $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -embeds into  $\ell_p^m$  for some  $m \leq M(3/4)^{-l-1}$ . Now (5.2) is satisfied for  $l$  such that  $M(3/4)^{-l-1} \leq C(p, \varepsilon)n(\log n)^3$  (for  $C(p, \varepsilon)$  of the form  $C(p)/\varepsilon^2$ ) which would conclude the proof if we only could establish (5.1).

To find a set  $A$  satisfying (5.1) it is enough to prove that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ave}_A \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} & \left| 2 \sum_{i \in A} \nu_i |x_i|^p - \sum_{i \in \Omega} \nu_i |x_i|^p \right| \\ & = \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i \in \Omega} \varepsilon_i \nu_i |x_i|^p \right| \leq (c(p)nM^{-1}(\log n)^3)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{Ave}_A$  denotes the average over all subsets of  $\Omega$  while  $\mathbb{E}$  is the expectation with respect to the natural product measure on  $\{-1, 1\}^\Omega$ . The contraction principle (which is simple in this case) implies

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i \in \Omega} \varepsilon_i \nu_i |x_i|^p \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i \in \Omega} g_i \nu_i |x_i|^p \right|$$

which together with Proposition 4.1 gives (5.3).

## Acknowledgements

Supported by ISF.

## References

- [1] J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, *Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes*, Acta Math. **162** (1989), 73-141.
- [2] R. M. Dudley, *The sizes of compact subsets of Hilbert space and continuity of Gaussian processes*, J. Functional Analysis **1** (1967), 290-330.
- [3] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, *Computing  $p$ -Summing Norms With Few Vectors*, Israel Journal of Math. **87** (1994), 19-31.
- [4] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, *Finite dimensional subspaces of  $L_p$* , in preparation.
- [5] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach spaces* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. **vol 23**, Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [6] D. R. Lewis, *Finite dimensional subspaces of  $L_p$* , Studia Math. **63** (1978), 207-212.
- [7] D. R. Lewis, *Ellipsoids defined by Banach ideal norms*, Mathematika **26** (1979), 18-29.
- [8] G. G. Lorentz and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Projections of minimal norm*, Texas functional analysis seminar 1983-1984 (Austin, Tex.), 167-176, Longhorn Notes, Univ. Texas Press, Austin, TX, (1984).
- [9] Ana Peña, *A note on the embedding of subspaces of  $L_p$  into  $l_r^N$ ,  $0 < r < 1, r \leq p < 2$* , Math. Nachr. **189** (1998), 195-207.
- [10] G. Schechtman, *More on embedding subspaces of  $L_p$  in  $l_r^N$* , Compositio Math. **61** (1987), 159-170.
- [11] M. Talagrand, *Embedding subspaces of  $L_1$  into  $L_1^N$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 363-369.
- [12] M. Talagrand, *Embedding subspaces of  $L_p$  in  $l_p^N$* , Geometric aspects of functional analysis (Israel, 1992-1994), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **77** (1995), 311-325.
- [13] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces for analysts*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **25**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).

*Department of Theoretical Mathematics  
Weizmann Institute of Science  
Rehovot  
Israel  
e-mail: gideon@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il  
e-mail: tim@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il*