Isoperimetric inequalities in high-dimensional convex sets Boaz Klartag, ETH Zurich 2025

Lecture 4: Dvoretzky's theorem

This is our second lecture on applications of spherical concentration of measure, which may be used in order to find "regular substructures" in a high-dimensional system; perhaps in the spirit of Ramsey's theorem from graph theory which states that any coloring of the complete graph on N vertices by two colors, contains a monochromatic subgraph of size $c \log N$. Recall that a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n is a compact, convex set with a non-empty interior. It will be convenient to assume that the convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is origin-symmetric or centrally-symmetric, i.e.,

$$K = -K$$

We will discuss the proof by Milman [9], with improvements by Gordon [7] and Schechtman [12], of the following Dvoretzky's theorem [4, 5]:

Theorem 4.1 (Dvoretzky). Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an origin-symmetric convex body and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ and $1 \le k \le n$ be such that

$$k \le c\varepsilon^2 \log n. \tag{1}$$

Then there exists a k-dimensional subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the section $K \cap E$ is ε -spherical, i.e.,

$$(1-\varepsilon)rB_E \subseteq K \cap E \subseteq (1+\varepsilon)rB_E$$

for some r > 0. Here, $B_E = B^n \cap E = \{x \in E ; |x| \le 1\}$ and c > 0 is a universal constant.

Thus, any centrally-symmetric convex body in high-dimensions admits sections that are nearly Euclidean balls.

The dependence on the dimension n in the bound (1) is tight for the unit cube $Q^n = [-1/2, 1/2]^n$, see the exercise below. The dependence on ε is probably far from tight; it is conjectured that the bound in (1) may be improved to

$$k \le c \frac{\log n}{\log 1/\varepsilon}.$$

In the case where k=2, there is a topological argument that attributed to Gromov [10], which yields this better bound.

There is additionally an algebraic version of Dvoretzky's theorem, conjectured in Milman [10] and proven by Dolnikov and Karasaev [3] by using daunting topological tools such as Carlsson's proof of the Segal conjecture. The algebraic version of Dvoretzky's theorem states that for any positive integers k,d there is a number $n_0(k,d)$ such that if $n \geq n_0(k,d)$ then the following holds: For any d-homogeneous polynomial $P: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists a k-dimensional subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$P(x) = \alpha |x|^d \qquad \text{for all } x \in E,$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The case where d is odd is much easier, see Birch [2], as well as the complex case; in these two cases we have $\alpha = 0$. It is an open problem to provide a reasonable (or any) quantitative estimate for the dimension $n_0(k,d)$ in the general, real case.

All known proofs of Theorem 4.1 are probabilistic. Recall that a subset $\mathcal F$ of a metric space (X,d) is a δ -net if the δ -neighborhood of $\mathcal F$ equals the entire space X, i.e., for any $x\in X$ there is $y\in \mathcal F$ such that $d(x,y)<\delta$. We will use a simple volumetric bound for estimating the size of a δ -net of the sphere.

Lemma 4.2. For any $n \geq 2, 0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a δ -net of S^{n-1} endowed with the Euclidean metric, whose cardinality is at most

$$\left(\frac{3}{\delta}\right)^n. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Consider a maximal δ -separated subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq S^{n-1}$, i.e.,

$$\forall x \neq y \in \mathcal{F}, \ |x - y| \ge \delta \tag{3}$$

and \mathcal{F} is maximal (under inclusion) subject to the requirement (3). The set \mathcal{F} is a δ -net, by maximality, since for any $x \in S^{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{F}$, the set $\mathcal{F} \cup \{x\}$ is *not* δ -separated, hence there exists $y \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$. Observe that the family of balls

$$x + \frac{\delta}{2}B^n \qquad (x \in \mathcal{F})$$

are disjoint, while all contained in $(1 + \delta/2)B^n$. Therefore, with $N = \#(\mathcal{F})$,

$$N \cdot \kappa_n(\delta/2)^n \le \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(x + \frac{\delta}{2}B^n\right) \le \operatorname{Vol}_n\left((1 + \delta/2)B^n\right) = \kappa_n(1 + \delta/2)^n.$$

Therefore,

$$N \leq \left(\frac{1+\delta/2}{\delta/2}\right)^n = \left(\frac{2+\delta}{\delta}\right)^n \leq \left(\frac{3}{\delta}\right)^n.$$

It is easy to describe explicit δ -nets on the sphere S^{n-1} whose size is at most the expression in (2), perhaps with a different universal constant in place of "3". An example for a spherical δ -net of cardinality at most $(C/\delta)^n$ is the set

$$\left\{ \frac{x}{|x|} \, ; \, 1 \le |x| \le 2, \, \left(\sqrt{n}/\delta\right) x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Indeed, the set $\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a δ -net of \mathbb{R}^n , and the radial projection cannot increase distances; see the exercise below. The following theorem lies at the heart of Milman's proof of Dvoretzky's theorem [11], incorporating improvements by Gordon [7] and Schechtman [12]:

Theorem 4.3 ("Lipschitz functions are nearly constant on a random subspace"). Let $f: S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an L-Lipschitz function, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $M = \int_{S^{n-1}} f d\sigma_{n-1}$. Denote

$$k_* = k_*(\varepsilon) = n \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{L}\right)^2$$

and let $1 \le k \le n$ satisfy

$$k \le \tilde{c}k_*. \tag{5}$$

Let $E \in G_{n,k}$ be a uniformly-distributed, random subspace. Then with a probability of at least $1 - C' \exp(-c'k_*)$,

$$\sup_{x \in S^{n-1} \cap E} |f(x) - M| \le \varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

Here, $C, c, \tilde{c} > 0$ are universal constants.

Theorem 4.3 provides a structured object – a subspace – on which the oscillation of the function f is bounded by 2ε . We begin the proof of Theorem 4.3 with the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. Let $f: S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be L-Lipschitz, and let U be a random rotation, distributed uniformly in O(n). Then for any fixed $x \neq y \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{f(Ux) - f(Uy)}{|x - y|}\right| \ge tL\right) \le C \exp(-ct^2 n),\tag{7}$$

where c, C > 0 are universal constants.

Proof. We may normalize f and assume that L=1. Set z=(x+y)/2 and w=(x-y)/2. Note that

$$z \perp w$$
 and $|z \pm w| = 1$. (8)

For $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with |u| = |z| = |x + y|/2 and for $v \in S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}$ we define

$$g_u(v) = g(u; v) = f\left(u + \frac{|x-y|}{2}v\right) - f\left(u - \frac{|x-y|}{2}v\right)$$

Then g_u is an odd, |x-y|-Lipschitz function defined on the (n-2)-dimensional sphere $S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}$. Being an odd function, the average of g_u on the sphere $S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}$ vanishes. Hence, by spherical concentration of Lipschitz functions,

$$\sigma_{n-2}(\{v \in S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}; |g_u(v)| \ge t|x-y|\}) \le C \exp(-ct^2 n).$$
 (9)

The crucial observation is that thanks to (8), if we condition on the value of

$$Uz = u$$
,

then the vector

$$U(w/|w|) = v$$

is distributed uniformly in the sphere $S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}$. Hence, (9) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|g\left(Uz;\frac{Uw}{|w|}\right)\right| \geq t|x-y|\right) \leq C\exp(-ct^2n).$$

However, since x = z + w and y = z - w,

$$g\left(Uz; \frac{Uw}{|w|}\right) = f\left(Uz + Uw\right) - f\left(Uz - Uw\right) = f(Ux) - f(Uy),$$

completing the proof.

Theorem 4.3 will be proved using ideas of "multi-scale approximation" from the theory of suprema of sub-Gaussian processes, which are related to Dudley's theorem and generic chaining (see e.g. Talagrand [13]).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let us assume that k_* exceeds some given universal constant and that $\varepsilon < 2L$, since otherwise the conclusion of the theorem holds trivially. Fix a subspace $E_0 \in G_{n,k}$ and consider the following sequence of nets: For $\ell \geq 0$, we use Lemma 4.2 and find a set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \subseteq E_0 \cap S^{n-1}$$

which is a $3 \cdot 2^{-\ell}$ -net of $S^{n-1} \cap E_0$ of cardinality at most $(9 \cdot 2^{\ell})^k$. We may assume that

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{z_0\}$$

is a singleton. Let U be a random rotation, distributed uniformly on O(n). Then $E = U(E_0)$ is distributed uniformly in $G_{n,k}$. Moreover, the point Uz_0 is distributed uniformly on the sphere S^{n-1} . We need to show that

$$\sup_{E \cap S^{n-1}} |f - M| \le C\varepsilon \tag{10}$$

with high probability. (We leave it as an exercise to adjust the constants in the formulation of the theorem in order to eliminate C from (10). Fix $x \in E_0 \cap S^{n-1}$. For $\ell \geq 0$ there exists $x_\ell \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ satisfying

$$|x - x_{\ell}| \le 3 \cdot 2^{-\ell}.\tag{11}$$

Note that we may write

$$f(Ux) = f(Uz_0) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} [f(Ux_{\ell+1}) - f(Ux_{\ell})].$$

Observe also that $|x_{\ell} - x_{\ell+1}| \le 5 \cdot 2^{-\ell}$, by (11). Therefore,

$$\sup_{x \in E \cap S^{n-1}} |f(x) - f(Uz_0)|
= \sup_{x \in E_0 \cap S^{n-1}} |f(Ux) - f(Uz_0)|
\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \max \{|f(Uy_1) - f(Uy_2)| ; y_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell}, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell+1}, |y_1 - y_2| \leq 5 \cdot 2^{-\ell} \}.$$
(12)

We need to bound the various terms in the sum in (12).

Step 1. Let us estimate $f(Uz_0)$. By spherical concentration of Lipschitz functions, for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|f(Uz_0) - M| \ge t\right) \le C \exp(-c(t/L)^2 n).$$

Hence, with probability of at least $1 - C \exp(-ck_*)$, we have

$$|f(Uz_0) - M| \le \varepsilon. (13)$$

Step 2. Consider the ℓ^{th} -summand in (12). Let us estimate the probability that this summand exceeds

$$5\varepsilon \cdot X \cdot 2^{-\ell/2} = (5 \cdot 2^{-\ell}) \cdot \varepsilon X e^{\ell/2},$$

for some parameter X>0 to be chosen momentarily. By Lemma 4.4 and the union bound, the probability at question is at most

$$\#(\mathcal{F}_{\ell}) \cdot \#(\mathcal{F}_{\ell+1}) \cdot C \exp\left(-c(\varepsilon X e^{\ell/2}/L)^2 n\right)$$

$$\leq C^k \cdot \exp\left(\bar{C}\ell k - cX^2 \cdot 2^\ell \cdot (\varepsilon/L)^2 n\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left((C\ell - c \cdot X^2 \cdot 2^\ell)k_*\right).$$

We now choose X to be a large enough universal constant, such that for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$C\ell - c \cdot X^2 \cdot 2^{\ell} \le -(\ell+1).$$

Thus, we showed that with probability of at least $1 - C \exp(-(\ell + 1)k_*)$, the ℓ^{th} -summand in (12) does not exceed $C\varepsilon \cdot 2^{-\ell/2}$.

Step 3. By Step 1 and Step 2, with probability of at least

$$1 - C \exp(-ck_*) - C \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \exp(-(\ell+1)k_*) \ge 1 - C' \exp(-c'k_*),$$

the following hold:

• The sum in (12) is at most

$$C\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon\cdot 2^{-\ell/2}\leq \bar{C}\varepsilon.$$

• We have $|f(Uz_0) - M| \le \varepsilon$.

We thus conclude from (12) that with probability of at least $1 - C \exp(-ck_*)$,

$$\sup_{x \in E \cap S^{n-1}} |f(x) - M| \le C' \varepsilon, \tag{14}$$

completing the proof.

The multi-scale approximation in the proof of Theorem 4.3 is perhaps complicated, but it seems necessary. Arguments that only use a single net seem to miss the desired result by a logarithmic factor. The proof of Dvoretzky's Theorem 4.1 combines Theorem 4.3 with some properties of the John ellipsoid.

For a centrally-symmetric convex body $K\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ we consider its Minkowski functional

$$||x||_K = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \, ; \, x \in \lambda K \right\},\,$$

which is the norm on \mathbb{R}^n whose unit ball is K. We also set

$$M(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} ||x||_K d\sigma_{n-1}(x)$$

and

$$b(K) = \max_{x \in S^{n-1}} ||x||_K.$$

Clearly,

$$M(K) \le b(K),$$

and it is a guided exercise to show that $b(K) \leq C\sqrt{n}M(K)$. Observe that 1/b(K) is the radius of the largest centered Euclidean ball that is contained in K. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{b(K)} = \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\|x\|_K} = \min_{0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x|}{\|x\|_K} = \min_{x \in \partial K} |x|.$$

The *Dvoretzky dimension* of *K* is defined as

$$d(K) := n \left(\frac{M(K)}{b(K)}\right)^2. \tag{15}$$

Roughly speaking, we will see that if k is at most the Dvoretzky dimension, then a random k-dimensional section of K is approximately a Euclidean ball of radius 1/M(K).

Examples.

- 1. We clearly have $d(B_2^n) = n$.
- 2. In the exercise below you are asked to show that

$$d(B_1^n) \sim n.$$

Recall that $B_p^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \sum_i |x_i|^p \le 1\}.$

3. As for the cube $B^n_\infty=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\,;\, \max_i|x_i|\leq 1\},$ we have

$$d(B_{\infty}^n) \sim \log n.$$

Additionally, while the regular simplex centered at the origin is not centrally-symmetric, any reasonable definition of its Dvoretzky dimension would give $\log n$

4. If $p \geq 2$, then $d(B_p^n) \sim c_p n^{2/p}$, while if $1 \leq p \leq 2$ then $d(B_p^n) \sim n$.

Corollary 4.5 ("Dvoretzky dimension"). Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a centrally-symmetric convex body and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. Assume that $1 \le k \le n$ satisfies

$$k \le c\varepsilon^2 d(K). \tag{16}$$

Then for a random k-dimensional subspace $E \in G_{n,k}$, with probability of at least $1 - C' \exp(-c'\varepsilon^2 d(K))$,

$$(1-\varepsilon)rB_E \subseteq K \cap E \subseteq (1+\varepsilon)rB_E$$

where $r = \frac{1}{M(K)}$ and c, c', C' > 0 are universal constants.

Proof. Denote $f(x) = ||x||_K$. We claim that f is an L-Lipschitz function for L = b(K). Indeed,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |\|x\|_K - \|y\|_K| \le \|x - y\|_K \le b(K)|x - y|.$$

Let us apply Theorem 4.3. Abbreviate M=M(K) and observe that from (15) and (16),

$$k \le c\varepsilon^2 d(K) = cn \frac{(\varepsilon M)^2}{L^2} = k_*(\varepsilon M),$$

for k_* from Theorem 4.3. By the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 (with εM in place of ε), for a random, uniformly-distributed subspace $E \in G_{n,k}$, with probability of at least $1 - C \exp(-ck_*(\varepsilon M))$ we have

$$M - \varepsilon M < ||x||_{\mathcal{K}} < M + \varepsilon M$$
 $\forall x \in S^{n-1} \cap E$.

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\frac{1}{M}B_E \subseteq K \cap E \subseteq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\frac{1}{M}B_E.$$

Since $1 - \varepsilon \le 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$ and $1/(1 - \varepsilon) \le 1 + 2\varepsilon$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, the proof is complete. \square

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we still need to analyze the Dvoretzky dimension d(K) of an arbitrary centrally-symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 4.6. For any centrally-symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists an invertible linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ (also known as a "position") such that

$$d(TK) \ge c \log n.$$

Proof of Dvoretzky's Theorem 4.1 assuming Proposition 4.6. From Corollary 4.5 we know that T(K) admits a section of dimension

$$k \ge c\varepsilon^2 d(TK) \ge c\varepsilon^2 \log n$$

which is ε -spherical. By applying the linear map T^{-1} , we conclude that there exists a k-dimensional subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and an origin-symmetric ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} \subseteq E$ such that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathcal{E} \subseteq K \subseteq (1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{E}.$$

The conclusion now follows from the little fact that any ellipsoid has a section of (at least) half the dimension which is an exact Euclidean ball. This fact is proven in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.7. For any origin-symmetric ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a subspace $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ whose dimension is at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ such that the section $F \cap \mathcal{E}$ is a Euclidean ball.

Proof. We will consider only the case where n is even, leaving the odd case to the reader. We may assume that the ellipsoid \mathcal{E} takes the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \, ; \, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha_i^2} \le 1 \right\}$$

for $\alpha_1 \leq \ldots \alpha_n$. Write R for the median of the α_i 's, and denote

$$E_i = sp\{e_i, e_{n+1-i}\}$$

where sp means linear span and e_1, \ldots, e_n are the standard unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

The ellipse $E_i \cap \mathcal{E}$ has semi-axes α_i, α_{n+1-i} and the number R belongs to the interval $[\alpha_i, \alpha_{n+1-i}]$. It follows that there exists $\theta_i \in E_i \cap S^{n-1}$ such that the intersection of the line through the origin in direction θ_i with the ellipse $E_i \cap \mathcal{E}$ is a line segment of length 2R.

Denote $F = sp\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n/2}\}$. We see that $\mathcal{E} \cap F$ is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid whose axes are in the directions of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n/2}$. Since the line through the origin in direction θ_i intersects the ellipsoid \mathcal{E} in a line segment of length 2R, the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} \cap F$ is in fact a Euclidean ball of radius R.

We still need to prove Proposition 4.6. Which linear transformation should we choose?

Definition 4.8. We say that a centrally-symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is in John's position, if B^n is contained in K, and B^n is an ellipsoid of maximal volume that is contained in K, that is: for any origin-symmetric ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} \subseteq K$ we have

$$\operatorname{Vol}_n(\mathcal{E}) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_n(B^n).$$

The ellipsoid of maximal volume that is contained in K is in fact uniquely determined (exercise!), hence "an ellipsoid" may be replaced by "the ellipsoid" in Definition 4.8.

Lemma 4.9. Any centrally-symmetric convex body has a linear image in John's position.

Proof. A compactness argument shows that the maximal volume ellipsoid contained in K exists. Let T be any linear transformation that transforms this ellipsoid to B^n . Then TK is in John's position.

The problem of determining the John ellipsoid of K may be reformulated as a convex optimization problem. Its extremality conditions are known since the days of John [8]. We refer the reader to Ball [1] for a splendid introduction to the John ellipsoid, in which the following is proven:

Theorem 4.10. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a centrally-symmetric convex body in John's position. Then there exists an isotropic random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n such that X/\sqrt{n} is supported on the set of contact points $\partial K \cap S^{n-1}$.

Observe that if $x_0 \in \partial K \cap S^{n-1}$, i.e., if x_0 is a *contact point*, then the outer unit normal to ∂K at x_0 must be x_0 itself. Consequently, by convexity and symmetry,

$$K \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |\langle x, x_0 \rangle| \le 1\}.$$

It follows (see exercise 4b below) that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$||x||_K \ge |\langle x, x_0 \rangle|. \tag{17}$$

Lemma 4.11 (Dvoretzky-Rogers [6]). Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a centrally-symmetric convex body in John's position. Then there exists orthonormal vectors $y_1, \ldots, y_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all i,

$$||y_i||_K > \frac{1}{2}.$$

In other words, the orthogonal vectors $2y_1, \ldots, 2y_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ of norm 2 lie outside the convex body K.

Proof. We will actually find an orthonormal basis $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any i,

$$||y_i||_K \ge \sqrt{\frac{n-i+1}{n}}. (18)$$

We will construct these vectors recursively, as well as a sequence of subspaces F_1, \ldots, F_n with $\dim(F_i) = i$. Set $F_0 = \{0\}$. In order to construct the vector y_i , we let X be the isotropic random vector such that X/\sqrt{n} is supported on $S^{n-1} \cap \partial K$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}|Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}}X|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\langle Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}}X,X\rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}\left[Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}}\cdot \mathbb{E}X\otimes X\right] = \text{Tr}[Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}}\cdot \text{Id}] = n-i+1. \end{split}$$

Since the expectation is at least n-i while X/\sqrt{n} is supported in $S^{n-1}\cap \partial K$, necessarily there exists a point $x\in S^{n-1}\cap K$ with

$$|Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}}x|^2 \ge \frac{n-i+1}{n}.$$

We define $y_i = Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}} x/|Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}} x|$ and $F_i = sp(F_{i-1} \cup \{y_i\})$. Since x is a contact point, by (17),

$$||y_i||_K \ge |\langle y_i, x \rangle| = |Proj_{F_{i-1}^{\perp}} x| \ge \sqrt{\frac{n-i+1}{n}}.$$

Clearly $\dim(F_i) = i$ while y_i is a unit vector orthogonal to y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1} and (18) holds true. This completes the proof.

The existence of $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ orthonormal vectors that lie outside K/2 implies a lower bound on M(K), as we shall see next:

Proof of Proposition 4.6. For simplicity, suppose that n is even. We will assume that K is in John's position, and show that

$$d(K) \ge c \log n.$$

Since $B^n \subseteq K$ we have $b(K) \le 1$. Our goal is thus to show that

$$M(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta) \ge c \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
 (19)

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{n/2} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the orthonormal vectors from the Dvoretzky-Rogers Lemma 4.11, so that

$$||e_i||_K > \frac{1}{2}$$
 $i = 1, \dots, n/2.$ (20)

We complete these orthonormal vectors to an orthonormal basis e_1, \ldots, e_n of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$ be i.i.d random variables with $\mathbb{P}(\delta_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\delta_i = -1) = 1/2$. Observe that for any $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, by the convexity of the norm, for any j,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \theta_i e_i\right\|_K \ge \mathbb{E}_{\delta_j}\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\delta_1, \delta_{h-1}, \delta_{j+1}, \dots, \delta_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \theta_i e_i\right\|_K = \mathbb{E}\|\delta_j \theta_j e_j\|_K = |\theta_j| \|e_j\|_K.$$

Consequently, by (20) and the symmetries of the sphere,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_{K} d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \theta_{i} e_{i} \right\|_{K} d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta)
\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \left[\|\theta_{i}\|_{K} \right] d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \max_{i=1,\dots,n/2} |\theta_{i}| d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta).$$

Thus, in order to prove (19), it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \max_{i=1,\dots,n/2} |\theta_i| d\sigma_{n-1}(\theta) \ge c \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
 (21)

Let Z be a standard Gaussian random vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Recall from the first lecture that the integral on the left-hand side of (21) may be compared with a Gaussian integral and it equals

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}|Z|} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i=1,\dots,n/2} |Z_i|\right] \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i=1,\dots,n/2} |Z_i|\right].$$

A standard estimate for the Gaussian error function is that for t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \ge t) \ge \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{t+1}.$$
 (22)

Thus, for an appropriate universal constant c > 0, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_1| > c\sqrt{\log n}) \ge 1/n.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i=1,...,n/2} |Z_i| \ge c\sqrt{\log n}\right) \ge 1 - (1 - 1/n)^n \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i=1,\dots,n/2}|Z_i|\right] \ge \frac{1}{2} \cdot c\sqrt{\log n},$$

completing the proof of (21).

Exercises.

- 1. Tightness of the dependence on the dimension in Dvoretzky's theorem:
 - (a) Let $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, 0 < r < 1 and consider the cap

$$C(\theta, r) = \{x \in S^{n-1}; \langle x, \theta \rangle \ge r\}.$$

Prove that $\sigma_{n-1}(C(\theta,r)) \leq Ce^{-cr^2n}$ for universal constants c, C > 0.

(b) Suppose that $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a polytope with N facets such that

$$\frac{1}{d}B^n \subseteq P \subseteq B^n. \tag{23}$$

Show that there exists caps $C(\theta_i, r_i)$ for i = 1, ..., N with $r_i \ge 1/d$ whose union covers the sphere.

- (c) Prove that any polytope satisfying (23) has at least $\exp(cn/d^2)$ facets (and by duality, also at least that many vertices).
- (d) Conclude that the Dvoretzky dimension of a polytope with N facets is at most $C' \log N$ for a universal constant C' > 0.
- 2. Formulate and prove a version of Lemma 4.2 for the unit sphere in an *n*-dimensional normed space.
- 3. Verify that the set in (4) is indeed a δ -net of size at most $(C/\delta)^n$.
- 4. In this exercise we prove that

$$b(K) \le C\sqrt{n}M(K). \tag{24}$$

- (a) Let $K\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a centrally-symmetric convex body such that b(K)=1. Prove that there exists $x_0\in \partial K$ with $|x_0|=1$, and that $x_0\in S^{n-1}$ is the outer normal to ∂K at the point x_0 .
- (b) Prove that for any $x \in K$,

$$||x||_K \ge |\langle x, x_0 \rangle|$$

(c) Deduce that

$$M(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} ||x||_K d\sigma_{n-1}(x) \ge \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle x, x_0 \rangle| d\sigma_{n-1}(x) \ge \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}},$$

and complete the proof of (24).

- 5. Prove that $d(B_1^n) \sim n$.
- 6. Prove that $d(B_{\infty}^n) \sim \log n$.
- 7. Recall the proof of (22) e.g. from the "Mills ratio" entry in Wikipedia.

References

- [1] Ball, K., *An elementary introduction to modern convex geometry*. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Vol. 31, Cambridge, (1997), 1-58.
- [2] Birch, B. J., *Homogeneous forms of odd degree in a large number of variables*. Mathematika, Vol. 4, (1957), 102–105.
- [3] Dol'nikov, V. L., Karasev, R. N., *Dvoretzky type theorems for multivariate polynomials and sections of convex bodies*. Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA), Vol. 21, no. 2, (2011), 301–318.
- [4] Dvoretzky, A., A theorem on convex bodies and applications to Banach spaces. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Vol. 45, no. 2, (1959), 223–226 (erratum in Vol. 45, no. 10).
- [5] Dvoretzky, A., Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces. In Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces (Jerusalem, 1960), pages 123–160. Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem; Pergamon, Oxford, 1961.
- [6] Dvoretzky, A., Rogers, C. A., *Absolute and unconditional convergence in normed linear spaces.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Vol. 36, (1950), 192–197.
- [7] Gordon, Y., *Some inequalities for Gaussian processes and applications*. Israel J. Math., Vol. 50, no. 4, (1985), 265–289.
- [8] John, F., Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions. Interscience Publishers, New York, (1948), 187–204.
- [9] Milman, V., *Dvoretzky's theorem—thirty years later*. Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA), Vol. 2, no. 4, (1992), 455–479.
- [10] Milman, V. D., *A few observations on the connections between local theory and some other fields*. Geom. aspect. of Funct. Anal. Israel Seminar. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1317, Springer, (1988), 283–289.
- [11] Milman, V. D., *A new proof of A. Dvoretzky's theorem on cross-sections of convex bodies*. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 5 (1971), no. 4, 28–37.
- [12] Schechtman, G., A remark concerning the dependence on ε in Dvoretzky's theorem. Geom. aspect. of Funct. Anal. – Israel Seminar. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1376, Springer, (1989), 274–277.
- [13] Talagrand, M., The generic chaining. Springer, 2005.

Request. Please e-mail me at boaz.klartag@weizmann.ac.il with any comments, corrections, or suggestions regarding these lecture notes. In addition, if you are able to produce *tikz* figures similar to (or better than) those drawn on the blackboard in class, I would be glad to include them in the notes.