

Exercise 3 (June 16)

Lecturer: Merav Parter

(Distributed) List Coloring via Symmetric LLL. In the problem of *list coloring*, every vertex v is associated with a list (or palette) of colors $Pal(v)$. It is required to compute a legal vertex coloring where the color of each vertex v is taken from $Pal(v)$. (1a) Show that if for every v it holds that: (i) $|Pal(v)| \geq \ell$ (ii) each color $c \in Pal(v)$ appears in at most $\ell/8$ of its neighbors, then there is a legal coloring (i.e., solution to the list coloring instance).

(1b) We consider a weighted variant of the list coloring problem. Given is a graph G with maximum degree Δ where every vertex v has a palette of colors $Pal(v)$. Each color $c \in Pal(v)$ has a weight $w_v(c)$ such that $\sum_{c \in Pal(v)} w_v(c) = 1$. Prove that if for every edge uv we have $\sum_{c \in Pal(u) \cap Pal(v)} w_v(c) \cdot w_u(c) \leq 1/(8\Delta)$ then G has a legal coloring.

(1c) Use the algorithms shown in class (as a black box) to devise distributed algorithms for computing the coloring in (1a) and (1b).

Asymmetric LLL and Applications to β -balanced coloring. In this exercise we consider a generalization of the symmetric LLL formula, known as the *asymmetric LLL*: Given is a collection of m independent discrete random variables $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$, and a collection of n bad events $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. The variables of A_i are denoted by $vbl(A_i) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Two events A_i and A_j are independent if $vbl(A_i) \cap vbl(A_j) = \emptyset$. Let G be the dependency graph defined on these events. The neighbors of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ in the graph G are denoted by $N(A)$, and $N^+(A) = N(A) \cup \{A\}$. The asymmetric LLL states that if there is a function $y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ such that

$$\Pr[A] \leq y(A) \cdot \prod_{B \in N(A)} (1 - y(B))$$

then $\Pr[\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{A}] > 0$. That is, there is a satisfying assignment to the variables in \mathcal{X} such that no bad event occurs. Note that the symmetric LLL can be derived simple corollary of the above: letting p, d be such that $\Pr[A] \leq p$ and $|N(A)| \leq d$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, if $ep(d+1) < 1$ then $\Pr[\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{A}] > 0$.

(2) A β -balanced coloring is a legal coloring where *in addition*, each color appears at most β times in each neighborhood. Use the asymmetric LLL to show the following. For any constant $\beta \geq 1$, if the graph G has maximum degree $\Delta \geq \beta^\beta$, then it has β -balanced coloring using at most $16\Delta^{1+1/\beta}$ colors. Note: the case of $\beta = 1$ is easy, and can be shown w.o applying the LLL formula.