Abstract:

In the coin problem (also known as bias amplification) we are asked to distinguish, with probability at least 2/3, between
n i.i.d. coins which are heads with probability (1/2+\beta) from ones which are heads with probability (1/2-\beta). We are interested in
the space complexity of the coin problem, corresponding to the width of a read-once branching program solving the problem.

The coin problem becomes more difficult as \beta becomes smaller. Statistically, it can be solved whenever
\beta = \Omega(n^{-1/2}), using counting. It has been previously shown that for \beta = O(n^{-1/2}), counting is essentially optimal (equivalently, width poly(n) is necessary [Braverman-Garg-Woodruff FOCS'20]).

On the other hand, the coin problem only requires O(\log n) width for \beta > n^{-c} for any constant c > \log_2(\sqrt{5}-1) \approx 0.306 (following low-width simulation of AND-OR tree of [Valiant JAlg'84]).

In this work, we close the gap between the bounds, showing a tight threshold between the values of \beta = n^{-c} where O(\log n) width suffices and the regime where poly(n) width is needed, with a transition at c = 1/3. This gives a complete characterization (up to constant factors) of the memory complexity of solving the coin problem, for all values of bias \beta.

We introduce new techniques in both bounds. For the upper bound, we give a construction based on recursive majority that does not require a memory stack of size \log n bits.

For the lower bound, we introduce new combinatorial techniques for analyzing progression of the success probabilities in read-once branching programs.

The talk is based on joint work with Mark Braverman and Sumhega Garg.