ERGODIC PROPERTIES OF EQUILIBRIUM MEASURES FOR SMOOTH THREE DIMENSIONAL FLOWS

FRANÇOIS LEDRAPPIER, YURI LIMA, AND OMRI SARIG

ABSTRACT. Let $\{T^t\}$ be a smooth flow with positive speed and positive topological entropy on a compact smooth three dimensional manifold, and let μ be an ergodic measure of maximal entropy. We show that either $\{T^t\}$ is Bernoulli, or $\{T^t\}$ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow. Applications are given to Reeb flows.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Introduction. In 1973, Ornstein and Weiss proved that the geodesic flow of a compact smooth surface with constant negative curvature is Bernoulli with respect to the Liouville measure [OW73]. Ratner extended this to variable negative curvature [Rat74]. In the case of non-positive and non identically zero curvature, Pesin showed that all ergodic components of the Liouville measure are Bernoulli [Pes77], [BP07, Thm 12.2.13]. It follows from his work that all other ergodic components (if they exist) have zero entropy. Katok and Burns extended Pesin's work to Reeb flows [Kat94]. Burns and Gerber proved that geodesic flows on certain surfaces with some positive curvature ("Donnay's examples") are Bernoulli [BG89]. Hu, Pesin and Talitskaya constructed smooth volume-preserving Bernoulli flows on every compact manifold of dimension at least three [HPT04].

Ratner's work extends to general Anosov flows equipped with ergodic equilibrium measures of Hölder continuous potentials [Rat74]. In this case the flow is either Bernoulli, or isomorphic to a Bernoulli flow times a rotational flow (this happens in the non-mixing case). Pesin's work extends to all $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ flows preserving an ergodic hyperbolic measure whose conditional measures on the unstable manifolds are absolutely continuous with respect to the induced Riemannian measure [Pes76], [OW98], [KSLP86], [Led84], with the same modification in the non-mixing case.

The measure of maximal entropy does not have absolutely continuous conditional measures, except in special cases [Kat82]. The purpose of this paper is to determine the ergodic theoretic structure of this measure in the context of general smooth three dimensional flows with positive topological entropy. Our methods also apply to ergodic equilibrium measures of Hölder potentials with positive entropy.

Basic definitions. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ be a Lebesgue probability space.

MEASURABLE FLOW: A quadruple $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \{T^t\})$ such that $(t, x) \mapsto T^t(x)$ is measurable, and the *time–t map* $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T^t)$ is probability preserving, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

EIGENFUNCTION: A non-constant measurable function f is an *eigenfunction* of T (with eigenvalue $e^{i\alpha}$) if for a.e. $x \in X$, $f(T^t x) = e^{i\alpha t} f(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. T is called *ergodic* if 1 is not an eigenvalue, and *weak-mixing* if it has no eigenvalues at all.

ENTROPY: The *entropy* of T is the entropy of the time–1 map T^1.

ROTATIONAL FLOW: Given $c > 0$, the *rotational flow* is $T^t(x) := x + t/c \pmod 1$ on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ equipped with the Haar measure. c is called the *period*, and it is an invariant of the flow since $c = \min\{t > 0 : T^t = \mathrm{Id}\}$.

BERNOULLI FLOW: T is called *Bernoulli* if T^1 is a Bernoulli automorphism. T is called *Bernoulli up to a period* if T is Bernoulli, or if T is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow.

If T is a Bernoulli flow then T^t is a Bernoulli automorphism, $\forall t \neq 0$ [Orn70a]. Entropy is a complete set of invariants for Bernoulli flows [Orn73], and entropy and period (if it exists) are a complete set of invariants for Bernoulli up to a period flows since the Bernoulli term is determined by the entropy and the rotational term is the Pinsker factor, see [Tho02, Prop. 4.4].

Main results. Let M be a three dimensional compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold without boundary, let $\mathscr{B}$ be its Borel σ–algebra, let $X : M \to TM$ be a $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ vector field on M s.t. $X_p \neq 0$, $\forall p \in M$, let T be the flow on M generated by X, and let μ be a T–invariant probability measure.

EQUILIBRIUM MEASURE: μ is an *equilibrium measure* of a *potential* $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ if $h_\mu(T^1) + \int_M F d\mu = \sup\{h_\nu(T^1) + \int_M F d\nu\}$, where sup ranges over all T–invariant probability measures ν. If $F = 0$, then μ is called a *measure of maximal entropy*.

Equilibrium measures always exist if X is C^∞ and F is continuous [New89].

Theorem 1.1. *Under the above assumptions on M, X, T, every equilibrium measure of a Hölder continuous potential has at most countably many ergodic components with positive entropy. Each of them is Bernoulli up to a period.*

Periods can exist (e.g. for the constant suspension of an Anosov diffeomorphism), but sometimes they can be discounted. Let $\{T^t\}$ be a Reeb flow on a compact smooth three dimensional contact manifold M (see §7 for definitions). For example, $\{T^t\}$ could be the geodesic flow of a surface, or the Hamiltonian flow of a system with two degrees of freedom on a regular energy surface [AM78]. Katok and Burns showed that every ergodic absolutely continuous invariant measure with positive entropy is Bernoulli [Kat94]. The following result covers other measures of interest, such as the measures of maximal entropy.

Theorem 1.2. *If T is a three dimensional Reeb flow, then every equilibrium measure of a Hölder continuous potential has at most countably many ergodic components with positive entropy. Each of them is Bernoulli.*

Corollary 1.3. *Let S be a compact smooth orientable surface without boundary, with nonpositive and non-identically zero curvature. Then the geodesic flow of S is Bernoulli with respect to its (unique) measure of maximal entropy.*

Proof. Let m be the invariant Liouville measure. By the curvature assumptions, m has positive metric entropy, see for example [Pes78, Corollary 3]. Hence the geodesic flow has positive topological entropy. Also by the curvature assumptions,

S is a rank one manifold [BBE85], therefore there is a unique measure of maximal entropy [Kni98]. By uniqueness, it is ergodic. By Theorem 1.2, it is Bernoulli. $\square$

The "geometric potential" $J(x) := -\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \log \|dT^s|_{E^u(x)}\|$ and its scalar multiples (see [BR75] and §8) are not directly covered by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, because they are not necessarily Hölder continuous or even globally defined on M. But our methods do apply to them and give the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 1.1*, every equilibrium measure of tJ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) has at most countably many ergodic components with positive entropy. Each is Bernoulli up to a period. If T is a Reeb flow, each is Bernoulli.*

Corollary 1.5. [Pes77, Thm 9.7] *Let S be a compact smooth orientable surface without boundary, with nonpositive and non-identically zero curvature. Then the geodesic flow of S is Bernoulli with respect to every positive entropy ergodic component of the invariant Liouville measure. There are at most countably many such components.*

Proof. The invariant Liouville measure is an equilibrium measure for the geometric potential $J(x)$, by the Pesin Entropy Formula and the Ruelle Entropy Inequality. It has positive metric entropy, as shown in the proof of Corollary 1.3. $\square$

Methodology. Our approach is similar to that of [Rat74, Rat78]: First we code the flow as a topological Markov flow (Hölder suspension of a topological Markov shift), and then we analyze equilibrium measures for the symbolic model. The first step was done in [LS]. The second step is the subject of the present work.

The ergodic behavior of equilibrium measures on topological Markov flows depends on the height function r. If r is cohomologous to a function taking values in a discrete subgroup, then one can choose a coding with constant height function, and deduce that the flow is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow. If r is not cohomologous to a function taking values in a discrete subgroup, then one can exhibit a generating sequence of very weak Bernoulli partitions as in [OW73, Rat74], and conclude that the flow is Bernoulli. An important step in the proof of the very weak Bernoulli property is to prove the K property. This is done using the method of Gurevič [Gur67].

In Ratner's case the flow is Anosov, and the symbolic flow is a suspension over a topological Markov shift with finite alphabet [Rat73]. In our case the flow is a general $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ flow on a three dimensional manifold, and the topological Markov shift has countable alphabet [LS]. The thermodynamic formalism for countable Markov shifts [BS03] provides us with the local product structure we need to implement the ideas of [Gur67, OW73, Rat74, Rat78].

The paper is divided into two parts. The first contains the analysis of topological Markov flows. The second contains the application to smooth flows, and in particular to Reeb flows and geodesic flows.

Part 1. Topological Markov Flows

2. Topological Markov Flows

Topological Markov shifts (TMS). Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a directed graph with countable set of vertices V. We write $v \to w$ if there is an edge from v to w. We assume

throughout that for every v there are u, w s.t. $u \to v, v \to w$, and that $\mathscr{G}$ is not a cycle.

TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFT (TMS): The *topological Markov shift* (TMS) associated to $\mathscr{G}$ is the discrete-time topological dynamical system $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ where

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathscr{G}) := \{\text{paths on } \mathscr{G}\} = \{\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} : v_i \to v_{i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and $\sigma : \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \{v_{i+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the *left shift*.

Points in Σ will be denoted by $x = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The topology of Σ is given by the metric $d(x, y) := \exp[-\min\{|n| : x_n \neq y_n\}]$. The Borel σ-algebra $\mathscr{B}(\Sigma)$ is generated by the *cylinders*

$$_m[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}] := \{x \in \Sigma : x_{i+m} = a_i \text{ for all } i = 0, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

The index m denotes the left-most coordinate of the constraint. If it is zero, we will simply write $[\underline{a}] := _0[\underline{a}]$. n is called the *length* of the cylinder, also denoted by $|\underline{a}|$. A cylinder is non-empty iff $a_0 \to \cdots \to a_{n-1}$ is a path on $\mathscr{G}$. In this case we call the word $\underline{a}$ *admissible*.

For $x \in \Sigma$ and $i < j$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, let $x_i^j := (x_i, \ldots, x_j)$, $x_i^\infty := (x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots)$, and $x_{-\infty}^i := (\ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i)$.

A TMS is *topologically transitive* iff for every $u, v \in V$ there is a finite path on $\mathscr{G}$ from u to v. It is *topologically mixing* iff for every $u, v \in V$ there is $N = N(u, v)$ s.t. for every $n \geq N(u, v)$ there is a path of length n on $\mathscr{G}$ from u to v.

Every ergodic σ–invariant probability measure on Σ is carried by a topologically transitive TMS inside Σ. If the measure is mixing, then the TMS is topologically mixing.

Every topologically transitive TMS has a *spectral decomposition* $\Sigma = \biguplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Sigma_i$ where each Σ_i is the union of cylinders of length one at the zeroth position, $\sigma^p : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_i$ is topologically conjugate to a topologically mixing TMS for every i, and $\sigma(\Sigma_i) = \Sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } p)}$ [Kit98].

Topological Markov flows (TMF). Let $r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be Hölder continuous, bounded away from zero and infinity, and let $\Sigma_r := \{(x, t) : x \in \Sigma, 0 \leq t < r(x)\}$.

TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV FLOW (TMF): The *topological Markov flow* (TMF) with *roof function* r and *basis* $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is the flow $\{\sigma_r^\tau\}$ on Σ_r which increases the t coordinate at unit speed subject to the identifications $(x, r(x)) \sim (\sigma(x), 0)$.

Formally, σ_r^τ is defined as $\sigma_r^\tau(x, t) := (\sigma^n(x), t + \tau - r_n(x))$ for the unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $0 \leq t + \tau - r_n(x) < r(\sigma^n(x))$ where r_n is the n–th *Birkhoff sum*. Recall that $r_n := r + r \circ \sigma + \cdots + r \circ \sigma^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, and that there is a unique way to extend the definition to $n \leq 0$ so that the *cocycle identity* $r_{m+n} = r_n + r_m \circ \sigma^n$ holds for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is given by $r_0 := 0$ and $r_n := -r_{|n|} \circ \sigma^{-|n|}$ for $n < 0$. The cocycle identity guarantees that $\sigma_r^{\tau_1 + \tau_2} = \sigma_r^{\tau_1} \circ \sigma_r^{\tau_2}$ for all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

A TMF is topologically transitive iff its basis is a topologically transitive TMS, but the same is not true for topological mixing. For instance, if the roof function is constant then the TMF is never topologically mixing. By the spectral decomposition [Kit98], every TMF whose basis is a topologically transitive TMS can be recoded as a TMF whose basis is a topologically mixing TMS. Just replace Σ by Σ_0 and r by r_p. Let μ be a σ_r–invariant probability measure on Σ_r.

INDUCED MEASURE: The *induced measure* of μ is the unique σ–invariant probability measure ν on Σ s.t. $\mu = \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma r d\nu} \int_\Sigma \int_0^{r(x)} \delta_{(x,t)} dt d\nu(x)$.

Above, δ denotes the Dirac measure. A σ_r–invariant measure is ergodic iff its induced measure is. Every ergodic σ_r–invariant measure on Σ_r is carried by a TMF whose basis is a topologically transitive TMS.

Bowen-Walters Metric [BW72]**.** This is a metric which makes $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ continuous. Suppose first that $r \equiv 1$ (constant suspension).

Let $\psi : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_1$ be the suspension flow, and introduce the following terminology:

○ *Horizontal segments:* Ordered pairs $[z, w]_h \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1$ where $z = (x, t)$ and $w = (y, t)$ have the same height $0 \leq t < 1$. The *length* of a horizontal segment $[z, w]_h$ is defined as $\ell([z, w]_h) := (1 - t)d(x, y) + td(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))$.
○ *Vertical segments:* Ordered pairs $[z, w]_v \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1$ where $w = \psi^t(z)$ for some t. The *length* of a vertical segment $[z, w]_v$ is $\ell([z, w]_v) := \min\{|t| > 0 : w = \psi^t(z)\}$.
○ *Basic paths* from z to w: $\gamma := (z_0 = z \xrightarrow{t_0} z_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{t_{n-2}} z_{n-1} \xrightarrow{t_{n-1}} z_n = w)$ with $t_i \in \{h, v\}$ such that $[z_{i-1}, z_i]_{t_{i-1}}$ is a horizontal segment if $t_{i-1} = h$, and a vertical segment if $t_{i-1} = v$. Define $\ell(\gamma) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ell([z_i, z_{i+1}]_{t_i})$.

BOWEN-WALTERS METRIC ON Σ_1: $d_1(z, w) := \inf\{\ell(\gamma)\}$ where γ ranges over all basic paths from z to w.

Next we consider the general case $r \not\equiv 1$. The idea is to use a canonical bijection from Σ_r to Σ_1 and declare it to be an isometry.

BOWEN-WALTERS METRIC ON Σ_r: $d_r(z, w) := d_1(\vartheta_r(z), \vartheta_r(w))$, where $\vartheta_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_1$ is given by $\vartheta_r(x, t) := (x, t/r(x))$.

Lemma 2.1 ([BW72, LS])**.** *d_r is a metric, and $\sigma_r^t : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ is continuous with respect to d_r. Moreover, $(t, x) \mapsto \sigma_r^t(x)$ is Hölder continuous on $[-1, 1] \times \Sigma$.*

Roof functions independent of the past or future. $r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is *independent of the past* if $r(x) = f(x_0, x_1, \ldots)$ for some function f, and it is *independent of the future* if $r(x) = g(\ldots, x_{-1}, x_0)$ for some function g (note that we allow dependence on the zeroth coordinate). The next lemma is an adaptation of [Rat74, Lemma 2]. Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a TMF and μ be an ergodic σ_r–invariant probability measure.

Lemma 2.2. *$(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a TMF with roof function independent of the past, and to a TMF with roof function independent of the future.*

Proof. Let us prove the first statement (the second is proved similarly). If μ is supported on a periodic orbit, then every function is independent of the past on the support of μ. Henceforth we assume that μ does not sit on a periodic orbit.

It is well-known that there is a bounded continuous function $h^s : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $r^s := r - h^s + h^s \circ \sigma$ is bounded, Hölder continuous and independent of the past. Proofs for $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathscr{G})$ with $\mathscr{G}$ finite can be found in [Sin72, Bow75]. As noted in [Dao13], these proofs extend without much difficulty to the case where $\mathscr{G}$ is countable. Since the r^s produced by the proofs may take negative values, we now explain how to change r and h^s to have $r^s > 0$.

CLAIM: *It is possible to change r, h^s s.t. $0 < h^s < \frac{1}{2}r$. In particular $r^s > 0$.*

Proof. Since h^s is bounded, we can add a large constant to get a new h^s that is positive. The other inequality is more complicated. Let $c = \sup(h^s) < \infty$, and take

$n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c < \frac{1}{2}n_0 \inf(r)$. Let ν be the induced measure of μ. Since μ is ergodic and does not sit on a periodic orbit, ν is non-atomic, hence there is a cylinder $[\underline{b}]$ s.t. $0 < \nu[\underline{b}] < \frac{1}{n_0}$. Let $\varphi_{\underline{b}}(x) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : \sigma^n(x) \in [\underline{b}]\}$. By the Kac formula $\frac{1}{\nu[\underline{b}]} \int_{[\underline{b}]} \varphi_{\underline{b}} d\nu > n_0$. Thus there exists an admissible word $\underline{a} = \underline{b}\,\underline{\xi}\,\underline{b}$ s.t. $\nu[\underline{a}] > 0$ and $\varphi_{\underline{b}} \upharpoonright_{[\underline{a}]} > n_0$.

Recode the flow using the Poincaré section $[\underline{a}] \times \{0\}$ to obtain a suspension flow with basis $\sigma^{\varphi_{\underline{a}}} : [\underline{a}] \to [\underline{a}]$ and roof function $R = r_{\varphi_{\underline{a}}}$, where $\varphi_{\underline{a}}(x) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : \sigma^n(x) \in [\underline{a}]\}$. The map $\sigma^{\varphi_{\underline{a}}} : [\underline{a}] \to [\underline{a}]$ admits a countable Markov partition

$$S := \{[\underline{a}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{a}] : \varphi_{\underline{a}} \upharpoonright_{[\underline{a},\underline{\xi},\underline{a}]} = |\underline{a}| + |\underline{\xi}|\} \setminus \{\varnothing\}.$$

Coding with S, $\sigma^{\varphi_{\underline{a}}} : [\underline{a}] \to [\underline{a}]$ becomes a TMS, therefore the suspension flow is a TMF. Under this new coding, $R^s := R - h^s + h^s \circ \sigma^{\varphi_{\underline{a}}}$ is independent of the past and Hölder continuous. Note that $\varphi_{\underline{a}} \geq \varphi_{\underline{b}} > n_0 \Rightarrow \inf R > n_0 \inf(r) > 2c \Rightarrow h^s < \frac{1}{2}R$.

Henceforth we assume, without loss of generality, that $0 < h^s < \frac{1}{2}r$ for the original flow. Then r^s is bounded, positive and *uniformly bounded away from zero*. This allows us form the TMF $\sigma_{r^s} : \Sigma_{r^s} \to \Sigma_{r^s}$. This TMF is isomorphic to $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ via the conjugacy

$$\vartheta_s(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} (x, \xi - h^s(x)) & \text{, if } \xi \geq h^s(x) \\ (\sigma^{-1}(x), \xi + r(\sigma^{-1}(x)) - h^s(\sigma^{-1}(x))) & \text{, if } 0 \leq \xi < h^s(x), \end{cases}$$

which recodes Σ_r using the Poincaré section $\{(x, h^s(x)) : x \in \Sigma\}$.   □

Strong manifolds and the Bowen-Marcus Cocycles [BM77]. The *strong stable* and *strong unstable manifolds of* (x, t) are:

○ $W^{ss}(x, t) := \{(y, s) : d_r(\sigma_r^\tau(x, t), \sigma_r^\tau(y, s)) \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} 0\}$.
○ $W^{su}(x, t) := \{(y, s) : d_r(\sigma_r^{-\tau}(x, t), \sigma_r^{-\tau}(y, s)) \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} 0\}$.

These are not manifolds, but they play the same role as their smooth analogues in hyperbolic dynamics.

To calculate W^{ss}, W^{su} we make the following definitions. Assume x is not pre-periodic (i.e. there are no m, n s.t. x_m^∞ or $x_{-\infty}^n$ is a periodic sequence). Let $W^{ws}(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : \exists m, n \text{ s.t. } y_m^\infty = x_n^\infty\}$ and define $P^s(x, \cdot) : W^{ws}(x) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $P^s(x, y) := \lim_{k \to \infty}[r_{m+k}(y) - r_{n+k}(x)]$ for some (every) m, n s.t. $y_m^\infty = x_n^\infty$. Similarly, let $W^{wu}(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : \exists m, n \text{ s.t. } y_{-\infty}^m = x_{-\infty}^n\}$, and set $P^u(x, \cdot) : W^{wu}(x) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $P^u(x, y) := \lim_{k \to -\infty}[r_{m+k}(y) - r_{n+k}(x)]$ for some (every) m, n s.t. $y_{-\infty}^m = x_{-\infty}^n$.

These definitions are independent of the choice of m, n, because in the non-pre-periodic case any two possible pairs $(m, n), (m', n')$ satisfy $m' = m + k_0, n' = n + k_0$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The limits which define $P^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ exist because they are the limits of the partial sums of the series $r_m(y) - r_n(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[r(\sigma^{m+k}(y)) - r(\sigma^{n+k}(x))]$ $(\tau = s)$ or $r_m(y) - r_n(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[r(\sigma^{m-k}(y)) - r(\sigma^{n-k}(x))]$ $(\tau = u)$. Since r is Hölder continuous, the summands decay exponentially fast, and these series converge.

Lemma 2.3 ([BM77]). *Suppose x is not pre-periodic, then for $\tau = s, u$ it holds:*
(1) BOWEN-MARCUS CONDITION: $(y, s) \in W^{s\tau}(x, t)$ *iff* $y \in W^{w\tau}(x)$ *and* $s - t = P^\tau(x, y)$.
(2) SHIFT IDENTITY: $P^\tau(\sigma x, \sigma y) - P^\tau(x, y) = r(x) - r(y)$ *wherever defined.*
(3) COCYCLE EQUATION: *For all* $y, z \in W^{w\tau}(x)$, $P^\tau(x, y) + P^\tau(y, z) = P^\tau(x, z)$. *In particular,* $P^\tau(x, x) = 0$ *and* $P^\tau(x, y) = -P^\tau(y, x)$.

(4) HÖLDER PROPERTY: *There are $C > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ s.t. $|P^\tau(x, y)| \leq Cd(x, y)^\alpha$ for all $y \in W^\tau_{\text{loc}}(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : y_0^\infty = x_0^\infty\}$.*

$P^s(\cdot, \cdot), P^u(\cdot, \cdot)$ are called the *Bowen-Marcus cocycles.*

3. Equilibrium measures for Topological Markov Flows

Equilibrium measures. Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a TMF, and let $\Phi : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and continuous. The *(variational) topological pressure* of Φ is

$$P_{\text{top}}(\Phi) := \sup \left\{ h_\mu(\sigma_r^1) + \int \Phi d\mu : \mu \text{ is } \sigma_r\text{--invariant Borel probability measure} \right\}.$$

EQUILIBRIUM MEASURE: μ is called an *equilibrium measure* (for the potential Φ and the flow $\{\sigma_r\}$) if $h_\mu(\sigma_r^1) + \int \Phi d\mu = P_{\text{top}}(\Phi)$.

In this section, we will describe the equilibrium measures when Σ is topologically mixing, Φ is bounded and Hölder continuous, and $P_{\text{top}}(\Phi) < \infty$. Instead of describing them directly, we describe the one-sided version of their induced measures. Let μ be a σ_r–invariant probability measure, and let ν be its induced measure. ν is a σ–invariant probability measure on Σ.

ONE-SIDED TMS: Let $\pi_s : x \in \Sigma \mapsto (x_0, x_1, \ldots)$. The *one-sided TMS* is the discrete-time topological dynamical system $\sigma_s : \Sigma^s \to \Sigma^s$ where

$$\Sigma^s = \{\pi_s(x) : x \in \Sigma\}$$

and $\sigma_s : \{x_i\}_{i \geq 0} \mapsto \{x_{i+1}\}_{i \geq 0}$ is the *one-sided left shift.*

ONE-SIDED VERSION OF ν: The *one-sided version* of ν is the probability measure $\nu^s := \nu \circ \pi_s^{-1}$. ν^s is a σ_s–invariant probability measure on Σ^s.

ν^s determines ν since $\nu \circ \sigma^{-1} = \nu$, and ν determines μ. Here is the description of ν^s.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF and $\Phi : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and Hölder continuous with $P_{\text{top}}(\Phi) < \infty$. Let μ be an equilibrium measure for Φ, and ν its induced measure. Then the one-sided version of ν has the form $\nu^s = h^s \xi^s$, where:*

(1) *h^s is a positive function on Σ^s, and ξ^s is a positive measure on Σ^s.*
(2) *There is $\phi^s : \Sigma^s \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded Hölder continuous with $P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s) < \infty$ s.t. $Lh^s = \lambda h^s$ and $L^* \xi^s = \lambda \xi^s$, where $\lambda = \exp[P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s)]$ and L is the Ruelle operator of ϕ^s, $(Lf)(x_0^\infty) = \sum_{\sigma_s(y_0^\infty)=x_0^\infty} \exp[\phi^s(y_0^\infty)]f(y_0^\infty)$ for all $f : \Sigma^s \to \mathbb{R}$.*
(3) *$h^s(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\xi^s[\underline{a}]} \lambda^{-n}(L^n 1_{[\underline{a}]})(x)$ for every cylinder $[\underline{a}]$ and $x \in \Sigma^s$.*
(4) *$\log h^s$ is uniformly Hölder continuous on cylinders of length one at the zeroth position.*
(5) *ν^s is ergodic.*

Proof. Bowen and Ruelle proved the theorem in [BR75] for TMF built from finite graphs, using Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem [LR69, Bow75, Rue78b]. Since Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem is false for general infinite graphs, we sketch the modifications needed to treat our case.

CLAIM 1. *ν is an equilibrium measure for $\phi(x) := \int_0^{r(x)} \Phi(x, t)dt - P_{\text{top}}(\Phi)r(x)$. $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded Hölder continuous with $P_{\text{top}}(\phi) = 0$.*

Proof. This is proved exactly as in [BR75]. ϕ is clearly bounded Hölder contin-uous. By the Abramov entropy formula [Abr59], $h_\mu(\sigma_r) = \frac{1}{\int r d\nu} h_\nu(\sigma)$. Hence $\frac{h_\nu(\sigma) + \int_\Sigma \int_0^{r(x)} \Phi(x,t) dt d\nu(x)}{\int r d\nu} \leq P_{\text{top}}(\Phi)$, with equality iff μ is an equilibrium measure for Φ. This can be rewritten as $h_\nu(\sigma) + \int_\Sigma \phi(x) d\nu(x) \leq 0$, with equality iff ν is an equilibrium measure for ϕ. Therefore $P_{\text{top}}(\phi) = 0$, and μ is an equilibrium measure for Φ iff its induced measure ν is an equilibrium measure for ϕ.

CLAIM 2. *ν is an equilibrium measure for a bounded Hölder continuous potential that is independent of the past and has zero pressure.*

Proof. By [Sin72, Bow75, Dao13] there is a bounded Hölder continuous function $v : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\phi + v - v \circ \sigma$ is independent of the past. Since $\int (v - v \circ \sigma) dm = 0$ for every σ–invariant probability measure m, $P_{\text{top}}(\phi + v - v \circ \sigma) = P_{\text{top}}(\phi) = 0$.

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.1. By claims 1–2, there is $\phi^s : \Sigma^s \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded Hölder continuous s.t. $\phi^s \circ \pi_s = \phi + v - v \circ \sigma$, ν is an equilibrium measure for $\phi^s \circ \pi_s$, and $P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s \circ \pi_s) = 0$. We want to conclude that ν^s is an equilibrium measure for ϕ^s, and that $P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s) = 0$.

If ν is a σ–invariant probability measure then (Σ, ν, σ) is the natural extension of $(\Sigma^s, \nu^s, \sigma_s)$. Conversely, if ν^s is a σ_s–invariant probability measure then it is the one-sided version of some σ–invariant probability measure ν (its natural extension). Since natural extensions preserve entropy, $P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s) = P_{\text{top}}(\phi^s \circ \pi_s) = 0$, and ν is an equilibrium measure for $\phi^s \circ \pi_s$ iff ν^s is an equilibrium measure for ϕ^s.

The structure of equilibrium measures for Hölder continuous potentials on one-sided TMS was determined in [BS03]. There it is shown that if Σ^s is topologically mixing (a consequence of the topological mixing of Σ), then ϕ^s is positive recurrent in the sense of [Sar01], and parts (1)–(3) of the theorem hold. Also, if the equilib-rium measure exists then it is unique [BS03, Thm 1.1], and this gives part (5). Part (4) follows from part (3) and the boundedness and Hölder continuity of ϕ^s.   □

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose Σ_r is a topologically transitive TMF, and Φ is a bounded Hölder continuous potential with finite pressure. Then Φ has at most one equilib-rium measure and if this measure exists then it is ergodic.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 ν^s is ergodic. Therefore its natural extension ν is ergodic. If the induced measure is ergodic, then the original measure is ergodic. It follows that every equilibrium measure is ergodic. This implies that the equilibrium measure is unique: if there were two equilibrium measures, then their average would have been a non-ergodic equilibrium measure.   □

Conditional measures of the induced measure. Theorem 3.1 can be used to construct the conditional measures $\nu(\cdot | x_0^\infty)$ for all, rather than almost all, $x \in \Sigma^s$. The basic tool is the *g–function* of ν. This is the function $g : \Sigma^s \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g := \frac{e^{\phi^s} h^s}{\lambda h^s \circ \sigma} = \frac{d\nu^s}{d(\nu^s \circ \sigma_s)}.$$

The reader can check that $g > 0$ and $\sum_{\sigma_s(y_0^\infty) = x_0^\infty} g(y_0^\infty) = 1$, whence $0 < g \leq 1$. Thus g is a g–function in the sense of [Kea72]. The function $\log g$ is bounded and uniformly Hölder continuous on cylinders of length two, since $\exp \phi^s$, $\log h^s$ are bounded and uniformly Hölder continuous on cylinders of length one at the zeroth position.

Theorem 3.3 ([Led74]). *Let ν, ν^s, L as in Theorem 3.1.*

(1) *If $f \in L^1(\nu^s)$ then $\mathbb{E}_{\nu^s}(f|x_1^\infty) = \sum_{\sigma_s(y_0^\infty)=x_1^\infty} g(y_0^\infty)f(y_0^\infty)$ ν^s–a.e.*

(2) $\nu^s(x_0|x_1, x_2, \dots) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu([x_0]|_1[x_1, \dots, x_k]) = g(x_0^\infty)$ ν^s–*a.e.*

(3) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \nu(_{-n}[x_{-n}, \dots, x_{-1}]|_0[x_0, \dots, x_k])$ *is equal ν–a.e. to*

$$\nu(x_{-n}, \dots, x_{-1}|x_0^\infty) := g_n(x_{-n}^\infty) := g(x_{-n}^\infty)g(x_{-n+1}^\infty)\cdots g(x_{-1}^\infty). \qquad (3.1)$$

Proof. Part (1) follows from the equations $\nu^s = h^s\xi^s$, $Lh^s = \lambda h^s$, $L^*\xi^s = \lambda\xi^s$ as in [Led74]. Part (2) follows from part (1) and the martingale convergence theorem. Part (3) follows from part (2) and the invariance of ν. $\square$

One should view (3.1) as a consistent set of equations which determine the conditional probability measure $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$ on $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x)$, by specifying the weights these measures give to cylinders. By consistent we mean that $\sum_{\sigma_s(y_0^\infty)=x_0^\infty} g(y_0^\infty) = 1$. Henceforth, we define $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$ as follows.

MEASURE $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$: $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$ is the unique probability measure on $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x)$ s.t. $\nu(\underline{a}|x_0^\infty) := g_n(\underline{a}x_0^\infty)$ for admissible words $\underline{a}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ν be as in Theorem 3.1. If Σ_r is topologically transitive and Σ_r is not a union of cycles, then $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$ is non-atomic for ν–a.e. $x \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. Since Σ_r is topologically transitive and Σ_r is not a union of cycles, the same is true for Σ. In particular there is a state b with in-degree at least two. Fix one such edge $a \to b$. Since $\sum_{\sigma_s(z_0^\infty)=y_0^\infty} g(z_0^\infty) = 1$, we have $g(z) < 1$ for every $z \in \Sigma^s$ s.t. $(z_0, z_1) = (a, b)$. By the Hölder continuity of $\log g$, we can find a word $\underline{w} := (a, b, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ s.t. $g \restriction_{[\underline{w}]} < 1$. By (3.1), $\nu(\{z\}|x_0^\infty) = 0$ whenever $z \in Z := \{z \in \Sigma : z_n^{n+|\underline{w}|-1} = \underline{w} \text{ for infinitely many } n < 0\}$. The conclusion is that $\nu(\cdot|x_0^\infty)$ is non-atomic for every x_0^∞ s.t. $\nu(Z|x_0^\infty) = 1$.

Let us show that this last condition is true ν–a.e. By Theorem 3.1, ν is ergodic and positive on cylinders, hence $\nu(Z) = 1$, i.e. $\int \nu(Z|x_0^\infty)d\nu(x) = \nu(Z) = 1$, so $\nu(Z|x_0^\infty) = 1$ for ν–a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. $\square$

Local product structure of the induced measure. Let $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a TMS. The following definitions are motivated by smooth ergodic theory, see e.g. [BP07]:

○ $W^s(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0\} = \{y \in \Sigma : \exists n \text{ s.t. } y_n^\infty = x_n^\infty\}$.

○ $W^u(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : d(\sigma^n(x), \sigma^n(y)) \xrightarrow[n\to-\infty]{} 0\} = \{y \in \Sigma : \exists n \text{ s.t. } y_{-\infty}^n = x_{-\infty}^n\}$.

○ $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : y_0^\infty = x_0^\infty\}$.

○ $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(x) := \{y \in \Sigma : y_{-\infty}^0 = x_{-\infty}^0\}$.

SMALE BRACKET OF POINTS: Let $x, y \in \Sigma$ with $x_0 = y_0$. The *Smale bracket* of x, y is $[x, y] := z$ where $z_i = x_i$ for $i \leq 0$ and $z_i = y_i$ for $i \geq 0$.

If $x_0 = y_0 = v$, then $[W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x), W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(y)] = \{[x', y'] : x' \in W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x), y' \in W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(y)\} = [v] = \{z \in \Sigma : z_0 = v\}$. We can also consider the Smale products of measures. Let α_x^s, β_y^u be finite measures on $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x)$, $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(y)$ respectively.

SMALE BRACKET OF MEASURES: The *Smale bracket* of α_x^s, β_y^u is a finite measure on $[W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x), W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(y)] = [v]$ defined by

$$(\alpha_x^s \star \beta_y^u)(E) := \int_{W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(y)} \int_{W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(x)} 1_E([x', y'])d\alpha_x^s(x')d\beta_y^u(y'), \quad (E \text{ Borel measurable}).$$

The Smale product produces measures on Σ out of measures on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x), W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y)$. We can also produce measures on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x), W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y)$ from measures on Σ. Let:

$\circ$ $p_x^s : [x_0] \to W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)$, $p_x^s(\cdot) = [\cdot, x]$.
$\circ$ $p_x^u : [x_0] \to W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(x)$, $p_x^u(\cdot) = [x, \cdot]$.

PROJECTION MEASURES: The *projections* of ν on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x), W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y)$ are

$$\begin{cases} \nu_x^s := \nu \circ (p_x^s)^{-1}, & \text{a measure on } W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x), \\ \nu_y^u := \nu \circ (p_y^u)^{-1}, & \text{a measure on } W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that for $\tau = u, s$:

$\circ$ $\nu_x^\tau = \nu_y^\tau$ iff $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^\tau(x) = W_{\mathrm{loc}}^\tau(y)$.
$\circ$ $\nu_x^\tau = (\nu_y^\tau \circ p_y^\tau) \upharpoonright_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^\tau(x)}$ whenever $x_0 = y_0$.

LOCAL PRODUCT STRUCTURE: ν is said to have *local product structure* if for every $x, y \in \Sigma$ s.t. $x_0 = y_0 = v$ we have $\nu_x^s \star \nu_y^u \sim \nu \upharpoonright_{[v]}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let μ be an equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous potential with finite pressure on a topologically transitive TMF, and let ν be its induced measure. Then ν is globally supported, and ν has local product structure.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the associated TMS, and let $\mathscr{G}$ be a directed graph associated to Σ. Since the TMF is topologically transitive, $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is topologically transitive, hence any two vertices on $\mathscr{G}$ can be joined by a path.

CLAIM: *Every non-empty cylinder on Σ has positive ν–measure, and for every edge $v \to w$ there is a constant $C_{vw} > 1$ s.t. if $m < 0, n > 0$ and $_m[v_m, \ldots, v_n] \neq \varnothing$, then $C_{v_0 v_1}^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu(_m[v_m, \ldots, v_n])}{\nu(_m[v_m, \ldots, v_0])\nu(_0[v_0, \ldots, v_n])} \leq C_{v_0 v_1}$.*

Proof of the claim. Let ν^s be the one-sided version of ν. Theorem 3.1 implies, as in [Sar11, Corollary 3.2], the existence of constants $K_v, D_{vw} > 0$ s.t.

(a) $K_{a_{n-1}}^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu^s([a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])}{\nu^s([a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}])\nu^s([b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])} \leq K_{a_{n-1}}$ for all $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ s.t. $[\underline{a}, \underline{b}] \neq \varnothing$,

(b) $D_{a_{n-1} b_0}^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu^s([b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])}{\nu^s([a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])} \leq D_{a_{n-1} b_0}$ whenever $[a_{n-1}, \underline{b}] \neq \varnothing$.

By (a)–(b), there are constants C_{vw} s.t. for all $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ with $[\underline{a}, \underline{b}] \neq \varnothing$ we have:

$$C_{a_{n-1} b_0}^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu^s([a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])}{\nu^s([a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}])\nu^s([a_{n-1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}])} \leq C_{a_{n-1} b_0}.$$

Substituting $\underline{a} = (v_m, \ldots, v_0), \underline{b} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ gives the claim.

By the claim, if E is a cylinder contained in $[v, w]$ and $x, y \in [v]$ then:

$$C_{vw}^{-1} \times (\nu_x^s \star \nu_y^u)(E) \leq \nu(E) \leq C_{vw} \times (\nu_x^s \star \nu_y^u)(E). \tag{3.3}$$

The collection of cylinders $E \subset [v, w]$ satisfying (3.3) is closed under increasing unions and decreasing intersections. By the monotone class theorem, (3.3) holds for every Borel set $E \subset [v, w]$, whence $\nu_x^s \star \nu_y^u \sim \nu \upharpoonright_{[v]}$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let ν be as in the previous theorem. If $E \subset \Sigma$ is Borel and $\nu(E) = 0$, then $\nu_x^s(E) = \nu_x^u(E) = 0$ for ν–a.e. x.*

Proof. Let $\Omega_v := \{x \in \Sigma : x_0 = v \text{ and } \nu_x^s(E) > 0\}$, and assume by contradiction that $\nu(\Omega_v) > 0$ for some v. Since ν has local product structure, if $x, y \in [v]$ then:

$$\int_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y)} \int_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)} 1_{\Omega_v}([x', y']) d\nu_x^s(x') d\nu_y^u(y') > 0.$$

Note that $[x', y'] \in \Omega_v \Leftrightarrow \nu_{[x',y']}^s(E) > 0 \overset{!}{\Leftrightarrow} \nu_{y'}^s(E) > 0 \Leftrightarrow y' \in \Omega_v$ ($\overset{!}{\Leftrightarrow}$ is because $\nu_{[x',y']}^s = \nu_{y'}^s$). Hence $1_{\Omega_v}([x', y']) = 1_{\Omega_v}(y')$. Calculating the double integral, we find that $\nu_y^u[\Omega_v]\nu_x^s[W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)] > 0 \Rightarrow \nu_y^u[\Omega_v] > 0$. We use this to get a contradiction.

Let $y' \in \Omega_v$. Using that $\nu_{y'}^s = (\nu_x^s \circ p_x^s) \upharpoonright_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(y')}$, we have

$$0 < \nu_{y'}^s(E) = (\nu_x^s \circ p_x^s)[E \cap W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(y')] = \nu_x^s\{x' \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x) : [x', y'] \in E\}$$

$$= \int_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)} 1_E([x', y']) d\nu_x^s(x').$$

Since $\nu_{y'}^u[\Omega_v] > 0$, if we integrate this inequality we obtain

$$\int_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^u(y)} \left(\int_{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)} 1_E([x', y']) d\nu_x^s(x') \right) d\nu_y^u(y') > 0,$$

thus $(\nu_x^s \star \nu_y^u)(E) > 0$. Since ν has local product structure, this gives that $\nu(E) > 0$, a contradiction. We have just proved that $\nu[\Omega_v] = 0$ for every vertex v, whence $\nu_x^s(E) = 0$ for ν–a.e. x. By symmetry, $\nu_x^u(E) = 0$ for ν–a.e. x. $\qquad\square$

4. The Pinsker factor of a topological Markov flow

Review of general theory. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ be an *automorphism*, i.e. $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ is a non-atomic Lebesgue probability space and T is an invertible transformation preserving μ. Given $E \in \mathscr{B}$, let $\alpha_E = \{E, X \setminus E\}$.

PINSKER FACTOR: $E \in \mathscr{B}$ is called a *Pinsker set* if $h_\mu(T, \alpha_E) = 0$. The *Pinsker σ–algebra* is $\mathscr{P}(T) := \{E \in \mathscr{B} : E \text{ is a Pinsker set}\}$. $(X, \mathscr{P}(T), \mu, T)$ is called the *Pinsker factor* of $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$.

$\mathscr{P}(T)$ is a T–invariant σ-algebra [Pin60], hence $(X, \mathscr{P}(T), \mu, T)$ is indeed a factor. $(X, \mathscr{P}(T), \mu, T)$ has zero entropy, and if $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}$ s.t. $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu, T)$ is a factor of zero entropy then $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{P}(T)$ modulo μ. Therefore $(X, \mathscr{P}(T), \mu, T)$ is the largest factor of $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ with zero entropy.

COMPLETELY POSITIVE ENTROPY: $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ is said to have *completely positive entropy* if it has a trivial Pinsker factor, i.e. if $\mathscr{P}(T) = \{\varnothing, X\}$ modulo μ.

Note that $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ has completely positive entropy iff all of its non-trivial factors have positive entropy.

TAIL σ–ALGEBRA: Given a σ–algebra $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}$ with $T^{-1}\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}$, the *tail σ–algebra* of $\mathscr{A}$ is $\mathrm{Tail}(\mathscr{A}) := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^{-n}\mathscr{A}$.

K PROPERTY: $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ has the *K property* if there is a σ–algebra $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}$ s.t.
(a) $T^{-1}\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}$,
(b) $\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i \mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B}$ modulo μ,
(c) $\mathrm{Tail}(\mathscr{A}) = \{\varnothing, X\}$ modulo μ.

Theorem 4.1 (Rokhlin & Sinai [RS61])**.** $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ *has the K property iff it has completely positive entropy.*

The K property is stronger than mixing. It implies continuous Lebesgue spectrum [Roh61], and the mixing property below, called *K-mixing*, see [CFS82, §10.8]. Write δ–a.e. when a property holds for a set of atoms with total measure $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ be an automorphism with the K property, $B \in \mathscr{B}$, and β a finite measurable partition of X. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there is $N_0 = N_0(B, \delta)$ s.t. for all $N' > N \geq N_0$ and δ–a.e. $A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} T^k \beta$ it holds $|\mu(B|A) - \mu(B)| < \delta$.*

Now let $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \{T^t\})$ be a flow. It is known that $h_\mu(T^t) = |t| h_\mu(T^1)$ and $\mathscr{P}(T^t) = \mathscr{P}(T^1)$, $\forall t \neq 0$ [Abr59, Gur67]. The *Pinsker σ–algebra* of T is defined as $\mathscr{P}(T^1)$. T is said to have *completely positive entropy* if its Pinsker factor is trivial iff $\exists t \neq 0$ s.t. $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T^t)$ is an automorphism with completely positive entropy. T is said to have the *K property* if $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T^1)$ is an automorphism with the K property iff $\exists t \neq 0$ s.t. $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T^t)$ is an automorphism with the K property. T has the K property iff it has completely positive entropy, and it implies K-mixing [CFS82]. The next theorem is a tool for proving the K property. Given a σ–algebra $\mathscr{A}$ with $T^{-t}\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}$, $\forall t > 0$, let $\mathrm{Tail}(\mathscr{A}) := \bigcap_{t>0} T^{-t}\mathscr{A}$ be the *tail σ–algebra* of $\mathscr{A}$.

Theorem 4.3 (Rokhlin & Sinai [RS61])**.** *Let $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \{T^t\})$ be a flow, and let $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}$ be a σ–algebra s.t.*

(a) $T^{-t}\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}$, $\forall t > 0$,
(b) $\bigvee_{t>0} T^t \mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B}$ *modulo μ.*

Then $\mathscr{P}(\mathsf{T}) \subset \mathrm{Tail}(\mathscr{A})$ modulo μ.

An upper bound for the Pinsker factor of a TMF. We now construct σ-algebras as in Theorem 4.3 for a topologically transitive TMF. The construction follows [Gur67, Rat78].

Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF. By Lemma 2.2, $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ is isomorphic to a TMF $\sigma_{r^s} : \Sigma_{r^s} \to \Sigma_{r^s}$ s.t. r^s is independent of the past. Let $\vartheta_s : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_{r^s}$ be the isomorphism, $\vartheta_s \circ \sigma_r^t = \sigma_{r^s}^t \circ \vartheta_s$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Points in Σ_{r^s} will be decorated by over bars as in $(\overline{x}, \overline{\xi})$.

Given $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma_r$, let $(\overline{x}, \overline{\xi}) := \vartheta_s(x, \xi)$ and define

$$W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}(x, \xi) := \vartheta_s^{-1}\{(\overline{y}, \overline{\xi}) \in \Sigma_{r^s} : \overline{y}_0^\infty = \overline{x}_0^\infty\}.$$

Any two such sets are either equal or disjoint, hence $\{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}(x, \xi)\}$ is a partition of Σ_r. Let $\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}$ be the σ–algebra generated by $\{W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}(x, \xi)\}$. $\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}$ is generated by the countable collection of sets $\vartheta_s^{-1}\{(\overline{y}, \overline{\xi}) \in \Sigma_{r^s} : \overline{y}_0^{N-1} = \underline{a}, \overline{\xi} \in (\alpha, \beta)\}$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{a}$ is an admissible word of length N, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Using that r^s is independent of the past and that $\vartheta_s \circ \sigma_r^t = \sigma_{r^s}^t \circ \vartheta_s$, one shows:

(a) $\sigma_r^{-t}[\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}] \subset \mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}$, $\forall t > 0$.
(b) $\bigvee_{t>0} \sigma_r^t[\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss}] = \mathscr{B}$ modulo μ.

Let $\mathscr{W}^{ss} := \mathrm{Tail}(\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{ss})$. By Theorem 4.3, $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r) \subset \mathscr{W}^{ss}$ modulo μ.

Next we work with an isomorphism $\vartheta_u : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_{r^u}$ where r^u is independent of the future and $\vartheta_u \circ \sigma_r^t = \sigma_{r^u}^t \circ \vartheta_u$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denoting points in Σ_{r^u} also as

$(\overline{x}, \overline{\xi}) := \vartheta_u(x, \xi)$, we can define for each $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma_r$ the set

$$W^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}(x, \xi) := \vartheta_u^{-1}\{(\overline{y}, \overline{\xi}) : \overline{y}^0_{-\infty} = \overline{x}^0_{-\infty}\}$$

and $\mathscr{W}^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ as the σ–algebra generated by the partition $\{W^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}(x, \xi)\}$. Similarly, $\sigma_r^t[\mathscr{W}^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}] \subset \mathscr{W}^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}$, $\forall t > 0$, and $\bigvee_{t>0} \sigma_r^{-t}[\mathscr{W}^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}}] = \mathscr{B}$ modulo μ. Let $\mathscr{W}^{su} :=$ Tail$(\mathscr{W}^{su}_{\mathrm{loc}})$. Applying Theorem 4.3 to the inverse flow $\{\sigma_r^{-t}\}$ and using that it has the same Pinsker σ–algebra as $\{\sigma_r^t\}$, we find that $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r) \subset \mathscr{W}^{su}$ modulo μ. We just proved:

Theorem 4.4 ([Gur67, Rat78])**.** *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a TMF, and let μ be an ergodic σ_r–invariant probability measure, not supported on a single orbit. Then $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r) \subset \mathscr{W}^{ss} \cap \mathscr{W}^{su}$ modulo μ.*

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a TMF, and let μ be an ergodic σ_r–invariant probability measure, not supported on a single orbit. If $f : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r)$– measurable, then there is a set X of full μ–measure s.t. for every $(x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in X$:*

(1) *If $(y, \eta) \in W^{ss}(x, \xi)$ then $f(x, \xi) = f(y, \eta)$.*
(2) *If $(y, \eta) \in W^{su}(x, \xi)$ then $f(x, \xi) = f(y, \eta)$.*

Proof. Recall the definitions of $W^{ss}(x, \xi)$ and $W^{su}(x, \xi)$ on page 6. We prove (1), and leave (2) to the reader. It is enough to prove this for $f = 1_E$ where $E \in \mathscr{P}(\sigma_r)$. Since $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r) \subset \mathscr{W}^{ss} = $ Tail$(\mathscr{W}^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}})$, there is a sequence of sets $E_i \in \sigma_r^{-i}(\mathscr{W}^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}})$ s.t. $\mu(E \triangle E_i) = 0$. The set $X := \Sigma_r \setminus [(\cup_{i \geq 1} E \triangle E_i) \cup \{(x, \xi) : x$ is pre-periodic$\}]$ has full μ–measure.

If $(x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in X$ with $(y, \eta) \in W^{ss}(x, \xi)$, then $\sigma_r^t(y, \eta) \in W^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\sigma_r^t(x, \xi))$ for t large enough. In particular, this holds for some $t = i \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to show that $(x, \xi) \in E \Leftrightarrow (y, \eta) \in E$. By symmetry, it is enough that $(x, \xi) \in E \Rightarrow (y, \eta) \in E$.

Let $(x, \xi) \in E$. Then $(x, \xi) \notin E \triangle E_i \Rightarrow (x, \xi) \in E_i \Rightarrow \sigma_r^i(x, \xi) \in \sigma_r^i(E_i) \in \mathscr{W}^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}}$. The atom of $\mathscr{W}^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ which contains $\sigma_r^i(x, \xi)$ is $W^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}}((\sigma_r^i(x, \xi))$, so $\sigma_r^i(y, \eta) \in W^{ss}_{\mathrm{loc}}((\sigma_r^i(x, \xi)) \subset \sigma_r^i(E_i) \Rightarrow (y, \eta) \in E_i \overset{!}{\Rightarrow} (y, \eta) \in E$ ($\overset{!}{\Rightarrow}$ is because $(y, \eta) \in X$). $\square$

The Pinsker factor in the non-arithmetic case. Let $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a TMS. A Hölder continuous $r : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *arithmetic*, if there are $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \neq 0$, and $h : \Sigma \to S^1$ Hölder continuous s.t. $e^{i\theta r} = h/h \circ \sigma$ [GH88].

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF, and let μ be an equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous function with finite pressure. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *r is not arithmetic.*
(2) *μ is weak mixing.*
(3) *μ is mixing.*
(4) *μ has the K property, whence a trivial Pinsker factor.*

In particular, if one equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous function satisfies one of (2)–(4), then all equilibrium measures of bounded Hölder continuous functions satisfy all of (2)–(4).

If Σ is a subshift of finite type, then the equivalences of (2)–(4) are due to Ratner [Rat78] (a special case was done before by Gurevich [Gur67]), and (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) is due to Parry & Pollicott [PP90, Prop. 6.2].

Proof. (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) by general theory, and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) because if $e^{i\theta r} = h/h \circ \sigma$ for some $\theta \neq 0$ and $h : \Sigma \to S^1$ continuous, then $F(x, \xi) :=$

$e^{-i\theta\xi}h(x)$ satisfies $F \circ \sigma_r^t = e^{-i\theta t}F$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the weak mixing assumption, F is constant μ–a.e., whence everywhere (equilibrium measures of Hölder potentials on a topologically transitive TMF are globally supported). Thus $\theta = 0$.

It remains to show that $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$. We prove that if the Pinsker σ–algebra is not trivial then r is arithmetic. Assume that $\mathscr{P}(\sigma_r)$ is not trivial, and fix a bounded Pinsker-measurable function F that is not constant μ–a.e. Let $F_\delta := \frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta F \circ \sigma_r^t dt$. Note that $F_\delta \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0^+]{L^1} F$, thus F_δ is not constant μ–a.e for any δ small enough. Fix one such δ and let $H := F_\delta$. H is a bounded Pinsker-measurable function that is not constant μ–a.e. for which the map $t \mapsto (H \circ \sigma_r^t)(x, \xi)$ is continuous, $\forall (x, \xi) \in \Sigma_r$. We will use H to prove that r is arithmetic. Let ν be the induced measure of μ. Recall the definition of the cocycles P^s, P^u (see Lemma 2.3) and the measures ν_x^s on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)$ defined in (3.2).

CLAIM 1. *There is a Borel set $E \subset \Sigma$ of full ν–measure such that:*

(1) *E is σ–invariant and contains no pre-periodic points.*
(2) *For every $(x, \xi), (y, \eta)$ s.t. $x, y \in E$:*
    (2.1) *If $(y, \eta) \in W^{ss}(x, \xi)$ then $H(y, \eta) = H(x, \xi)$.*
    (2.2) *If $(y, \eta) \in W^{su}(x, \xi)$ then $H(y, \eta) = H(x, \xi)$.*
(3) *For every $x \in E$, $\nu_x^s(E^c) = \nu_x^u(E^c) = 0$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Let $E_0 := \{x \in \Sigma : x \text{ is not pre-periodic}\}$. E_0 has full ν–measure, since ν is ergodic and globally supported. By Corollary 4.5, there is $X \subset \Sigma_r$ of full μ–measure s.t. (2) holds for all $(x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in X$. Since μ is equivalent to $\nu \times d\xi$,

$$E_1 := \{x \in E_0 : (x, \xi) \in X \text{ for Lebesgue a.e. } \xi \in [0, r(x))\}$$

has full ν–measure. We claim that E_1 satisfies (2).

We prove (2.1) and leave (2.2) to the reader. Since $x, y \in E_1$, there is an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ of 0 s.t. $(x, \xi + t), (y, \eta + t) \in X$ for Lebesgue a.e. $t \in U$. Find $t_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} 0$ s.t. $(x, \xi + t_k), (y, \eta + t_k) \in X$. By Lemma 2.3(1), $(y, \eta + t_k) \in W^{ss}(x, \xi + t_k)$, therefore by the definition of X we have $H(x, \xi + t_k) = H(y, \eta + t_k)$. Passing to the limit, and using that $t \mapsto (H \circ \sigma_r^t)(x, \xi)$ and $t \mapsto (H \circ \sigma_r^t)(y, \eta)$ are continuous, we conclude that $H(x, \xi) = H(y, \eta)$.

Now consider $E_2 := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^n(E_1)$. E_2 has full ν–measure and satisfies (1)–(2) but not necessarily (3). We define $E_3, E_4, \ldots$ by induction as

$$E_n := \{x \in E_{n-1} : \nu_{\sigma^k(x)}^s(E_{n-1}^c) = \nu_{\sigma^k(x)}^u(E_{n-1}^c) = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$\{E_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of σ–invariant sets of full ν–measure each, by Corollary 3.6, thus $E := \bigcap_{n=4}^\infty E_n$ is σ–invariant set of full ν–measure. E satisfies (1)–(2) of the claim, since $E \subset E_0 \cap E_1$. To see that it also satisfies (3), just note that if $x \in E$ and $\tau = s, u$ then $\nu_x^\tau(E^c) = \nu_x^\tau(\bigcup_{n \geq 3} E_n^c) = \lim \nu_x^\tau(E_n^c) = 0$.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOLONOMY GROUP: Recall the *weak stable* and *weak unstable manifolds* of $x \in \Sigma$:

$\circ$ $W^{ws}(x) := \{y : \exists m, n \text{ s.t. } x_m^\infty = y_n^\infty\}$.
$\circ$ $W^{wu}(x) := \{y : \exists m, n \text{ s.t. } x_{-\infty}^m = y_{-\infty}^n\}$.

The following constructions are motivated by [Bri75]:

$\circ$ *su–path*: A finite sequence of points $\gamma = \langle x^0, \ldots, x^n \rangle$ in E s.t. $x^i \in W^{w\tau_i}(x^{i-1})$ for some $\tau_i \in \{s, u\}$. If $x^0 = x^n = x$, then γ is called an *su–loop at x*.

○ *Lift of su–path:* Suppose $0 \leq \theta < r(x^0)$. The *lift* of $\gamma = \langle x^0, \ldots, x^n \rangle$ at $z_0 := (x^0, \theta)$ is $\langle z_0, \ldots, z_n \rangle \subset \Sigma_r$ where $z_i = \sigma_r^{\theta + t_i}(x^i, 0)$, and $z_i \in W^{s\tau_i}(z_{i-1})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The parameters t_i are uniquely determined by the Bowen-Marcus condition, see Lemma 2.3(1): $t_0 := 0$, $t_i = t_{i-1} + P^{\tau_i}(x^{i-1}, x^i)$.

○ *Weight of su–loop:* $P(\gamma) := t_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P^{\tau_i}(x^{i-1}, x^i)$.

For $x \in E$, let $G'_x := \{P(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is an } su\text{–loop at } x\}$. We will show that there is a closed subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $G_x := \overline{G'_x} = G$, $\forall x \in E$.

HOLONOMY GROUP: It is the closed subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $G_x = G$ for some (all) $x \in E$.

We first show that $G_x = c\mathbb{Z}$ for some $c \neq 0$ independent of $x \in E$, and then use this to prove that $\exp[\frac{2\pi i}{c} r]$ is a multiplicative coboundary.

CLAIM 2: *There exists $c \neq 0$ s.t. $G_x = c\mathbb{Z}$, $\forall x \in E$.*

Proof of Claim 2. We divide the proof into few steps. Fix $x \in E$.

STEP 1. G'_x, G_x *are additive subgroups of* $\mathbb{R}$, *and* $G'_{\sigma(x)} = G'_x$, $G_{\sigma(x)} = G_x$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the claims for G'_x. G'_x is an additive group:

○ $G'_x + G'_x \subset G'_x$, because $P(\gamma_1) + P(\gamma_2) = P(\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2)$ where $\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2$ is the concatenation of γ_1 and γ_2.

○ $G'_x \ni 0$, because $P(\langle x, x \rangle) = 0$.

○ $G'_x = -G'_x$, because $P(\langle x^n, \ldots, x^0 \rangle) = -P(\langle x^0, \ldots, x^n \rangle)$.

Now we show that $G'_{\sigma(x)} = G'_x$. Let $\gamma = \langle x^0, \ldots, x^n \rangle$ be an su–loop at x, and let $\sigma(\gamma) := \langle \sigma(x^0), \ldots, \sigma(x^n) \rangle$. By Lemma 2.3(2), $P^{\tau_i}(\sigma(x^{i-1}), \sigma(x^i)) - P^{\tau_i}(x^{i-1}, x^i) = r(x^{i-1}) - r(x^i)$. Summing this over i gives $P(\sigma(\gamma)) - P(\gamma) = r(x^n) - r(x^0) = 0$.

STEP 2. *There is a closed subgroup* $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ *s.t.* $G_x = G$, $\forall x \in E$.

Proof. We claim that $x \mapsto G_x$ is constant on $E \cap [v]$, for every state v. Take $x, y \in E \cap [v]$, and define $\pi_{xy} : W_{\text{loc}}^s(x) \to W_{\text{loc}}^s(y)$ by $\pi_{xy}(\cdot) = [\cdot, y]$. π_{xy} is measure-preserving:

$$\nu_x^s \circ \pi_{xy}^{-1} = \nu \circ (p_x^s)^{-1} \circ \pi_{xy}^{-1} = \nu \circ (\pi_{xy} \circ p_x^s)^{-1} = \nu \circ (p_y^s)^{-1} = \nu_y^s.$$

E has full ν_x^s–measure in $W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$. Since π_{xy} is measure-preserving, $\pi_{xy}[E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)]$ has full ν_y^s–measure in $W_{\text{loc}}^s(y)$. Thus $\pi_{xy}[E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)] \cap E \neq \varnothing$, therefore $\exists z \in E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$ s.t. $w := [z, y] \in E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(y)$. By the definition of the Smale product, $W_{\text{loc}}^u(z) = W_{\text{loc}}^u(w)$. In summary, we found $z \in W_{\text{loc}}^s(x) \cap E$, $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^s(y) \cap E$ s.t. $W_{\text{loc}}^u(z) = W_{\text{loc}}^u(w)$.

Every element of G'_x equals $P(\gamma)$ for some su–loop γ at x. Consider the concatenation $\gamma' := \langle y, w, z, x \rangle \vee \gamma \vee \langle x, z, w, y \rangle$. This is an su–loop at y with $P(\gamma') = P(\langle y, w, z, x, z, w, y \rangle) + P(\gamma) = P(\gamma)$. Since γ is arbitrary, this gives the inclusion $G_x \subset G_y$. By symmetry, $G_x = G_y$.

We see that for every v, there is a group G_v s.t. $G_x = G_v$, $\forall x \in E \cap [v]$. Fix some state v_0. Since $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is topologically transitive, for any state v there is an admissible path $v_0 = a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n = v$. The measure ν is globally supported, thus we can take $z \in E \cap [\underline{a}]$. By Step 1, $G_{v_0} = G_z = G_{\sigma(z)} = \cdots = G_{\sigma^n(z)} = G_v$, whence $G_v = G_{v_0}$ for all vertices v. This proves Step 2.

STEP 3. G *equals* $c\mathbb{Z}$ *for some* $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. G is a closed additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, so either $G = \mathbb{R}$ or $G = c\mathbb{Z}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We will show that if $G = \mathbb{R}$ then H is constant μ–a.e., a contradiction.

We implement the classical Hopf argument. The key observation is that H is constant on the intersection of the strong (un)stable manifolds of σ_r with E, thanks to Claim 1(2). Suppose $\gamma = \langle x^0, \ldots, x^n \rangle$ is an su–path, fix some $0 \le \theta < r(x^0)$, and let $\langle z_0, \ldots, z_n \rangle \subset \Sigma_r$ be the lift of γ at $z_0 := (x^0, \theta)$. Since $x^i \in E$, we have $H(z_0) = H(z_1) = \cdots = H(z_n)$. In particular, if $x \in E$ and γ is an su–loop at x, then $H(x, \theta) = (H \circ \sigma_r^{P(\gamma)})(x, \theta)$.

If $G = \mathbb{R}$ then the set of weights $P(\gamma)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. Since $t \mapsto (H \circ \sigma_r^t)(x, \theta)$ is continuous, $H(x, \theta) = (H \circ \sigma_r^t)(x, \theta)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This proves that $H \circ \sigma_r^t = H$ on $\{(x, \theta) \in \Sigma_r : x \in E\}$. Using that μ is ergodic (Corollary 3.2), we conclude that H is constant μ–a.e., a contradiction. Thus $G = c\mathbb{Z}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

STEP 4. $c \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $G = \{0\}$. We will show that $r = U \circ \sigma - U$ for some $U : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, and derive a contradiction. Recall the definitions of $W^{ws}(x), W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(x)$ on page 6. Fix $x \in E$ and define $\widetilde{U}$ on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ by $\widetilde{U}(y) = P^s(y, x)$. By Lemma 2.3(3),

$$\widetilde{U}(\sigma(y)) - \widetilde{U}(y) = P^s(\sigma(y), x) + P^s(x, y) = P^s(\sigma(y), y) = r(y).$$

Our plan is to show that $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ is dense in Σ, and $\widetilde{U}$ is uniformly continuous on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$. Thus the unique continuous extension to Σ satisfies $U \circ \sigma - U = r$.

Proof that $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ is dense in Σ: Let $C := {}_{-n}[v_{-n}, \ldots, v_n]$ be a non-empty cylinder in Σ. Since $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is topologically transitive, there is an admissible path $v_n \to v_{n+1} \to \cdots \to v_{n+k} \to x_0$. Now proceed as follows:

○ Pick some $w \in C$, and define y by $y_{-\infty}^n = w_{-\infty}^n$, $y_{n+1}^{n+k} = (v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{n+k})$, $y_{n+k+1}^\infty = x_0^\infty$. Then $y \in W^{ws}(x) \cap C$, and there are integers $\ell, m > n$ s.t. $\sigma^m(y) \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(\sigma^\ell(x)) \cap \sigma^m(C)$, whence $\sigma^m(C) \cap [x_\ell] \neq \varnothing$.
○ Necessarily $\nu_{\sigma^\ell(x)}^s(\sigma^m C) = \nu[(p_{\sigma^\ell(x)}^s)^{-1}(\sigma^m C)] = \nu(\sigma^m(C) \cap [x_\ell])$. Since ν is globally supported, $\nu_{\sigma^\ell(x)}^s(\sigma^m C) > 0$.
○ Since E is σ–invariant and $x \in E$, $\sigma^\ell(x) \in E$ and $\nu_{\sigma^\ell(x)}^s(\sigma^m(C) \cap E) \neq 0$.
○ $\nu_{\sigma^\ell(x)}^s$ is supported on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(\sigma^\ell(x))$, thus $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(\sigma^\ell(x)) \cap \sigma^m(C) \cap E \neq \varnothing$.
○ Therefore $W^{ws}(x) \cap E \cap C \supseteq \sigma^{-m}[W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(\sigma^\ell(x)) \cap \sigma^m(C) \cap E] \neq \varnothing$.

We see that $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ intersects every non-empty cylinder C in Σ.

Proof that $\widetilde{U}$ is uniformly continuous on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$: Fix $y, z \in W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ s.t. $y \neq z$ and $y_0 = z_0$. We construct $y^1 \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(y) \cap E$ s.t.

  (i) $z^1 := [y^1, z] \in W^{ws}(x) \cap E$,
  (ii) $d(z, z^1) \le d(y, z)$ and $d(z^1, y^1) \le d(z, y)$,
  (iii) $d(y, y^1) \le 3d(y, z)$.

Here is how to do this. First, find $z^1 \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(z) \cap E$ arbitrarily close to z s.t $y^1 := [z^1, y] \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(y) \cap E$. Such points exist because $\nu_z^s(E^c) = 0$, $\nu_y^s(E^c) = 0$, ν_z^s has full support in $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^s(z)$, and $\nu_z^s = \nu_y^s \circ \pi_{zy}$ for $\pi_{zy}(\cdot) = [\cdot, y]$. Automatically $z^1 = [y^1, z]$, and if z^1 is close enough to z, then $d(z^1, z) < d(z, y)$ and $d(z^1, y) = d(z, y)$ (the first place where z^1, y disagree is the first place where z, y disagree). Since $y^1 = [z^1, y]$, $d(z^1, y^1) \le d(z^1, y) = d(z, y)$, proving (ii). Part (iii) follows from (ii) and the triangle inequality.

Let $\gamma = \langle y, z^1, y^1, y \rangle$. Using $y \in E$ and $G = \{0\}$, we have

$$P^s(y, z^1) + P^u(z^1, y^1) + P^s(y^1, y) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 2.3(3), $|\widetilde{U}(y) - \widetilde{U}(z^1)| = |P^s(y, z^1)| \leq |P^u(z^1, y^1)| + |P^s(y^1, y)|$. Since $y^1 \in W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(z^1)$, $|P^u(z^1, y^1)| \leq Cd(y,z)^\alpha$, where C, α are given by Lemma 2.3(4). Similarly, $|P^s(y^1, y)| \leq 3^\alpha Cd(y,z)^\alpha$. Thus $|\widetilde{U}(y) - \widetilde{U}(z^1)| \leq 4Cd(y,z)^\alpha$. Also by the cocycle equation, $|\widetilde{U}(z) - \widetilde{U}(z^1)| = |P^s(z, z^1)| \leq Cd(y,z)^\alpha$. It follows that $|\widetilde{U}(y) - \widetilde{U}(z)| < 5Cd(y,z)^\alpha$, proving that $\widetilde{U}$ is uniformly continuous on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$.

Therefore $\widetilde{U}$ extends continuously to a function $U : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. Since $r = \widetilde{U} \circ \sigma - \widetilde{U}$ on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$, $r = U \circ \sigma - U$ on Σ. This cannot happen as it implies, by the Poincaré recurrence theorem, that $\liminf r_n = \liminf [U \circ \sigma^n - U] < \infty$ a.e., whereas we know that $\inf r > 0$, so $\liminf r_n = \infty$. Thus $G \neq \{0\}$.

CLAIM 3: *There exists $h : \Sigma \to S^1$ Hölder continuous s.t. $\exp[\frac{2\pi i}{c} r] = h/h \circ \sigma$.*

Let $\theta := \frac{2\pi}{c}$, fix $x \in E$ and let $\widetilde{h} : W^{ws}(x) \cap E \to S^1$ by $\widetilde{h}(y) := \exp[-i\theta P^s(y, x)]$. By Lemma 2.3(3), $\widetilde{h}/\widetilde{h} \circ \sigma = \exp[i\theta r]$ on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$. The idea is to show that $\widetilde{h}$ is Hölder continuous on $W^{ws}(x) \cap E$ and then deduce as in the previous proof that it extends Hölder continuously to a function $h : \Sigma \to S^1$. The proof is the same as in the last step of Claim 2, except that one needs to replace (4.1) by

$$\exp[i\theta(P^s(y, z^1) + P^u(z^1, y^1) + P^s(y^1, y))] = 1.$$

As before, this implies that $\frac{\widetilde{h}(y)}{\widetilde{h}(z^1)} = e^{i\varepsilon_1}$ with $|\varepsilon_1| \leq 4C|\theta|d(y,z)^\alpha$, and $\frac{\widetilde{h}(z^1)}{\widetilde{h}(z)} = e^{i\varepsilon_2}$ with $|\varepsilon_2| \leq C|\theta|d(y,z)^\alpha$. So $\frac{\widetilde{h}(y)}{\widetilde{h}(z)} = e^{i\varepsilon}$ with $|\varepsilon| \leq 5|\theta|d(y,z)^\alpha$, whence the Hölder continuity of $\widetilde{h} : W^{ws}(x) \to S^1$.

Claim 3 completes the proof that if the Pinsker σ–algebra of σ_r is not trivial then r is arithmetic. Equivalently, $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ in the statement of Theorem 4.6, and this completes the proof of the theorem. □

The Pinsker factor in the arithmetic case. In the last section we saw that if the roof function is arithmetic, then the Pinsker factor of every equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous potential is non-trivial. In this section we show that in this case the Pinsker factor is isomorphic to a rotational flow. In fact we will show more, that the flow is isomorphic to the direct product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF s.t. $e^{i\theta r} = \frac{h}{h \circ \sigma}$ for some $\theta \neq 0$ and $h : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous. There exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for every equilibrium measure μ of a bounded Hölder continuous potential with finite pressure, the following hold:*

(1) $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a topologically transitive TMF with constant roof function equal to $2\pi/\theta$.
(2) $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow with period $2\pi p/\theta$.
(3) The Pinsker factor of $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a rotation with period $2\pi p/\theta$.

Before the proof of the theorem, let us prove that constant suspensions over Bernoulli automorphisms are the same as the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mu, \{T^t\})$ *be a measurable flow. The following are equivalent:*

(1) T *is isomorphic to a constant suspension over a Bernoulli automorphism.*
(2) T *is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that the roof function is $\equiv 1$. Then we can write $\mathsf{T} = (\Sigma_1, \mu, \{T^t\})$, $T^t(x, s) = (S^{\lfloor t+s \rfloor}(x), t + s - \lfloor t+s \rfloor)$, where:

$\circ$ (Σ, ν, S) is a Bernoulli automorphism.
$\circ$ Σ_1 is the suspension space over Σ with roof function $\equiv 1$.
$\circ$ $\mu = \int_\Sigma \int_0^1 \delta_t dt d\nu(x)$.

By Ornstein Theory, (Σ, ν, S) embeds into a Bernoulli flow $(\Sigma, \nu, \{S^t\})$, see [Orn70a]. Let $\{R^t\}$ be the rotational flow with period 1. We claim that T is isomorphic to $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{T}, \nu \times dt, \{S^t \times R^t\})$, the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow. The conjugacy is the bijection $\rho : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma \times \mathbb{T}$, $\rho(x, s) = (S^s(x), s \pmod 1)$. First note that ρ is well-defined since $\rho(x, 1) = (S^1(x), 0) = (Sx, 0) = \rho(Sx, 0)$. Also:

$$(\rho \circ T^t)(x, s) = \rho(S^{\lfloor t+s \rfloor}(x), t + s - \lfloor t+s \rfloor) = (S^{t+s}(x), t + s \pmod 1)$$
$$= (S^t \times R^t)(S^s(x), s \pmod 1) = [(S^t \times R^t) \circ \rho](x, s).$$

For all measurable $A \subset \Sigma$ and interval $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ not containing zero, $(\mu \circ \rho^{-1})(A \times I) = \mu(A \times I) = \nu(A) \cdot |I|$, hence $\mu \circ \rho^{-1} = \nu \times dt$, which completes the proof that ρ is a conjugacy between T and $\{S^t \times R^t\}$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). With the same notation as above, assume that $\mathsf{T} = (\Sigma \times \mathbb{T}, \nu \times dt, \{S^t \times R^t\})$. Then T is isomorphic to the suspension flow $(\Sigma_1, \mu, \{T^t\})$, where the basis dynamics is the Bernoulli automorphism (Σ, ν, S^1). The conjugacy is the same ρ as above, and the proof is analogous to (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Part (1) is the content of [LS, Theorem 7.2]. Denote this TMF by $\sigma_{\widetilde{r}} : \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\widetilde{r}} \to \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\widetilde{r}}$, with $\widetilde{r} \equiv 2\pi/\theta$.

Let p denote the period of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. Recall from page 4 that, using the spectral decomposition of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ [Kit98], $\sigma_{\widetilde{r}} : \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\widetilde{r}} \to \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\widetilde{r}}$ is topologically conjugate to a TMF $\sigma_{\widehat{r}} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\widehat{r}} \to \widehat{\Sigma}_{\widehat{r}}$ where $\sigma : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ is topologically mixing, and $\widehat{r} = \widetilde{r}_p = 2\pi p/\theta =: \alpha$.

Let $\widehat{\mu}$ be the measure on $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\widehat{r}}$ corresponding to μ, and let $\widehat{\nu}$ be the induced measure of $\widehat{\mu}$. $\widehat{\nu}$ is an equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous potential on $\widehat{\Sigma}$ with finite pressure. Since $\sigma : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ is topologically mixing and $\widehat{\Sigma}$ is not a singleton, $\sigma : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ is Bernoulli [Bow75, Sar11]. By Lemma 4.8, $\sigma_{\widehat{r}} : \widehat{\Sigma}_{\widehat{r}} \to \widehat{\Sigma}_{\widehat{r}}$ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli flow and a rotational flow with period α.

Since the Pinsker factor of a direct product is isomorphic to the direct product of the Pinsker factors [Tho02, Prop. 4.4], and since Bernoulli flows have trivial

Pinsker factor, it follows that the Pinsker factor of $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{P}(R^t) = \mathscr{P}(R^1) = R^1$, a rotation with period $2\pi p/\theta$. $\square$

5. The Bernoulli property

We have proved so far that if $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ is a topologically transitive TMF and μ is an equilibrium measure of a bounded Hölder continuous potential with finite pressure, then $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a Bernoulli flow times a rotational flow when r is arithmetic, and $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is a K flow when r is not arithmetic. The purpose of this section is to complete the picture and prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF. If r is not arithmetic, then for every equilibrium measure μ of a bounded Hölder continuous function with finite pressure $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is a Bernoulli flow.*

The theorem above strengthens Theorem 4.6 by saying that for equilibrium measures of bounded Hölder potentials with finite pressure, weak mixing is equivalent to the Bernoulli property.

Review of general theory. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ be a non-atomic Lebesgue probability space, and let $\alpha = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_N \rangle$ and $\beta = \langle B_1, \ldots, B_N \rangle$ be ordered partitions of $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$. Given $x \in X$, define $\alpha(x) := i$ if $x \in A_i$.

PARTITION DISTANCE: $d(\alpha, \beta) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu(A_i \triangle B_i) = 2 \int 1_{[\alpha(x) \neq \beta(x)]} d\mu(x)$.

Let $\{\alpha_i\}_1^n$ be a finite sequence of ordered partitions of $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$, and let $\{\beta_i\}_1^n$ be a finite sequence of ordered partitions of another non-atomic Lebesgue probability space $(Y, \mathscr{C}, \nu)$. Suppose that each partition has N elements, say $\alpha_i = \langle A_1^i, \ldots, A_N^i \rangle$ and $\beta_i = \langle B_1^i, \ldots, B_N^i \rangle$.

SAME DISTRIBUTION: We say that $\{\alpha_i\}_1^n, \{\beta_i\}_1^n$ *have the same distribution*, and write $\{\alpha_i\}_1^n \sim \{\beta_i\}_1^n$, if

$$\mu[A_{i_1}^1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_n}^n] = \nu[B_{i_1}^1 \cap \cdots \cap B_{i_n}^n], \quad \forall(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^n.$$

This is equivalent to the existence of a measure preserving map $\theta : (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu) \to (Y, \mathscr{C}, \nu)$ such that $\theta[A_{i_1}^1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_n}^n] = B_{i_1}^1 \cap \cdots \cap B_{i_n}^n$ modulo ν, $\forall(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^n$. This notion can be weakened in the following way.

d–BAR DISTANCE: The *d–bar distance* between $\{\alpha_i\}_1^n, \{\beta_i\}_1^n$ is

$$\bar{d}(\{\alpha_i\}_1^n, \{\beta_i\}_1^n) := \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(\bar{\alpha}_i, \beta_i) : \begin{array}{l} \{\bar{\alpha}_i\}_1^n \text{ are ordered partitions of} \\ (Y, \mathscr{C}, \nu) \text{ s.t. } \{\bar{\alpha}_i\}_1^n \sim \{\alpha_i\}_1^n \end{array} \right\}.$$

To understand how the d–bar distance weakens the notion of same distribution, we first weaken the notion of measure preserving maps.

ε–MEASURE PRESERVING MAP: An invertible measurable map $\theta : (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu) \to (Y, \mathscr{C}, \nu)$ is called *ε–measure preserving* if $\exists E \in \mathscr{B}$, $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$, s.t. $\left| \frac{\nu(\theta(A))}{\mu(A)} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $A \subset X \setminus E$ measurable.

Lemma 5.2 ([OW73])**.** *If $\theta : (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu) \to (Y, \mathscr{C}, \nu)$ is ε–measure preserving s.t.*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{[\alpha_i(x) \neq \beta_i(\theta(x))]} \leq \varepsilon$$

on a set of measure $\geq 1 - \varepsilon$, then $\overline{d}(\{\alpha_i\}_1^n, \{\beta_i\}_1^n) \leq 16\varepsilon$.

In other words, $\{\alpha_i\}_1^n, \{\beta_i\}_1^n$ are close in d–bar distance if there exists an ε–measure preserving map θ that matches $\alpha_i(x)$ and $\beta_i(\theta(x))$ on the average, for most points. That is why the d–bar distance weakens the notion of same distribution.

We now explain the property we will use to prove an automorphism is Bernoulli. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T)$ be an automorphism. Given $A \in \mathscr{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, let $(A, \mathscr{B}_A, \mu_A)$ be the induced non-atomic Lebesgue probability space, i.e. $\mathscr{B}_A := \{B \cap A : B \in \mathscr{B}\}$ and $\mu_A(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot|A)$. Every partition α of $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ defines a *conditional partition* $\alpha|A = \{C \cap A : C \in \alpha\}$ of $(A, \mathscr{B}_A, \mu_A)$. Write "$\varepsilon$–a.e. $A \in \alpha$" when refering to a property that holds for a collection of atoms of α whose union has measure $\geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

VERY WEAK BERNOULLI PROPERTY[1]: α is called *very weak Bernoulli (VWB)* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ s.t. for all $n \geq 0$ and $N' \geq N \geq N_0$ it holds

$$\overline{d}\left(\{T^{-i}\alpha\}_1^n, \{T^{-i}\alpha|A\}_1^n\right) < \varepsilon \ \text{ for } \varepsilon\text{–a.e. } A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} T^k \alpha.$$

$\vee$ denotes the joining of partitions. Taking $A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} T^k \alpha$ means that we are fixing the far past of T.

Theorem 5.3 ([Orn70a, Orn70b, OW73])**.** *Let $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \{T^t\})$ be a probability preserving measurable flow. If for some t, $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, T^t)$ has an increasing sequence of VWB partitions which generate $\mathscr{B}$, then T is a Bernoulli flow.*

Construction of VWB partitions for equilibrium measures [OW73, Rat74]**.** Let $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ be a topologically transitive TMF. Throughout this section we assume that r is *not* arithmetic and independent of the *past* (which we can assume because of Lemma 2.2). Fix an equilibrium measure μ of a bounded Hölder continuous potential with finite pressure, and let ν be the induced measure of μ, i.e. $\mu = \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma r d\nu} \int_\Sigma \int_0^{r(x)} \delta_{(x,t)} dt d\nu(x)$.

Let $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma$ be the projections on the first and second coordinates, respectively. We now define three σ–algebras:

○ α = partition of Σ into cylinders of length one at the zeroth position. $\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-i} \alpha$ is the σ–algebra with information on the coordinates x_0^∞ of $x \in \Sigma$.
○ $\mathscr{F}_{-n} := \pi_1^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=-n}^{\infty} \sigma^{-i} \alpha)$, the σ–algebra with information on x_{-n}^∞ of $(x, t) \in \Sigma_r$.
○ $\mathscr{H} := \pi_2^{-1}[\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})]$, where $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Borel σ–algebra of $\mathbb{R}$. $\mathscr{H}$ is the σ–algebra with information on t of $(x, t) \in \Sigma_r$.

We will abuse notation and write $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^\infty, t)$ instead of $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\cdot|\mathscr{F}_{-n} \vee \mathscr{H})(x, t)$ and $\mu(E|x_{-n}^\infty, t)$ instead of $\mathbb{E}_\mu(1_E|\mathscr{F}_{-n} \vee \mathscr{H})(x, t)$. Since r is independent of past coordinates, it can be easily checked that for all $n \geq 0$:

$$\mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^\infty, t) = 1_{[r(x_0^\infty) > t]}(x, t) \cdot [\nu(\cdot|x_{-n}^\infty) \times \delta_t] \quad \text{for } \mu\text{–a.e. } (x, t). \qquad (5.1)$$

---

[1]This is the formulation in [OW73] and it implies the definition in [Orn74]. The two definitions are equivalent for Bernoulli automorphisms, since in this case every partition is VWB.

Actually, there is a way to make sense of the right-hand-side for every (x, t): use (3.1) to define $\nu(\cdot | x_0^\infty)$ for all x, and the identity $\nu \circ \sigma^{-n} = \nu$ to extend to other n:

$$\nu(E | x_{-n}^\infty) := \nu(\sigma^{-n}(E) | \sigma^{-n}(x)_0^\infty). \tag{5.2}$$

Given an admissible word $\underline{a}$, let $\rho(\underline{a}) := \inf\{r(x) : x_{-n}^n = \underline{a}\}$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$, $n \geq 0$. Consider the following definitions.

(n, δ)–CUBE: A set $C = \{(x, t) : x_{-n}^n = \underline{a}, t \in [\tau, \tau + \delta)\}$, where $\underline{a}$ is an admissible word of length $2n + 1$ and $\tau \geq 0$ s.t. $[\tau, \tau + \delta) \subset [0, \rho(\underline{a}))$.

CANONICAL PARTITION INTO (n, δ)–CUBES: A finite or countable partition whose atoms are (n, δ)–cubes, with the exception of an atom of the form $\{(x, t) : \rho(x_{-n}^n) \leq t < r(x)\}$ with measure $\leq \delta$.

PSEUDO-CANONICAL PARTITION INTO (n, δ)–CUBES: A finite partition that can be refined to a canonical partition into (n, δ)–cubes.

Lemma 5.4 ([Rat74]). *If $n_0 \geq 0$ and $0 < t_0 < \inf(r)$, then every pseudo-canonical partition into (n_0, δ_0)–cubes is very weak Bernoulli for $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r^{t_0}, \mu)$.*

Proof. This was proved (with different terminology and notation) in [OW73] for geodesic flows, and in [Rat74] for TMF built on subshifts of finite type. What follows is a detailed exposition of the argument in [Rat74], with some missing details added, and one (minor) point clarified.

Let γ be a pseudo-canonical partition into (n_0, δ_0)–cubes, and take $N' \geq N > \frac{n_0}{t_0} \sup(r)$. Every $A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} \sigma_r^{t_0 k} \gamma$ is a countable union of sets of the form

$$\{(x, t) : x \in D_i, a_i(x) \leq t < b_i(x)\},$$

where D_i are cylinders in $\bigvee_{i=n_1(i)}^{n_2(i)} \sigma^i \alpha$ with

$$\left\lfloor \frac{t_0 N}{\sup(r)} \right\rfloor - n_0 - 1 \leq n_1(i) \leq n_2(i) \leq \left\lceil \frac{t_0 N'}{\inf(r)} \right\rceil + n_0 + 1, \tag{5.3}$$

and a_i, b_i are independent of the past coordinates.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $n \geq 0, \delta \in (0, 1)$ to be determined later. Partition Σ_r into finitely many (n, δ)–cubes $\mathcal{C}_{n,\delta} := \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$ plus an additional "error set" with measure $\leq \delta$.

STEP 1. $\exists N_0 = N_0(n, \delta) > 0$ *s.t. for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,\delta}$, for all $N' \geq N \geq N_0$, and for δ–a.e. $A \in \bigvee_{n=N}^{N'} \sigma_r^{t_0 n} \gamma$, it holds $\left| \frac{\mu(A \cap C)}{\mu(A)\mu(C)} - 1 \right| < \delta$.*

Proof. Since r is not arithmetic, $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r^{t_0}, \mu)$ is a K automorphism (Theorem 4.6). Now use Theorem 4.2 and the finiteness of $\mathcal{C}_{n,\delta}$.

STEP 2. *For all A, C as in step 1, there is $(z, s) \in A \cap C$ s.t. $\mu(A \cap C | z_{-n}^\infty, s) > 0$ and $\mu(\cdot | z_{-n}^\infty, s)$ is non-atomic. We choose one such pair for each A, C and write $(z, s) := (z(A, C), s(A, C))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 and (5.2), $\nu(\cdot | z_{-n}^\infty)$ is non-atomic for ν–a.e. z, so $\mu(\cdot | z_{-n}^\infty, s) = 1_{[r(x_0^\infty) > s]}[\nu(\cdot | z_{-n}^\infty) \times \delta_t]$ is non-atomic for μ–a.e. $(z, s) \in A \cap C$. Also $\left| \frac{\mu(A \cap C)}{\mu(A)\mu(C)} - 1 \right| < \delta < 1 \Rightarrow \mu(A \cap C) > 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A \cap C | z_{-n}^\infty, s) > 0$ for a subset $(z, s) \in A \cap C$ of positive μ–measure. Therefore there is $(z, s) \in A \cap C$ satisfying step 2.

Given $\delta > 0$, let us write $a = e^{\pm \delta}$ whenever $e^{-\delta} \leq a \leq e^\delta$.

STEP 3. *Given $\delta > 0$, the following holds for all n large enough. If $(x,t),(z,s) \in C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,\delta}$, then the map $\Theta_{x,t}^{z,s} : (C, \mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^{\infty},t)) \to (C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s))$, $\Theta_{x,t}^{z,s}(y,t) = (\vartheta(y),s)$, where $\vartheta(y) = (y_{-\infty}^{-n-1}, z_{-n}^{\infty})$, has Radon-Nikodym derivative equal to $e^{\pm\delta}$.*

Proof. Write $C = B \times I$, where $B =_{-n} [b_{-n}, \ldots, b_n]$ contains x, z and I is an interval of length δ containing t, s. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\Theta_{x,t}^{z,s}$ equals the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\vartheta : (B, \nu(\cdot|x_{-n}^{\infty})) \to (B, \nu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty}))$. To estimate this latter derivative, let $B' :=_{-(n+m)} [b_{-(n+m)}, \ldots, b_n] \subset B$ be a cylinder, and let $\varepsilon_n := \sum_{k \geq n} \text{var}_k(\log g)$. By (3.1) and (5.2),

$$\frac{\nu(B'|x_{-n}^{\infty})}{\nu(\vartheta(B')|z_{-n}^{\infty})} = \frac{g_m(b_{-(n+m)}^n, x_{n+1}^{\infty})}{g_m(b_{-(n+m)}^n, z_{n+1}^{\infty})} = e^{\pm\varepsilon_n},$$

thus $\nu(B'|x_{-n}^{\infty}) = e^{\pm\varepsilon_n}\nu(\vartheta(B')|z_{-n}^{\infty})$ for every cylinder $B' \subset B$. Since the cylinders generate the σ–algebra of Borel sets of B, $\nu(E|x_{-n}^{\infty}) = e^{\pm\varepsilon_n}\nu(\vartheta(E)|z_{-n}^{\infty})$ for all Borel sets $E \subset B$, hence the Radon-Nikodym derivative of ϑ equals $e^{\pm\varepsilon_n}$. Since $\log g$ is Hölder continuous, $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0$, thus $\varepsilon_n < \delta$ for all n large enough.

STEP 4. *For all $A, C, (z,s)$ as in steps 1–2, there is an invertible bi-measurable map $\Psi : (C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s)) \to (A \cap C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s))$ with constant Radon-Nikodym derivative. Call the constant $D(A,C)$.*

Proof. Any two non-atomic Lebesgue probability spaces are measure theoretically isomorphic. $(C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s))$ and $(A \cap C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s))$ are non-atomic Lebesgue measure spaces, so instead of an isomorphism there is an invertible bi-measurable map $\Psi : (C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s)) \to (A \cap C, \mu(\cdot|z_{-n}^{\infty},s))$ with constant Radon-Nikodym derivative equal to $D(A,C) := \frac{\mu(A \cap C|z_{-n}^{\infty},s)}{\mu(C|z_{-n}^{\infty},s)}$.

Let $\Omega := \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i$, $\mu(\Omega) > 1 - \delta$.

STEP 5. *If δ is sufficiently small, n is sufficiently large, and $N_0 = N_0(n,\delta)$ as in step 1, then for all $N' \geq N \geq N_0$, for δ–a.e. $A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} \sigma^{t \circ k}\gamma$, there is a map $\Xi : (\Sigma_r, \mu) \to (A, \mu(\cdot|A))$ s.t.*
(1) $\Xi(x,t) = (y,t)$ with $y_{-n}^{\infty} = x_{-n}^{\infty}$ for $(x,t) \in \Omega$,
(2) Ξ is invertible and bi-measurable,
(3) Ξ is 5δ–measure preserving.

Proof. For each $A, C, (z,s)$ as in steps 1–2, define $\Xi \restriction_C : C \to A \cap C$ by

$$\Xi(x,t) = (\Theta_{z,s}^{x,t} \circ \Psi \circ \Theta_{x,t}^{z,s})(x,t).$$

Now define Ξ on $\Sigma_r \setminus \Omega$ to take values on $A \setminus \Omega$ via a bijective measure preserving map. Thus (1) holds[2].

To prove (2), first note that $C \in \mathscr{F}_{-n} \vee \mathscr{H}$, hence we can write

$$\mu \restriction_C = \text{const} \int_C \mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^{\infty},t)d\mu(x,t).$$

By steps 3–4, $\Xi \restriction_C : (C, \mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^{\infty},t)) \to (A \cap C, \mu(\cdot|x_{-n}^{\infty},t))$ is an absolutely continuous bijection, thus $\Xi \restriction_C : (C, \mu) \to (A \cap C, \mu)$ is bijective a.e., which gives (2).

---

[2]Our construction of Ξ differs from [Rat74], since it is not clear to us that her construction leads to a measurable map. Instead, we follow the construction used in [OW73].

Let us now prove (3). By steps 3–4, $\Xi \upharpoonright_C \colon (C, \mu(\cdot | x_{-n}^\infty, t)) \to (A \cap C, \mu(\cdot | x_{-n}^\infty, t))$ has Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{\pm 2\delta} D(A, C)$, thus if $E \subset C$ is measurable then

$$\mu(\Xi(E)) = \text{const} \int_C \mu(\Xi(E) | x_{-n}^\infty, t) d\mu(x, t)$$

$$= \text{const} \, e^{\pm 2\delta} D(A, C) \int_C \mu(E | x_{-n}^\infty, t) d\mu(x, t) = \text{const} \, e^{\pm 2\delta} D(A, C) \mu(E).$$

Therefore $\Xi \colon C \to A \cap C$ is absolutely continuous with Radon-Nikodym derivative equal to $e^{\pm 2\delta} K$ for some constant $K = K(A, C)$. Since Ξ is a bijection a.e., $K = e^{\pm 2\delta} \frac{\mu(A \cap C)}{\mu(C)}$. If δ is so small that $1 - \delta > e^{-2\delta}$, step 1 gives that $K = e^{\pm 4\delta} \mu(A)$. Since $C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,\delta}$ is arbitrary, $\Xi \upharpoonright_\Omega \colon (\Omega, \mu) \to (A \cap \Omega, \mu)$ has Radon-Nikodym derivative equal to $e^{\pm 4\delta} \mu(A)$. After normalizing the measure of A, we find that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\Xi \colon (\Sigma_r, \mu) \to (A, \mu(\cdot | A))$ equals $e^{\pm 4\delta}$ on Ω. If δ is so small $e^{4\delta} < 1 + 5\delta$, we conclude that Ξ is 5δ–measure preserving.

STEP 6. *If δ is sufficiently small and n is sufficiently large, then for all $m \geq 0$, for all $N' \geq N \geq N_0(n, \delta)$, and for δ–a.e. $A \in \bigvee_{i=N}^{N'} \sigma_r^{it_0} \gamma$,*

$$\frac{1}{m} \#\{1 \leq i \leq m : \sigma_r^{it_0}(x, t), \sigma_r^{it_0}(\Xi(x, t)) \text{ are in different } \gamma\text{–atoms}\} < \varepsilon$$

holds for a set $(x, t) \in \Sigma_r$ of measure $\geq 1 - \delta$.

Proof. This follows, as in [OW73, Rat74], from the fact that $\Xi(x, t) = (y, t)$ with $y_{-n}^\infty = x_{-n}^\infty$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega$. Let us recall the argument.

Let $\widehat{\gamma}$ denote the (countable) canonical partition into (n_0, δ_0)–cubes which refines γ, and assume that $n > n_0$. If $\sigma_r^{it_0}(x, t), \sigma_r^{it_0}(y, s)$ belong to different γ–atoms, then they belong to different $\widehat{\gamma}$–atoms. At least one of these atoms is an (n_0, δ_0)–cube of the form $C := B \times [a, a + \delta_0]$ with $B \in \bigvee_{j=-n_0}^{n_0} \sigma^j \alpha$. Using that $n > n_0$, that r is independent of the past, and that $x_{-n}^\infty = y_{-n}^\infty$, we get that $\sigma_r^{it_0}(x, t)$ belongs to $\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(C) := \bigcup_{|\theta| < \delta} \sigma_r^\theta(C)$. Let $\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(\widehat{\gamma})$ be the union of all $\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(C)$, $C \in \widehat{\gamma}$ a (n, δ)–cube.

Defining $Z_m(x, t) := \frac{1}{m} \#\{1 \leq i \leq m : \sigma_r^{it_0}(x, t), \sigma_r^{it_0}(\Xi(x, t)) \text{ are in different } \gamma\text{–atoms}\}$ and $Y_m(x, t) := \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(\widehat{\gamma})}(\sigma_r^{it_0}(x, t))$, the previous paragraph and the Markov inequality imply that

$$\mu[Z_m \geq \varepsilon] \leq \mu[Y_m \geq \varepsilon m] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon m} \int Y_m d\mu \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \mu[\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(\widehat{\gamma})].$$

If we choose δ so small that $\mu[\sigma_r^{[-\delta, \delta]}(\widehat{\gamma})] < \varepsilon^2$, then $\mu[Z_m \geq \varepsilon] < \varepsilon$ as required.

Completion of the proof of Lemma 5.4. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let δ be sufficiently small and n sufficiently large s.t. steps 1–6 hold, and $100\delta < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 5.2, for all $m \geq 0$, for all $N' \geq N \geq N_0(n, \delta)$, and for δ–a.e. $A \in \bigvee_{k=N}^{N'} \sigma^{kt_0} \gamma$ it holds $\overline{d}(\{\sigma_r^{-it_0} \gamma\}_1^m, \{\sigma_r^{-it_0} \gamma | A\}_1^m) < 16 \times 5\delta < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, γ is VWB. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Fix $t_0 \neq 0$, and construct an increasing sequence of pseudo-canonical partitions into (n_k, δ_k)–cubes, with $n_k \to \infty$ and $\delta_k \to 0$. This sequence of partitions generates the full σ–algebra of Σ_r. Since each of these pseudo-canonical partitions is VWB for $\sigma_r^{t_0}$ (Lemma 5.4), it follows from Ornstein Theory [Orn70a, Orn70b, OW73] that $(\Sigma_r, \sigma_r, \mu)$ is a Bernoulli flow. $\square$

Part 2. Smooth Flows in Three Dimensions

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let M be a three dimensional compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold, let $X : M \to TM$ be a non-vanishing $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ vector field, and let $\{T^t\}$ be the flow on M generated by X. Let $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded Hölder continuous function, and let ν be an equilibrium measure of F. Our task is to show that ν has at most countably many ergodic components ν_i with positive entropy, and that $\{T^t\}$ is Bernoulli up to a possible period with respect to each ν_i.

That ν has at most countably many ergodic components with positive entropy was proved in [LS] in the special case $F \equiv 0$. The same proof works for general bounded Hölder continuous F almost verbatim. Let us recap the idea. For a fixed $\chi > 0$, we prove that F has at most countably many χ–hyperbolic[3] ergodic equilibrium measures. This happens because every ergodic equilibrium measure on a TMS is carried by a topologically transitive TMS. If there were uncountably many χ–hyperbolic equilibrium measures for F, then their convex combination would generate a χ–hyperbolic equilibrium measure on a TMS with uncountably many ergodic components. Taking the union over $\chi_n = 1/n$ gives countability.

It remains to show that if ν is ergodic with positive entropy, then ν is Bernoulli up to a possible period. Given a TMF $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$, let

$$\Sigma_r^{\#} := \{(x,t) \in \Sigma_r : \{x_i\}_{i>0}, \{x_i\}_{i<0} \text{ have constant subsequences}\}.$$

By the Poincaré recurrence theorem, $\Sigma_r^{\#}$ has full measure for every σ_r–invariant probability measure.

Apply [LS, Theorem 1.2] to the flow $(M, \nu, \{T^t\})$ to get a TMF $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ and a Hölder continuous map $\pi_r : \Sigma_r \to M$ s.t.:

(1) $\pi_r \circ \sigma_r^t = T^t \circ \pi_r, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $\pi_r[\Sigma_r^{\#}]$ has full ν–measure.
(3) $\pi_r : \Sigma_r^{\#} \to M$ is finite-to-one.

Notice that $\Phi := F \circ \pi_r$ is a bounded Hölder continuous function. Arguing as in [LS, Theorem 6.2], one can prove that Φ has an ergodic equilibrium measure μ s.t. $\mu \circ \pi_r^{-1} = \nu$. By ergodicity, μ is carried by a topologically transitive TMF of Σ_r. By Theorems 4.2 and 5.1, μ is Bernoulli up to a period. Therefore $(M, \nu, \{T^t\})$ is a finite-to-one factor of a flow which is Bernoulli up to a period, so it is enough to prove the lemma below.

Lemma 6.1. *If a measurable flow is Bernoulli up to a period, then so are its finite-to-one factors.*

Proof. Suppose $\pi : X \to Y$ is a finite-to-one factor map between $\mathsf{T} = (X, \mu, \{T^t\})$ and $\mathsf{S} = (Y, \eta, \{S^t\})$. Suppose T is Bernoulli up to a period.

If T is Bernoulli, then T^1 is Bernoulli. Since factors of Bernoulli automorphisms are Bernoulli automorphisms [Orn70c], S^1 is a Bernoulli automorphism. By [Orn70a], S is a Bernoulli flow.

Assume that T is isomorphic to a Bernoulli flow times a rotational flow. By Lemma 4.8, it is enough to prove the claim below.

CLAIM: If T is a constant suspension over a Bernoulli automorphism, then S is a constant suspension over a Bernoulli automorphism.

---

[3]ν is χ–hyperbolic if ν–a.e. point has one Lyapunov exponent $> \chi$ and another $< -\chi$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that the roof function of T is $\equiv 1$, i.e. $\mathsf{T} = (\Sigma_1, \mu, \{T^t\})$ where $T^t(x, s) = (\tau^{\lfloor t+s \rfloor}(x), t + s - \lfloor t + s \rfloor)$, $\mu = \int_\Sigma \int_0^1 \delta_{(x,t)} dt d\mu_0(x)$, and (Σ, μ_0, τ) is a Bernoulli automorphism.

Let $Y_0 := \pi(\Sigma \times \{0\})$. We claim that Y_0 is a Poincaré section for S. For each $y \in \pi(\Sigma_1)$, let $I_y := \{t > 0 : S^t(y) \in Y_0\}$.

○ $I_y \neq \varnothing$: $y = \pi(x, s) \Rightarrow 1 - s \in I_y$.
○ $I_y \cap (0, 1)$ is finite: if $S^{t_n}(y) = \pi(x_n, 0)$ for infinitely many t_n, x_n, then y has infinitely many pre-images $(\tau^{-1}(x_n), 1 - t_n)$.
○ I_y is infinite: $y = \pi(x, t) \Rightarrow S^{n-t}(y) = \pi(\tau^n(x), 0) \Rightarrow n - t \in I_y, \forall n > 0$.

By symmetry, $\{t < 0 : S^t(y) \in Y_0\}$ is non-empty, infinite, and has no accumulation points. Therefore Y_0 is a Poincaré section for S.

$r(y) := \min\{t > 0 : S^t(y) \in Y_0\}$ is well-defined and positive η–a.e. Using that π commutes T and S, we have $r \circ S^1 = r$, thus r is constant η–a.e. Let $U : Y_0 \to Y_0$, $U(y) = S^{r(y)}(y)$, and let $\eta_0 := (\mu_0 \times \delta_0) \circ \pi^{-1}$. S is a constant suspension over (Y_0, η_0, U). But (Y_0, η_0, U) is a factor of (Σ, μ_0, τ), hence it is a Bernoulli automorphism.

$\square$

7. Reeb flows

Let M be a compact three dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold without boundary, equipped with the following objects [Gei08]:

A Contact form: A smooth 1–form α on M s.t. $\omega := \alpha \wedge d\alpha$ is a volume form. In this case, $\ker(d\alpha)_x := \{v \in T_x M : d\alpha(v, \cdot) \equiv 0\}$ is one-dimensional for all $x \in M$.

The Reeb vector field (of α): The unique vector field X s.t. $X_x \in \ker(d\alpha)_x$ and $\alpha(X_x) = 1$ for all $x \in M$. Necessarily $i_X \omega = d\alpha$.

The Reeb flow (of α): The flow $\{T^t\}$ generated by the Reeb vector field of α. This is a smooth flow with positive speed. $\{T^t\}$ preserves α, i.e. $\alpha(dT^t v) = \alpha(v)$ for all v, since $\frac{d}{dt}(T^t)^* \alpha = (T^t)^* L_X \alpha = (T^t)^*[di_X \alpha + i_X(d\alpha)] = (T^t)^*[0 + 0] = 0$. This setup covers geodesic flows of surfaces, and Hamiltonian flows of a system with two degrees of freedom restricted to regular energy surfaces [AM78].

We now add the assumption that $\{T^t\}$ has positive topological entropy. Let μ be an ergodic equilibrium measure of a Hölder continuous potential with positive metric entropy. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathsf{T} = (M, \mu, \{T^t\})$ is Bernoulli up to a period. We will show that T is Bernoulli. A similar result for absolutely continuous measures is due to Katok [Kat94, Theorem 3.6].

In dimension three, every ergodic invariant probability measure with positive metric entropy is non-uniformly hyperbolic [Rue78a], hence there is a T–invariant set $M_0 \subset M$ of full μ–measure s.t. for all $x \in M_0$ we have $T_x M = E^u(x) \oplus E^s(x) \oplus X(x)$ where $E^u(x), E^s(x)$ are one-dimensional linear subspaces satisfying:

○ $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|dT_x^t v\| < 0$ for all non-zero $v \in E^s(x)$,
○ $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|dT_x^{-t} v\| < 0$ for all non-zero $v \in E^u(x)$,
○ $dT_x^t E^s(x) = E^s(T^t(x))$ and $dT_x^t E^u(x) = E^u(T^t(x)), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,
○ There is an immersed smooth curve $W^s(x) \ni x$ s.t. $T_y W^s(x) = E^s(y)$ and $d(T^t(x), T^t(y)) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} 0, \forall y \in W^s(x)$. An analogous result holds for $W^u(x)$.

See [BP07, §8.2].

QUADRILATERAL: A *quadrilateral* is a closed embedded curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ s.t. there are four distinct points $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in M_0$ with:

○ $x_{i+1} \in W^{\tau_i}(x_i)$ for some $\tau_i \in \{s, u\}$ (here $x_4 = x_0$),
○ If $\gamma(t_i) = x_i$, then $\gamma \restriction_{(t_i, t_{i+1})}$ is smooth with $\gamma'(t) \in E^{\tau_i}(\gamma(t))$, $\forall t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$.

   Quadrilaterals are the four-legged geometrical version of *su*–loops considered in page 14. Call $x_0, \ldots, x_3$ the *vertices* of the quadrilateral. The next lemma is standard.

Lemma 7.1. *Let* $\mathsf{T} = (M, \mu, \{T^t\})$ *be as above. Then* $E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x) = \ker(\alpha_x)$, $\forall x \in M_0$. *In particular, if* γ *is a quadrilateral then* $\int_\gamma \alpha = 0$.

Proof. Let $v \in E^s(x)$. By the T–invariance of α, $\alpha(v) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \alpha(dT^t v) = 0$, hence $E^s(x) \subset \ker(\alpha_x)$. Since contact forms are non-degenerate, $\dim \ker(\alpha_x) = 2$ whence $E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x) = \ker(\alpha_x)$. If γ is a quadrilateral then $\gamma'(t) \in E^s(\gamma(t)) \oplus E^u(\gamma(t))$ except at the vertices, therefore $\int_\gamma \alpha = \int_0^1 \alpha(\gamma'(t))dt = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using the same notation of section 6, there is a topological Markov flow $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ and a Hölder continuous map $\pi_r : \Sigma_r \to M$ s.t.:

(1) $\pi_r \circ \sigma_r^t = T^t \circ \pi_r$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(2) $\pi_r[\Sigma_r^\#]$ has full μ–measure,
(3) $\pi_r : \Sigma_r^\# \to M$ is finite-to-one,
(4) $(\Sigma_r, \mu \circ \pi_r^{-1}, \sigma_r)$ is Bernoulli up to a period, and it has a period iff r is arithmetic, iff the holonomy group equals $c\mathbb{Z}$ for some $c > 0$ (see Theorem 4.6).

Assume by way of contradiction that there is a period.

   Let ν be the induced measure of $\mu \circ \pi_r^{-1}$, then ν is globally supported on Σ and has local product structure (Theorem 3.5). Let ν_x^s, ν_x^u be the projection measures of ν, as in (3.2). These are globally supported measures on $W_{\text{loc}}^s(x), W_{\text{loc}}^u(x)$.

   Let E be the set constructed on page 14, then the holonomy group equals the closure of the set of weights of *su*–loops with vertices in E. The assumption that T has a period translates to the holonomy group being equal to $c\mathbb{Z}$ with $c > 0$.

   The Bowen-Marcus cocycle $P^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Hölder continuous (Lemma 2.3(4)), therefore $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow P^\tau(x, y) < c/5$ wherever defined. We claim there exist four distinct points $w_0, \ldots, w_3 \in E$ s.t. $d(w_i, w_j) < \delta$ for all i, j and $\gamma_0 = \langle w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_0 \rangle$ is a *su*–loop with $P(\gamma_0) = 0$. This can be done as follows:

○ Fix $x, y \in E$ s.t. $d(x, y) < \delta$ and $y \notin W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$.
○ By Claim 1 of Theorem 4.6, $\nu_x^s(E^c) = \nu_y^s(E^c) = 0$, hence $\{w \in E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(x) : [w, y] \in E\}$ has full ν_x^s–measure.
○ ν_x^s is globally supported on $W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$, thus there exist $w_0, w_1 \in \{w \in E \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(x) : [w, y] \in E\}$ with $d(w_0, w_1) < \delta$. Take $w_2 = [w_1, y]$, $w_3 = [w_0, y]$.
○ $\gamma_0 = \langle w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_0 \rangle$ is a *su*–loop with $|P(\gamma_0)| < \frac{4c}{5} < c \Rightarrow P(\gamma_0) = 0$.

Let $\widehat{\gamma}_0$ be the lifted *su*–path of γ_0, and $\gamma := \pi_r(\widehat{\gamma}_0)$. Since $\pi_r : \Sigma_r^\# \to M$ is finite-to-one and ν_x^s, ν_x^u have global support, we can choose w_0, w_1 so that $\text{diam}(\gamma) < \delta$.

   We claim that if δ, ε are small enough, then for every $|t| < \varepsilon$, the quadrilateral $T^t\gamma$ is the boundary of a piecewise smooth *immersed* surface $T^t U$ s.t.:

○ $T^t U$ is the union of compact smooth *embedded* surfaces $T^t U_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.
○ $T^t U_i$ are uniformly transverse to the Reeb vector field.
○ $T^t U_i$ have piecewise smooth boundaries and $\int_{T^t \gamma} = \int_{T^t(\partial U)} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \int_{T^t(\partial U_i)}$.

Had γ been an euclidean rectangle, we could take U to be its interior, and U_i the four triangles described by the principal diagonals. The general case is similar. It is enough to treat $t = 0$, since the case of small t follows from uniform transversality.

Let $w_0, \ldots, w_3 \in {}_{-N}[a_{-N}, \ldots, a_N]$, where N is large to be chosen later. Let $u_0, \ldots, u_3$ be the vertices of $\widehat{\gamma}_0$. If δ is small enough, then γ is covered by a chart of M and we can think of $\vec{u}_i := u_i, \vec{\gamma}(t) := \gamma(t)$ as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$. If N is sufficiently large, then $\vec{\gamma}'(t)$ is nearly parallel to $E^u(u_0)$ or $E^s(u_0)$ at all points. Therefore $\vec{\gamma}$ is made of four curves which are C^1 close to the sides of a parallelogram s.t. $\vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_0$, $\vec{u}_2 - \vec{u}_3$ are nearly parallel to $E^s(u_0)$ and $\vec{u}_2 - \vec{u}_1$, $\vec{u}_3 - \vec{u}_0$ are nearly parallel to $E^u(u_0)$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that these vectors have norm in $(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$. Let $\varepsilon_0 := C^1$ distance between γ and a parallelogram with sides $\vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_0$ and $\vec{u}_3 - \vec{u}_0$. Then $\varepsilon_0 \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Let $\vec{z} := \frac{1}{4}(\vec{u}_0 + \cdots + \vec{u}_4)$, then $\vec{z} - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u}_i + \vec{u}_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{u}_{i-1} - \vec{u}_i) + O(\varepsilon_0)$, where $\vec{u}_i := \vec{u}_{i(\mathrm{mod}\ 4)}$ (the approximation is an identity for real parallelograms). We define U_i to be the cone with vertex z and base $\vec{\gamma}_i$, where $\vec{\gamma}_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the "leg" of $\vec{\gamma}$ from $\vec{u}_i$ to $\vec{u}_{i+1}$:

$$U_i := \{\vec{x}_i(s, t) := s\vec{\gamma}_i(t) + (1 - s)\vec{z} : s, t \in [0, 1]\}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, 3.$$

U_i are embedded, and $\int_\gamma = \int_{\partial U} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \int_{\partial U_i}$. At $\vec{x}_i(s, t)$, U_i is perpendicular to

$$\vec{n} = (\vec{\gamma}_i(t) - \vec{z}) \times \gamma_i'(t) = \left(\vec{\gamma}_i(t) - \frac{\vec{u}_i + \vec{u}_{i+1}}{2}\right) \times \vec{\gamma}_i'(t) + \left(\frac{\vec{u}_i + \vec{u}_{i+1}}{2} - \vec{z}\right) \times \vec{\gamma}_i'(t).$$

The first summand is $O(\varepsilon_0|\vec{\gamma}_i'(t)|)$, being the product of vectors at angle $O(\varepsilon_0)$. The second summand is of size $\sim |\vec{\gamma}_i'(t)|$ and ε_0–parallel to $\vec{e}^u(u_0) \times \vec{e}^s(u_0)$. By Lemma 7.1, U_i is almost parallel to $\ker(\alpha)$, whence uniformly transverse to the Reeb flow.

Fix $t_0 > 0$ so small that $D_i := \bigcup_{t \in [0, t_0]} T^t U_i$ is a flow box. So $0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^3 \int_{D_i} \omega = \sum_{i=0}^3 \int_0^{t_0} \left(\int_{T^t U_i} i_X \omega\right) dt = \sum_{i=0}^3 \int_0^{t_0} \left(\int_{T^t U_i} d\alpha\right) dt = \int_0^{t_0} \left(\int_{T^t U} d\alpha\right) dt$. But by the Stokes Theorem, this equals $\int_0^{t_0} \left(\int_{T^t \gamma} \alpha\right) dt = 0$, since the inner integral is zero by Lemma 7.1. We obtain a contradiction. $\qquad\square$

8. Equilibrium states for the geometric potential

Let M be a three dimensional compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold, let $X : M \to TM$ be a non-vanishing $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ vector field, and let T be the flow on M generated by X. Throughout this section we assume T has positive topological entropy.

The subset $M_{\mathrm{hyp}} \subset M$: $p \in M_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ if there are unit vectors $\underline{e}_p^s, \underline{e}_p^u \in T_pM$ s.t.

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{|t|} \log \|dT_p^t \underline{e}_p^s\| < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{|t|} \log \|dT_p^t \underline{e}_p^u\| > 0.$$

If $\underline{e}_p^s, \underline{e}_p^u$ exist, then they are unique up to a sign, hence M_{hyp} is T–invariant. By the Oseledets theorem and the Ruelle entropy inequality, any T–invariant and ergodic measure with positive metric entropy is carried by M_{hyp}.

The geometric potential of T [BR75]: $J : M_{\mathrm{hyp}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$J(p) = -\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \log \|dT_p^t \underline{e}_p^u\| = -\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \|dT_p^t \underline{e}_p^u\|.$$

J is bounded, since $\{T^t\}$ is $C^{1+\varepsilon}$.

Some facts from [LS]**.** We recall some facts from [LS, §2]. There exists a Poincaré section $\Lambda \subset M$ with return map $f : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ and roof function $R : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t.:

(1) Λ is the union of disjoint discs transverse to X.

(2) $\inf R > 0$ and $\sup R < \infty$.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{S} \subset \Lambda$ denote the *singular set* of $f : \Lambda \to \Lambda$, consisting of points p which do not have a (relative) neighborhood $V \subset \Lambda \setminus \partial \Lambda$ which is diffeomorphic to a disc, such that $f|_V, f^{-1}|_V$ are diffeomorphisms onto their images. There is a constant $\mathfrak{C}$ s.t. R, f, f^{-1} are differentiable on $\Lambda' := \Lambda \setminus \mathfrak{S}$ with $\sup_{p \in \Lambda'} \|dR_p\| < \mathfrak{C}$, $\sup_{p \in \Lambda'} \|df_p\| < \mathfrak{C}$, $\sup_{p \in \Lambda'} \|(df_p)^{-1}\| < \mathfrak{C}$, and $\|f|_U\|_{C^{1+\varepsilon}} < \mathfrak{C}, \|f^{-1}|_U\|_{C^{1+\varepsilon}} < \mathfrak{C}$ for all open and connected $U \subset \Lambda'$. See [LS, Lemma 2.5].

(4) For all $p \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}} := (\Lambda \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(\mathfrak{S})) \cap M_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ there are $\vec{v}_p^s, \vec{v}_p^u \in T_p\Lambda$ unitary s.t.

$$\lim_{n \to \pm\infty} \tfrac{1}{|n|} \log \|df_p^n \vec{v}_p^s\| < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \pm\infty} \tfrac{1}{|n|} \log \|df_p^n \vec{v}_p^u\| > 0.$$

See [LS, Lemma 2.6] and its proof.

Suppose μ is a hyperbolic T–invariant probability measure on M, and μ_Λ, the "induced measure", is the measure on Λ s.t. $\mu = \frac{1}{\int_\Lambda R d\mu_\Lambda} \int_\Lambda \left[\int_0^{R(p)} \delta_{T^t p} dt \right] d\mu_\Lambda(p)$. Then Λ can be chosen with the additional properties below.

(5) The induced measure μ_Λ on Λ satisfies:

 (5.1) $\mu_\Lambda(\mathfrak{S}) = 0$.

 (5.2) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{dist}_\Lambda(f^n(p), \mathfrak{S}) = 0$ μ_Λ–a.e.

 See [LS, Thm 2.8].

(6) There are a TMF $\sigma_r : \Sigma_r \to \Sigma_r$ and Hölder continuous maps $\pi : \Sigma \to \Lambda$ and $\pi_r : \Sigma_r \to M$ s.t.:

 (6.1) $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$, $\pi[\Sigma^\#]$ has full μ_Λ–measure, and every $x \in \pi[\Sigma^\#]$ has finitely many pre-images in $\Sigma^\#$.

 (6.2) $\pi_r(x, t) = T^t\pi(x)$, $\pi_r \circ \sigma_r = T \circ \pi_r$, $\pi_r[\Sigma_r^\#]$ has full μ–measure, and every $p \in \pi_r[\Sigma_r]$ has finitely many pre-images in $\Sigma_r^\#$.

 See [LS, Thm 5.6]. $\Sigma^\#, \Sigma_r^\#$ denote the regular parts of Σ, Σ_r, see [LS, §1].

Finally, if μ is ergodic with positive entropy then $h_{\mu_\Lambda}(f) > 0$ and $\mu_\Lambda(\Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 1$.

GEOMETRIC POTENTIAL OF $f : \Lambda \to \Lambda$: $J^f : \Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}} \to \mathbb{R}$, $J^f(p) = -\log \|df_p \vec{v}_p^u\|$.

J^f is bounded, since $\sup_{p \in \Lambda'} \|df_p\| < \mathfrak{C}, \sup_{p \in \Lambda'} \|(df_p)^{-1}\| < \mathfrak{C}$. Even though J, J^f are not globally defined, we can define their equilibrium measures.

EQUILIBRIUM MEASURES OF J AND J^f: μ is called an *equilibrium measure of J* if $h_\mu(T^1) + \int J d\mu = P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)$, where

$$P_{\mathrm{top}}(J) := \sup \left\{ h_\nu(T^1) + \int_M J d\nu : \begin{array}{c} \nu \text{ is } \mathsf{T}\text{–invariant Borel probability} \\ \text{measure with } \nu(M_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)$ is called the *topological pressure* of J. Similar definitions hold for J^f with T^1, M_{hyp} replaced by $f, \Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}}$.

$P_{\mathrm{top}}(J), P_{\mathrm{top}}(J^f) < \infty$, since J, J^f are bounded. Similar definitions can also be given for functions of the form aJ, bf, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 8.1. *Assume that Λ, f, R and μ satisfy conditions* (1)–(6) *above. Then μ is an equilibrium measure of J iff μ_Λ is an equilibrium measure of $J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{J} : \Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\overline{J}(p) = \int_0^{R(p)} J(T^s p) ds$. As in claim 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, μ is an equilibrium measure of J iff μ_Λ is an equilibrium measure of $\overline{J} - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f$. We will show that $\int_\Lambda \overline{J} d\nu = \int_\Lambda J^f d\nu$ for every f–invariant ν with $\nu(\Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 1$, and deduce that μ is an equilibrium measure of J iff μ_Λ is an equilibrium measure of $J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f$.

A simple calculation[4] shows that

$$\overline{J}(p) = - \int_0^{R(p)} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \log \|dT^t \underline{e}^u_{T^s p}\| ds = - \log \|dT^{R(p)} \underline{e}^u_p\|.$$

Since $f(p) = T^{R(p)}(p)$, we have $df_p v = dT_p^{R(p)} v + \langle \nabla R(p), v \rangle X_{f(p)}$, $\forall v \in T_p \Lambda$. Write $\vec{v}^u_p = \alpha(p)\underline{e}^u_p + \beta(p)X_p$ (necessarily $\alpha(p) \neq 0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
df\vec{v}^u_p &= dT^{R(p)}\vec{v}^u_p + \langle \nabla R(p), \vec{v}^u_p \rangle X_{f(p)} \\
&= \alpha(p)dT^{R(p)}\underline{e}^u_p + \beta(p)dT^{R(p)}X_p + \langle \nabla R(p), \vec{v}^u_p \rangle X_{f(p)} \\
&= \pm\alpha(p)\|dT^{R(p)}\underline{e}^u_p\|\underline{e}^u_{f(p)} + [\beta(p) + \langle \nabla R(p), \vec{v}^u_p \rangle]X_{f(p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly $df\vec{v}^u_p = \pm\|df\vec{v}^u_p\|\vec{v}^u_{f(p)} = \pm(\alpha(f(p))\|df\vec{v}^u_p\|\underline{e}^u_{f(p)} + \beta(f(p))\|df\vec{v}^u_p\|X_{f(p)})$.

Comparing the $\underline{e}^u_{f(p)}$ components, we get $|\alpha(p)|\|dT^{R(p)}\underline{e}^u_p\| = |\alpha(f(p))|\|df\vec{v}^u_p\|$. Hence $U : \Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}} \to \mathbb{R}$, $U(p) := \log|\alpha(p)|$ is a measurable function with

$$\overline{J} = J^f + U \circ f - U.$$

We use this to show that μ is an equilibrium measure for J iff μ_Λ is an equilibrium measure for $J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f$. By (4) and (5), $\mu(M_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = \mu_\Lambda(\Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}})$. Let ν be an ergodic f–invariant probability measure with $\nu(\Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 1$. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\overline{J}_n = \int_\Lambda \overline{J} d\nu$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}J^f_n = \int_\Lambda J^f d\nu$ ν–a.e. By the Poincaré recurrence theorem, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |U(f^n(p)) - U(p)| < \infty$ ν–a.e., hence for ν–a.e. $p \in \Lambda$ we have $\int_\Lambda \overline{J} d\nu = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\overline{J}(p) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}J^f(p) = \int_\Lambda J^f d\nu$. By the ergodic decomposition, $\int_\Lambda \overline{J} d\nu = \int_\Lambda J^f d\nu$ for every f–invariant ν s.t. $\nu(\Lambda_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 1$. The lemma follows from the discussion at the beginning of the proof. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 8.2. $[J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f] \circ \pi$ *is a Hölder continuous potential on Σ with respect to the symbolic metric.*

Proof. $f \circ \pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder by construction: $f \circ \pi = r$ and roof functions of TMF are Hölder. $J^f \circ \pi$ is Hölder, because df is uniformly Hölder on Λ' and $x \in \Sigma \to \vec{v}^u_{\pi(x)}$ is Hölder by [LS, Lemma 5.7]. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix $\chi > 0$, and let μ be a χ–hyperbolic[5] equilibrium measure of J with $h_\mu(T^1) > 0$. Take Λ, f, R satisfying (1)–(6) above. Since μ is carried by M_{hyp}, Lemma 8.1 implies that μ_Λ is an equilibrium measure of $J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f$. Arguing as in [LS, Theorem 6.2], the function $[J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f] \circ \pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ has an equilibrium measure $\widehat{\mu_\Lambda}$ s.t. $\widehat{\mu_\Lambda} \circ \pi^{-1} = \mu_\Lambda$. The potential $[J^f - P_{\mathrm{top}}(J)f] \circ \pi$ is Hölder continuous. Since ergodic equilibrium measures of Hölder potentials on a

---

[4]Let $h(t) := -\log\|dT^t\underline{e}^u_p\|$, then $h(0) = 0$ and $-\log\|dT^t\underline{e}^u_{T^s p}\| = h(t+s) - h(s)$, therefore $-\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \log\|dT^t\underline{e}^u_{T^s p}\| = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}[h(t+s) - h(s)] = h'(s)$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, $\overline{J}(p) = \int_0^{R(p)} h'(s)ds = h(R(p)) = -\log\|dT^{R(p)}\underline{e}^u_p\|$.

[5]μ is χ–hyperbolic if μ–a.e. point has one Lyapunov exponent $> \chi$ and another $< -\chi$.

TMS are carried by topologically transitive TMS, $\widehat{\mu_\Lambda}$ has at most countably many ergodic components. This shows that J has at most countably many χ–hyperbolic ergodic equilibrium measures: if there were uncountably many, then their convex combination would generate a χ–hyperbolic equilibrium measure with uncountably many ergodic components.

Assume now that μ is also ergodic. We can choose $\widehat{\mu_\Lambda}$ to be ergodic. The measure $\widehat{\mu_\Lambda}$ is the induced measure of some $\widehat{\mu}$ on Σ_r, hence $\widehat{\mu} \circ \pi_r^{-1} = \mu$. By Theorems 4.2 and 5.1 $(\Sigma_r, \widehat{\mu}, \sigma_r)$ is Bernoulli up to a period. Since $\widehat{\mu}$ projects to μ, $(M, \mu, \{T^t\})$ is also Bernoulli up to a period.

If additionally T is a Reeb flow, then σ_r is Bernoulli and so is T. $\square$

9. Acknowledgements

References

[Abr59]   L. M. Abramov. On the entropy of a flow. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 128:873–875, 1959.

[AM78]   Ralph Abraham and Jerrold E. Marsden. *Foundations of mechanics*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978. Second edition, revised and enlarged, With the assistance of Tudor Raţiu and Richard Cushman.

[BBE85]   Werner Ballmann, Misha Brin, and Patrick Eberlein. Structure of manifolds of nonpositive curvature. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 122(1):171–203, 1985.

[BG89]   Keith Burns and Marlies Gerber. Real analytic Bernoulli geodesic flows on S^2. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(1):27–45, 1989.

[BM77]   Rufus Bowen and Brian Marcus. Unique ergodicity for horocycle foliations. *Israel J. Math.*, 26(1):43–67, 1977.

[Bow75]   Rufus Bowen. *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 470. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[Bow75]   Rufus Bowen. Bernoulli equilibrium states for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Math. Systems Theory*, 8(4):289–294, 1974/75.

[BP07]   Luis Barreira and Yakov Pesin. *Nonuniform hyperbolicity*, volume 115 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. Dynamics of systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents.

[BR75]   Rufus Bowen and David Ruelle. The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows. *Invent. Math.*, 29(3):181–202, 1975.

[Bri75]   M. I. Brin. The topology of group extensions of C-systems. *Mat. Zametki*, 18(3):453–465, 1975.

[BS03]   Jérôme Buzzi and Omri Sarig. Uniqueness of equilibrium measures for countable Markov shifts and multidimensional piecewise expanding maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 23(5):1383–1400, 2003.

[BW72]   Rufus Bowen and Peter Walters. Expansive one-parameter flows. *J. Diff. Equations*, 12:180–193, 1972.

[CFS82]   I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin, and Ya. G. Sinaĭ. *Ergodic theory*, volume 245 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[Dao13]   Yair Daon. Bernoullicity of equilibrium measures on countable Markov shifts. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33(9):4003–4015, 2013.

[Gei08]   Hansjörg Geiges. *An introduction to contact topology*, volume 109 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[GH88]   Y. Guivarc'h and J. Hardy. Théorèmes limites pour une classe de chaînes de Markov et applications aux difféomorphismes d'Anosov. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 24(1):73–98, 1988.

[Gur67]   B. M. Gurevič. Certain conditions for the existence of K-decompositions for special flows. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 17:89–116, 1967.

[HPT04]   H. Hu, Y. Pesin, and A. Talitskaya. Every compact manifold carries a hyperbolic Bernoulli flow. In *Modern Dynamical Systems and Applications*, pages 347–358. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[Kat82]   A. Katok. Entropy and closed geodesics. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 2(3-4):339–365 (1983), 1982.

[Kat94]   A. Katok. Infinitesimal Lyapunov functions, invariant cone families and stochastic properties of smooth dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 14(4):757–785, 1994. With the collaboration of K. Burns.

[Kea72]   Michael Keane. Strongly mixing g-measures. *Invent. Math.*, 16:309–324, 1972.

[Kit98]   Bruce P. Kitchens. *Symbolic dynamics*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. One-sided, two-sided and countable state Markov shifts.

[Kni98]   Gerhard Knieper. The uniqueness of the measure of maximal entropy for geodesic flows on rank 1 manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(1):291–314, 1998.

[KSLP86] Anatole Katok, Jean-Marie Strelcyn, F. Ledrappier, and F. Przytycki. *Invariant manifolds, entropy and billiards; smooth maps with singularities*, volume 1222 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[Led74]   F. Ledrappier. Principe variationnel et systèmes dynamiques symboliques. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 30:185–202, 1974.

[Led84]   F. Ledrappier. Propriétés ergodiques des mesures de Sinaï. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (59):163–188, 1984.

[LR69]   O. E. Lanford, III and D. Ruelle. Observables at infinity and states with short range correlations in statistical mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 13:194–215, 1969.

[LS]   Yuri Lima and Omri Sarig. Symbolic dynamics for three dimensional flows with positive entropy. Preprint.

[New89]   Sheldon E. Newhouse. Continuity properties of entropy. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 129(2):215–235, 1989.

[Orn70a] D. S. Ornstein. Imbedding Bernoulli shifts in flows. In *Contributions to Ergodic Theory and Probability (Proc. Conf., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1970)*, pages 178–218. Springer, Berlin, 1970.

[Orn70b] Donald Ornstein. Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic. *Advances in Math.*, 4:337–352 (1970), 1970.

[Orn70c] Donald Ornstein. Factors of Bernoulli shifts are Bernoulli shifts. *Advances in Math.*, 5:349–364 (1970), 1970.

[Orn73]   Donald S. Ornstein. The isomorphism theorem for Bernoulli flows. *Advances in Math.*, 10:124–142, 1973.

[Orn74]   Donald S. Ornstein. *Ergodic theory, randomness, and dynamical systems*. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1974. James K. Whittemore Lectures in Mathematics given at Yale University, Yale Mathematical Monographs, No. 5.

[OW73]   Donald S. Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss. Geodesic flows are Bernoullian. *Israel J. Math.*, 14:184–198, 1973.

[OW98]   Donald Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss. On the Bernoulli nature of systems with some hyperbolic structure. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(2):441–456, 1998.

[Pes76]   Ja. B. Pesin. Families of invariant manifolds that correspond to nonzero characteristic exponents. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 40(6):1332–1379, 1440, 1976.

[Pes77]   Ja. B. Pesin. Characteristic Ljapunov exponents, and smooth ergodic theory. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 32(4 (196)):55–112, 287, 1977.

[Pes78]   Ja. B. Pesin. Equations for the entropy of a geodesic flow on a compact riemannian manifold without conjugate points. *Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 24(4):796–805, 1978.

[Pin60]   M. S. Pinsker. Dynamical systems with completely positive or zero entropy. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 1:937–938, 1960.

[PP90]   William Parry and Mark Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque*, (187-188):268, 1990.

[Rat73]   M. Ratner. Markov partitions for Anosov flows on n-dimensional manifolds. *Israel J. Math.*, 15:92–114, 1973.

[Rat74]   M. Ratner. Anosov flows with Gibbs measures are also Bernoullian. *Israel J. Math.*, 17:380–391, 1974.

[Rat78]   M. Ratner. Bernoulli flow over maps of the interval. *Israel J. Math.*, 31(3-4):298–314, 1978.

[Roh61]   V. A. Rohlin. Exact endomorphisms of a Lebesgue space. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 25:499–530, 1961.

[RS61]   V. A. Rohlin and Ja. G. Sinaĭ. The structure and properties of invariant measurable partitions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 141:1038–1041, 1961.

[Rue78a]   David Ruelle. An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, 9(1):83–87, 1978.

[Rue78b]   David Ruelle. *Thermodynamic formalism*, volume 5 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1978. The mathematical structures of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics, With a foreword by Giovanni Gallavotti and Gian-Carlo Rota.

[Sar01]   Omri M. Sarig. Thermodynamic formalism for null recurrent potentials. *Israel J. Math.*, 121:285–311, 2001.

[Sar11]   Omri M. Sarig. Bernoulli equilibrium states for surface diffeomorphisms. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 5(3):593–608, 2011.

[Sin72]   Ja. G. Sinaĭ. Gibbs measures in ergodic theory. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27(4(166)):21–64, 1972.

[Tho02]   Jean-Paul Thouvenot. Entropy, isomorphism and equivalence in ergodic theory. In *Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1A*, pages 205–238. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

F. Ledrappier, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7599, LPMA, F-75005, Paris, France and CNRS, UMR 7599, LPMA, F-75005, Paris, France
    E-mail address: `fledrapp@nd.edu`

Y. Lima, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France
    E-mail address: `yurilima@gmail.com`

O. Sarig, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, The Weizmann Institute of Science, POB 26, Rehovot, Israel
    E-mail address: `omsarig@gmail.com`