

TAIL-INVARIANT MEASURES FOR SOME SUSPENSION SEMIFLOWS

JON AARONSON

School of Mathematical Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Ramat Aviv, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

OMRI SARIG

Mathematics Institute
University of Warwick
Coventry, CV4 7AL, England

RITA SOLOMYAK

Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Box 35435, Seattle, Washington 98195-4350, USA

(Communicated by Mark Pollicott)

Abstract. We consider suspension semiflows over abelian extensions of one-sided mixing subshifts of finite type. Although these are not uniquely ergodic, we identify (in the “ergodic” case) all tail-invariant, locally finite measures which are quasi-invariant for the semiflow.

1. Introduction.

1.1. The Tail Relations. We start with some background on equivalence relations, (see [F-M] for more detail). Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a standard Borel space, and let $R \subset X \times X$ be an equivalence relation. Assume that $R \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, and that each equivalence class $R(x) := \{y : (x, y) \in R\}$ is countable. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$, the saturation $R(A) = \cup\{R(x) : x \in A\}$ is again a Borel set. A σ -finite measure μ on X is called *non-singular* for R if $\mu(R(A)) = 0$ whenever $\mu(A) = 0$, and is, in addition, called *ergodic* if any saturated set $A = R(A)$ has either zero or full measure.

A Borel isomorphism ϕ defined on some $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with image $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is a *holonomy* if $(x, \phi(x)) \in R$ for any $x \in A$. A measure μ is *invariant* for R , if it is invariant under all the holonomies of R .

Let S be a finite set, and let Σ be a subshift of finite type over S :

$$\Sigma := \{x \in S^{\mathbb{N}} : \forall k \geq 1, A_{x_k, x_{k+1}} = 1\}$$

where $A = (t_{ij})_{S \times S}$ with $t_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$. We endow Σ with the topology generated by cylinders $[a_1, \dots, a_n] := \{x \in \Sigma : x_1^n = a_1^n\}$, where $x_i^j := (x_i, \dots, x_j)$. Note that the collection of cylinders of length n is exactly α_0^{n-1} where $\alpha := \{[a] : a \in S\}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28D05, 37A20, 37A40.

Key words and phrases. equivalence relations, semi-flows, skew-products, infinite measures, tail-invariance, unique ergodicity, aperiodicity, non-arithmeticity, horocycle flow.

Define the left shift $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ by $(Tx)_i = x_{i+1}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ denote the collection of Borel probability measures on Σ .

Henceforth we assume that (Σ, T) is topologically mixing. It is well-known that this is equivalent to the existence of N_0 such that all the entries of A^{N_0} are positive (see [Bo]).

Let $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ be Hölder continuous. Set

$$\Sigma^h := \{(x, s) : x \in \Sigma, 0 \leq s < h(x)\},$$

and define the semiflows $g_t : \Sigma^h \rightarrow \Sigma^h$ and $G_t : \Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g_t(x, s) &:= (T^n x, s + t - h_n(x)) \\ G_t(x, s, \nu) &:= (T^n x, s + t - h_n(x), \nu + f_n(x)) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ where } s+t \in [h_n(x), h_{n+1}(x)).$$

Define the *tail equivalence relations* $\mathfrak{T}(g)$ on Σ^h , and $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ on $\Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}(g) &:= \{((x, s), (x', s')) \mid g_t(x, s) = g_t(x', s') \text{ for some } t > 0\} \\ \mathfrak{T}(G) &:= \{((x, s, \nu), (x', s', \nu')) \mid G_t(x, s, \nu) = G_t(x', s', \nu') \text{ for some } t > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$((x, s), (x', s')) \in \mathfrak{T}(g) \Leftrightarrow \exists n, m > 0 \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} T^n(x) = T^m(x') \\ s - h_n(x) = s' - h_m(x') \end{cases}$$

and that

$$((x, s, \nu), (x', s', \nu')) \in \mathfrak{T}(G) \Leftrightarrow \exists n, m > 0 \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} T^n(x) = T^m(x') \\ s - h_n(x) = s' - h_m(x') \\ \nu + f_n(x) = \nu' + f_m(x') \end{cases}$$

As shown in[B-M], the relation $\mathfrak{T}(g)$ is a symbolic model for the strong stable foliation of a topologically mixing basic set Ω_k of an Axiom A flow, in the sense that, given such a flow, there exists Σ, h as above, and a one-to-one correspondence between invariant measures for the strong stable foliation of Ω_k and locally-finite invariant measures for $\mathfrak{T}(g)$. The reader is referred to [B-M] for the definition of these geometric objects.

In the same sense, $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ is a symbolic model for the strong stable foliation of a \mathbb{Z}^d -extension of an Axiom A flow, see [B-L],[Po], [C].

1.2. The Babillot–Ledrappier Measures. The relation $\mathfrak{T}(g)$ is uniquely ergodic [B-M], but $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ is not: [B-L] provides a d -parameter family of pairwise disjoint $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -invariant measures, called here *Babillot–Ledrappier (B-L) measures*. These are given as follows. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By [Bo], [Ru] there exists a unique $\tau_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a unique Borel probability measure μ_α on Σ which is $(e^{-\tau_\alpha h + \langle \alpha, f \rangle}, T)$ -conformal in the sense that $\mu_\alpha \circ T \sim \mu_\alpha$ and

$$\frac{d\mu_\alpha \circ T}{d\mu_\alpha} = e^{-\tau_\alpha h + \langle \alpha, f \rangle}.$$

The B-L measure indexed by $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the measure on $X = \Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ given by

$$m_\alpha(A \times B \times \{\nu\}) := e^{-\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} \mu_\alpha(A) \int_B e^{\tau_\alpha r} dr.$$

These are $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -invariant measures. They are infinite, but *locally finite*: compact subsets of $\Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ have finite measure.

1.3. Main Results. It is known that ([C] and [Po])

Proposition 1.1. m_α is $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -ergodic iff $T_{(-h,f)} : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ given by $T_{(-h,f)}(x, s, \nu) = (Tx, s - h(x), \nu + f(x))$ is ergodic with respect to $\mu_\alpha \times m_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, where $m_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ denotes Haar measure.

The purpose of this note is

1. To characterize this situation of ergodicity in terms of a cocycle condition for $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ by showing that if one of the B-L measures is ergodic, then $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is non-arithmetic (as defined below) and that this implies that all the B-L measures are ergodic (see [C], and theorem 2.1 and corollary 2.4 below, which imply proposition 1.1).
2. To identify the locally finite $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -invariant measures by showing that in the case when the B-L measures are ergodic, that every locally finite, $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -invariant, ergodic measure which is G -quasi-invariant must be proportional to a B-L measure (Theorem 3.1 below). Theorem 2.2 in [A-N-S-S] can be viewed as a (more complete) discrete time version of this result.

As shown in [B-L], horocycle foliations of \mathbb{Z}^d -covers of compact manifolds of constant negative curvature are ergodic with respect to the B-L measures. This is implied (via theorem 2.1 below) by ergodicity with respect to Lebesgue measure which was established earlier in [L-S] (see also [K] and [Po]).

It follows from our results that a locally finite measure which is ergodic and invariant for the strong stable foliation of a basic set Ω_k of an Axiom A flow, and which is quasi-invariant under the flow must be proportional to a B-L measure. (In the case of a surface of constant negative curvature this can also be shown via a geometric argument, [Ba].)

2. Ergodicity and non-arithmeticity of \mathbb{G} -extensions. Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact, second countable, Abelian topological group; let (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T) be a probability preserving transformation and let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be measurable. Consider the skew product $T_\phi : X \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}$ defined by $T_\phi(x, y) := (Tx, y + \phi(x))$ with respect to the (invariant) product measure $m \times m_{\mathbb{G}}$ where $m_{\mathbb{G}}$ denotes Haar measure.

Following [G], we say that ϕ is *non-arithmetic* if

$$\gamma(\phi) = \bar{g} \cdot g \circ T$$

has no nontrivial solution in $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ measurable; and that ϕ is *aperiodic* if

$$\gamma(\phi) = z\bar{g} \cdot g \circ T$$

has no nontrivial solution in $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ measurable. It is not hard to show that if T_ϕ is ergodic, and T is weakly mixing, then ϕ is non-arithmetic, and in this case T_ϕ is weakly mixing iff ϕ is aperiodic (see e.g. [K-N]).

Since \mathbb{G} is a locally compact Abelian polish group topological group, there are norms $\|\cdot\|$ generating the topology of \mathbb{G} which are Lipschitz in the sense that each character $\gamma : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is $\|\cdot\|$ -Lipschitz. Indeed, if Y is a metric space, and $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is such that $\gamma \circ f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is Lipschitz \forall characters γ , then \exists a Lipschitz norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is $\|\cdot\|$ -Lipschitz.

Livsic's theorem (see [L]) states that if $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a mixing subshift of finite type equipped with a Gibbs measure, $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is Hölder continuous (w.r.t some Lipschitz norm), and $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ measurable with $\gamma(\phi) = \bar{g} \cdot g \circ T$ a.e., then $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is also Hölder continuous (w.r.t the same Lipschitz norm). Thus

if a Hölder continuous $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is non-arithmetic with respect to some Gibbs measure, then it is non-arithmetic with respect to all Gibbs measures.

Recall that a non-singular subshift of finite type $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ has the *Rényi property* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every cylinder of positive measure $a = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$

$$\frac{v'_a(x)}{v'_a(y)} \leq C \quad \text{for } m \times m \text{ a.e. } (x, y) \in a \times a,$$

where $v_a := (T^n|_a)^{-1}$ and $v'_a := \frac{dm_{\text{ov}_a}}{dm}$. The following is a generalization of a theorem in [C].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a mixing subshift of finite type with the Rényi property and that ϕ is Hölder continuous and non-arithmetic; then T_ϕ is ergodic.*

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is Hölder continuous. At least one of the following statements is true:*

1. $u = \bar{g} \cdot g \circ T$ for some Hölder continuous $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$.
2. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary constants. There exists $n \geq N$ such that for every $z \in \Sigma$ there are $x \in \Sigma$ and $k \leq n$ such that

$$x_1^N = z_1^N, \quad T^k x = T^n z \quad \text{and} \quad |u_n(z) - u_k(x)| \geq \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let μ be the Parry measure (i.e. measure of maximal entropy on Σ), then $d\mu = \psi d\nu$ where $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ is $(1, T)$ -conformal and $\psi > 0$ is Hölder continuous. Let $P : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ be the transfer operator, then

$$Pf(x) = \sum_{Ty=x} e^{-h_{\text{top}}(T)f(y)}$$

and $P^n f \rightarrow \psi \int_X f d\nu$ uniformly $\forall f \in C(X)$. Define $P_u : C(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma)$ by $P_u(f) := P(uf)$, then $P_u^n f = P^n(u_n f)$ where $u_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u \circ T^i$. By [G-H] either $\exists \varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ Hölder continuous such that $P_u(\varphi) = \varphi$ (which implies (1) with $g := \varphi/\psi$), or $\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_u^k f \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \forall f \in C(\Sigma)$. If (2) fails, then $\exists \epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $N \geq 1$ such that $\forall n \geq N$, $\exists z = z^{(n)}$ satisfying

$$k \leq n, \quad x \in T^{-k}\{T^n z\}, \quad x_1^N = z_1^N \quad \Rightarrow \quad |u_k(x) - u_n(z)| < \epsilon.$$

There are only finitely many possibilities for the N -prefix of $z^{(n)}$. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that $\exists a = [a_1, \dots, a_N]$ such that $z^{(n)} \in a$

for all n .

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_u^k 1_a \right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_u^k 1_a(T^n z^{(n)}) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kh_{\text{top}}(T)} \sum_{y \in T^{-k} \{T^n z^{(n)}\}} u_k(y) 1_a(y) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kh_{\text{top}}(T)} \sum_{y \in T^{-k} \{T^n z^{(n)}\}} 1_a(y) \left(u_n(z^{(n)}) - [u_n(z^{(n)}) - u_k(y)] \right) \right| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kh_{\text{top}}(T)} \sum_{y \in T^{-k} \{T^n z^{(n)}\}} 1_a(y) (1 - |u_n(z^{(n)}) - u_k(y)|) \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-kh_{\text{top}}(T)} \sum_{y \in T^{-k} \{T^n z^{(n)}\}} 1_a(y) \\
&= (1 - \epsilon) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P^k 1_a(T^n z^{(n)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P^k 1_a \rightarrow \nu(a)\psi$ uniformly, whence

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P^k 1_a(T^n z^{(n)}) \geq \nu(a) \inf \psi > 0.$$

Let W_n denote the collection of admissible words of length n in Σ , that is $W_n := \{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in S^n : A_{\epsilon_j, \epsilon_{j+1}} = 1 \forall 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$. We denote the concatenation of $a \in W_n$ and $b \in W_m$ with $A_{a_n, b_1} = 1$, by $a \cdot b$, and the concatenation of $a \in W_n$ and $x \in \Sigma$ with $A_{a_n, x_1} = 1$ by (a, x) .

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that ϕ is Hölder continuous, $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is non-constant, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If ϕ is non-arithmetic, then there exists $\ell \geq 1$ arbitrarily large and infinitely many $n \geq N$ with the following property:*

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \in W_n \\ c \in W_\ell \\ a \cdot c \in W_{n+\ell} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \exists k \in [N, n] \\ \text{and} \\ \exists b \in W_k \end{array} \text{ s.t. } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b_1^N = a_1^N \\ b_k = a_n \\ \forall x \in c, |\gamma \circ \phi_n(a, x) - \gamma \circ \phi_k(b, x)| \geq \epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. Fix $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ non-constant, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $N \geq 1$. Choose $0 < \delta < \frac{1-\epsilon}{2}$ and $\ell \geq 1$ such that

$$\eta_\ell := \sup \{ |\gamma \circ \phi_n(x) - \gamma \circ \phi_n(y)| : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Sigma, x_1^{n+\ell} = y_1^{n+\ell} \} < \delta.$$

By lemma 2.2, $\exists n \geq N$ such that $\forall z \in \Sigma, \exists k \leq n, x \in T^{-k} \{T^n z\}, x_1^N = z_1^N$ such that

$$|\gamma \circ \phi_n(z) - \gamma \circ \phi_k(x)| \geq \epsilon + 2\delta.$$

Now fix $a \in W_n, c \in W_\ell$ with $a \cdot c \in W_{n+\ell}$, choose some $u \in \Sigma$ such that $A_{c_\ell, u_1} = 1$, and set $z = (a, c, u)$. Let $k \leq n, x(z) \in T^{-k} \{T^n z\}, x(z)_1^N = z_1^N$ be such that $|\gamma \circ \phi_n(z) - \gamma \circ \phi_k(x(z))| \geq \epsilon + \delta$ and let $b = x(z)_1^k$. Since $T^k x(z) = T^n z, x(z) = (b, c, u)$. For any $v \in \Sigma$ with $A_{c_\ell, v_1} = 1$ we have that

$$|\gamma \circ \phi_n(a, c, u) - \gamma \circ \phi_n(a, c, v)| < \delta, \quad |\gamma \circ \phi_k(b, c, u) - \gamma \circ \phi_k(b, c, v)| < \delta$$

whence $|\gamma \circ \phi_n(a, c, v) - \gamma \circ \phi_k(b, c, v)| \geq \epsilon$. Since this is true for all $v \in \Sigma$ with $A_{c_\ell, v_1} = 1$, the lemma is proved. \square

Proof of theorem 2.1 (c.f. §2 “Proof of theorem 1” in [AD]) For a nonsingular transformation (Y, \mathcal{C}, μ, Q) , define the *Grand Tail Relation* of Q :

$$\mathfrak{G}(Q) := \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : \exists n, k > 0, Q^n x = Q^k y\}.$$

This is an equivalence relation, and if (Y, \mathcal{C}, μ) is standard, then $\mathfrak{G}(Q) \in \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. If Q is locally invertible, then $\mathfrak{G}(Q)$ has countable equivalence classes and is nonsingular. It is easy to check that every Q -invariant subset of Y is $\mathfrak{G}(Q)$ -saturated. It follows that if $\mathfrak{G}(Q)$ is ergodic, then Q is ergodic.

It is therefore enough to prove that $\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi)$ is ergodic. Define

$$\tilde{\phi} : \mathfrak{G}(T) \setminus \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \text{ and } y \text{ are pre-periodic}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$$

by $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) = \phi_n(x) - \phi_k(y)$ whenever $T^n x = T^k y$. This is independent of the choice of n, k whenever x, y are not pre-periodic.

The grand tail relation of T_ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}(T_\phi) &= \left\{ ((x, s), (y, t)) \in (X \times \mathbb{G})^2 : \exists n, k > 0 \text{ such that } T^n x = T^k y, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } s - t = \phi_n(y) - \phi_k(x) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ ((x, s), (y, t)) \in (X \times \mathbb{G})^2 : (x, y) \in \mathfrak{G}(T), \tilde{\phi}(x, y) = s - t \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi)$ is ergodic by the method of Schmidt (explained in [S]), by considering the group of essential values which we now proceed to define. Set $\mathcal{B}_+ := \{B \in \mathcal{B} : m(B) > 0\}$. For every $B \in \mathcal{B}_+$, let $\text{Hol}(B) = \text{Hol}(B, \mathfrak{G}(T))$ be the collection of non-singular $\mathfrak{G}(T)$ -holonomies with domain B :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hol}(B) &:= \{\tau : B \rightarrow X : \tau \text{ is a non-singular Borel isomorphism } B \rightarrow \tau(B) \\ &\quad \text{such that } \forall x \in B, (x, \tau(x)) \in \mathfrak{G}(T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi)) &:= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{G} : \forall U \text{ open neighborhood of } t \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathcal{B}_+, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+ \text{ and } \exists \tau \in \text{Hol}(B) \text{ such that } B, \tau(B) \subseteq A \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } m(B \cap \tau^{-1} B \cap \{x \in X : \tilde{\phi}(x, \tau(x)) \in U\}) > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is shown in [S] that $E(\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi))$ is a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} . To prove ergodicity, we show that $E(\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi)) = \mathbb{G}$ (see [S]).

Suppose that $E(\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi)) = H \subsetneq \mathbb{G}$, then $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \gamma \neq 0$ with $\gamma|_H \equiv 1$. Fix a precompact neighborhood of the identity $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be so large that

$$j \geq 1, n \geq N, x_1^{j+n} = y_1^{j+n} \Rightarrow \phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y) \in V.$$

Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and let $\ell \geq 1$ and $n \geq N$ be as in lemma 2.3 with ℓ so large that

$$\eta_\ell := \sup \left\{ |\gamma \circ \phi_j(x) - \gamma \circ \phi_j(y)| : j \geq 1, x, y \in \Sigma, x_1^{j+\ell} = y_1^{j+\ell} \right\} < \frac{\epsilon}{5}.$$

It follows that $\forall a \in W_n, \forall c \in W_\ell$ s.t. $a \cdot c \in W_{n+\ell}, \exists k \leq n, b \in W_k$ with $b_1^N = a_1^N, b_k = a_n$ such that $\forall j \geq 1, \forall u \in W_j$ s.t. $A_{u_j, a_1} = 1,$

$$|\gamma \circ \phi_{j+n}(u, a, c, x) - \gamma \circ \phi_{j+k}(u, b, c, x)| \geq \frac{4\epsilon}{5} \quad \forall x \in Tc_\ell.$$

Let

$$K := \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\phi_{j+n}(u, a, c, x) - \phi_{j+k}(u, b, c, x) : j \geq 1, u \in W_j, a \in W_n, A_{u_j, a_1} = 1, \\ &c \in W_\ell, a \cdot c \in W_{n+\ell}, k \leq n, b \in W_k, b_1^N = a_1^N, b_k = a_n, \\ &x \in Tc_\ell, |\gamma \circ \phi_{n+j}(u, a, c, x) - \gamma \circ \phi_{j+k}(u, b, c, x)| \geq \frac{4\epsilon}{5} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

By the choice of N and γ , $\overline{K} \subset \overline{V} \setminus E(\mathfrak{G}(T_\phi))$ and \overline{K} is compact. The methods of [S] show that $\exists A \in \mathcal{B}_+$ such that

$$(A \times A) \cap \mathfrak{G}(T) \cap [\tilde{\phi} \in K] = \emptyset.$$

By the Rényi property, $\exists M > 1$ such that

$$M^{-1}m(u)m(v) \leq m(u \cap T^{-k}v) \leq Mm(u)m(v) \quad \forall u \in \alpha_0^{k-1}, v \in \alpha_0^{\ell-1}, [v_1] \subset T[u_k].$$

Given $j \geq 1$, $u = [u_1, \dots, u_j] \subset \Sigma$ and $a \in W_n$, $b \in W_k$, $c \in W_\ell$ as above, define $\tau : [u \cdot a \cdot c] \rightarrow [u \cdot b \cdot c]$ by

$$\tau(u, a, c, y) := (u, b, c, y).$$

It follows that $\tau : [u, a, c] \rightarrow [u, b, c]$ is invertible, nonsingular and $\frac{dm \circ \tau}{dm} = M^{\pm 4} \frac{m(b)}{m(a)}$.

Let $\delta > 0$ be so small that for all $k \leq n, a \in W_n, b \in W_k, c \in W_\ell, k \leq n$,

$$\delta < \frac{m(b)}{M^4 m(a)} \left(\frac{m([a, c])}{M} - \delta \right)$$

$\exists j \geq 1$ and $u = [u_1, \dots, u_j] \subset \Sigma$ such that $m(u \setminus A) < \delta m(u)$. Let $a \in W_n$ be such that $[u, a] \neq \emptyset$ and let $k \leq n$, $b \in W_k$, $c \in W_\ell$ be as above. Consider the corresponding $\tau : [u, a, c] \rightarrow [u, b, c]$. Evidently $T^{j+k} \circ \tau \equiv T^{j+n}$ so $(x, \tau(x)) \in \mathfrak{G}(T) \forall x \in [u, a, c]$, and $\phi_{j+k} \circ \tau(x) - \phi_{j+n}(x) \in K \forall x \in [u, a, c]$.

To complete the proof we claim that $\exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+$ $B \subset A \cap [u, a, c]$ such that $\tau B \subset A$. To see this we show that $m(\tau([u, a, c] \cap A)) \geq m(u \setminus A)$, because this implies $m(A \cap \tau([u, a, c] \cap A)) > 0$ since $\tau([u, a, c] \cap A) \subset u$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} m(\tau([u, a, c] \cap A)) &\geq \frac{m(b)}{M^4 m(a)} m([u, a, c] \cap A) \\ &\geq \frac{m(b)}{M^4 m(a)} \left(m([u, a, c]) - m(u \setminus A) \right) \\ &> \frac{m(b)}{M^4 m(a)} \left(\frac{m([a, c])}{M} - \delta \right) m(u) \\ &> \delta m(u) > m(u \setminus A). \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that $(A \times A) \cap \mathfrak{G}(T_\phi) \cap [\tilde{\phi} \in K] \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction.

The following amplifies proposition 1:

Corollary 2.4. *Let m_α be a B-L measure on $\Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. The following are equivalent:*

1. $(\Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d, m_\alpha, \mathfrak{T}(G))$ is ergodic;
2. the cocycle $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is non-arithmetic;
3. $T_{(-h, f)}$ is ergodic on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ with respect to $\mu_\alpha \times m_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ where $m_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ denotes Haar measure and μ_α is as in §1.2.

Proof. Set $X = \Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. As shown in [Po],

$$\mathfrak{G}(T_{(-h, f)}) \cap (X \times X) = \mathfrak{T}(G) \tag{2.1}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose (1) and that $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ satisfy $e^{-ish+i\langle\gamma,f\rangle} = \frac{g}{g \circ T}$, and define $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $F(x, y, z) := g(x)e^{-isy+i\langle\gamma,z\rangle}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F \circ T_{(-h,f)}(x, y, z) &= F(Tx, y - h(x), z + f(x)) \\ &= g(Tx)e^{-isy+ish(x)+i\langle\gamma,z\rangle+i\langle\gamma,f(x)\rangle} \\ &= \frac{g(Tx)}{g(x)}e^{-ish(x)+i\langle\gamma,f(x)\rangle}F(x, y, z) = F(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that F is constant, since $F \circ T_{(-h,f)} = F$ and so every set of the form $[F \leq t]$ is $\mathfrak{G}(T_{(-h,f)})$ -saturated whence also $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -saturated.

Now consider $F_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the restriction of F to X . It follows that for $(x, y, z) \in X$, $t \geq 0$ (choosing $n \geq 0$ such that $h_n(x) \leq t < h_{n+1}(x)$):

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 \circ G_t(x, y, z) &= F_0(T^n x, y + t - h_n(x), z + f_n(x)) = F \circ T_{(-h,f)}^n(x, y + t, z) \\ &= F(x, y + t, z) = e^{-ist}F_0(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

and F_0 is $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -invariant, whence constant. It follows that $s = 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and $g \equiv 1$, so $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is non-arithmetic. (2) \Rightarrow (3) by theorem 2.1. (3) \Rightarrow (1) follows from (2.1). \square

Thus:

Corollary 2.5. *If $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ is ergodic with respect to some B-L measure, then the cocycle $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is non-arithmetic and $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ is ergodic with respect to all B-L measures.*

3. Identification of ergodic, locally finite $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -invariant measures.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $X := \Sigma^h \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ and let G_t ($t \geq 0$) be the suspension semi-flow. Assume that $(-h, f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is non-arithmetic and Hölder continuous. Suppose that m is a locally finite, $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -invariant, ergodic measure on X and that $m \circ G_t^{-1} \sim m \forall t > 0$, then m is proportional to a B-L measure.*

Proof. By assumption, $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ is Hölder continuous, and every such function is of the form $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for some m . Recoding Σ if necessary, we assume without loss of generality that $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2)$.

For $t > 0$, define the measure $m \circ G_t$ by $m \circ G_t(A) := \sum_{a \in \alpha} m(G_t(A \cap a))$ where α is a countable partition of X such that $G_t|_a$ is 1-1 $\forall a \in \alpha$. Evidently $m \circ G_t \sim m$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ denote the collection of all (possibly infinite) Borel measures on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Claim 1: $\exists \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{dm \circ G_t}{dm} = e^{\tau t}$, and $\exists \mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ locally finite, such that $\frac{d\mu \circ T_t}{d\mu} = e^{\tau h}$ and

$$m(A \times B) = \mu(A) \int_B e^{\tau r} dr \quad (A \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d), B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), A \times B \subset X). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d), T_f, \mu)$ is ergodic.

Proof. Fix $t_0 > 0$. We prove first that $\frac{dm \circ G_{t_0}}{dm}$ is $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -invariant and hence constant. Suppose that $A \subset X$ is Borel, and that $K : A \rightarrow KA$ is a $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -holonomy. Without loss of generality, $G_{t_0}|_A, G_{t_0}|_{KA}$ are 1-1. It follows that

$$K_1 := G_{t_0} \circ K \circ G_{t_0}^{-1} : G_{t_0}A \rightarrow G_{t_0}KA$$

is a well-defined $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -holonomy. By the $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ -invariance of m ,

$$m(G_{t_0}KA) = m(K_1G_{t_0}A) = m(G_{t_0}A).$$

This shows that $\frac{dm \circ G_{t_0}}{dm}$ is indeed $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ -invariant and hence constant. Disintegrating the measure m over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, we see that $\exists \lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ locally finite, and $m_x \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $x \mapsto m_x$ is measurable, and such that

$$m(A \times B) = \int_A m_x(B) d\lambda(x).$$

It follows that $m_x(J+t) = e^{\tau t} m_x$ for open $J \subset (0, h(x))$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ small, whence $dm_x(y) = c(x)e^{\tau y} dy$ and (3.2) follows with $d\mu(x) := c(x)d\lambda(x)$. The equation $\frac{d\mu \circ T_f}{d\mu} = e^{\tau h}$ now follows from $\frac{dm \circ G_t}{dm} = e^{\tau t}$, and the ergodicity of (Σ, T_f, μ) is standard. \square

Claim 2: \exists a homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c > 0$ such that $\mu(A \times \{n\}) = ce^{-\alpha(n)} \nu(A)$ where $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ is $(e^{\alpha \circ f + \tau h}, T)$ -conformal.

Proof. We first claim it suffices to show that $H := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \mu \circ Q_n \sim \mu\} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ where $Q_n(x, k) := (x, k+n)$. To see this, note that

$$\frac{d\mu \circ Q_n \circ T_f}{d\mu \circ Q_n} = \frac{d\mu \circ T_f}{d\mu} \circ Q_n = e^{\tau h} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The ergodicity of (Σ, T_f, μ) ensures that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, either $\mu \circ Q_n \perp \mu$ or $\mu \circ Q_n = c_n \mu$ for some $c_n > 0$. The condition $H = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ensures that $\mu \circ Q_n = e^{-\alpha(n)} \mu$ where $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism. Thus, $\mu(A \times \{n\}) = ce^{-\alpha(n)} \nu(A)$ where $c > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$. The $(e^{\alpha \circ f + \tau h}, T)$ -conformality of ν follows from the $(e^{\tau h}, T_f)$ -conformality of μ .

We now prove that $H = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose otherwise that $H \neq \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $\exists \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ non-constant, such that $\gamma|_H \equiv 1$. Using non-arithmeticity and lemma 2.3, we fix $n \geq 1$ so that $\forall a \in W_n$ and $c \in S$ s.t. $a \cdot c \in W_{n+1}$, $\exists k = k(a) \leq n$ and $b = b(a, c) \in W_k$ such that $a_1 = b_1$, $a_n = b_k$ and $\gamma \circ f_n(a, c) \neq \gamma \circ f_k(b, c)$.¹ By choice of γ , this means that $f_n(a, c) - f_k(b, c) \notin H$.

Set $J := \{f_n(a, c) - f_k(b(a, c), c) : a \in W_n, c \in S, a \cdot c \in W_{n+1}\}$, then $J \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus H$ and J is finite. Set $\bar{\mu} := \sum_{j \in J} \mu \circ Q_j$, then $\bar{\mu} \perp \mu$ and $\exists K \subset \Sigma$ compact and $g \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\mu(K \times \{g\}) > 0$, $\bar{\mu}(K \times \{g\}) = 0$.

Set $I := \sup\{|h_j(x) - h_j(y)| : j \geq 1, x_1^j = y_1^j\}$, $L := 2 \max_{k \leq n} \sup |h_k|$ and $M := |W_{n+1}| e^{\tau(I+L)}$. Approximating K by larger open sets, we see that $\exists U \subset \Sigma$ open, such that $K \subset U$ and $\bar{\mu}(U \times \{g\}) < \frac{\mu(K \times \{g\})}{2M}$. It follows that \exists a cylinder set $d = [d_1, \dots, d_N]$ such that $\mu(d \times \{g\}) > 0$ and $\bar{\mu}(d \times \{g\}) < \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{2M}$.

Since $d \times \{g\} = \bigcup_{a \in W_n, c \in S} [d, a, c] \times \{g\}$, $\exists a \in W_n$, $c \in S$ with $a \cdot c \in W_{n+1}$ such that $\mu([d, a, c] \times \{g\}) \geq \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{|W_{n+1}|}$. Next, $\exists b = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in W_k$ such that $a_1 = b_1$, $a_n = b_k$ and $f_n(a, c) - f_k(b, c) \in J$. Define $\kappa : [d, a, c] \times \{g\} \rightarrow d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ by $\kappa((d, a, x), g) := ((d, b, x), g + f_k(b, c) - f_n(a, c))$. Since $\frac{d\mu \circ T_f}{d\mu} = e^{\tau h}$, we have that

$$\frac{d\mu \circ \kappa}{d\mu}(x, v) = e^{\tau(h_{N+k}(d, b, x) - h_{N+n}(d, a, x))} \in [e^{-\tau(I+L)}, e^{\tau(I+L)}],$$

¹We are using here the assumption $f(x) = f(x_0, x_1)$ to note that lemma 2.3 can be used with $\ell = 1$ and that f_n (resp. f_k) is constant on $(a, c) \in W_{n+1}$ (resp. $(b, c) \in W_{k+1}$) so that the notation $f_n(a, c)$, $f_k(b, c)$ makes sense.

where the last estimate follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{N+k}(d, b, x) - h_{N+n}(d, a, x)| &\leq |h_N(d, b, x) - h_N(d, a, x)| \\ &\quad + |h_k(b, x)| + |h_n(a, x)| \leq I + L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \circ \kappa)([d, a, c] \times \{g\}) &= \int_{[d, a, c] \times \{g\}} \frac{d\mu \circ \kappa}{d\mu} d\mu \\ &\geq e^{-\tau(I+L)} \mu([d, a, c] \times \{g\}) \\ &\geq e^{-\tau(I+L)} \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{|W_{n+1}|} \\ &= \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{M} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\kappa([d, a, c] \times \{g\}) \subset Q_{f_k(b,a)-f_n(a,c)}(d \times \{g\})$ whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{M} \leq \mu(\kappa([d, a, c] \times \{g\})) &\leq \mu(Q_{f_k(b,c)-f_n(a,c)}(d \times \{g\})) \leq \\ &\bar{\mu}(d \times \{g\}) < \frac{\mu(d \times \{g\})}{2M} \end{aligned}$$

and $1 < \frac{1}{2}$. This contradiction establishes claim 2. \square

Since the $(e^{\alpha \circ f + \tau h}, T)$ -conformal probability is unique, it follows from claim 2 that m is proportional to the corresponding B-L measure. \square

REFERENCES

- [AD] J. Aaronson, M. Denker: *On exact group extensions*. Sankhyā, series A **62**, part 3 (2000), 339–349.
- [A-N-S-S] J. Aaronson, H. Nakada, O. Sarig, R. Solomyak: *Invariant measures and asymptotics for some skew products*. To appear in Israel J. Math.
- [B-L] M. Babillot, F. Ledrappier: *Geodesic paths and horocycle flow on abelian covers*. Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996) 1–32, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. **14**, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay (1998).
- [Ba] M. Babillot: Personal communication.
- [Bo] R. Bowen: *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **470**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1975).
- [B-M] R. Bowen, B. Marcus: *Unique ergodicity for horocycle foliations*. Israel J. Math. **26** no. 1 (1977), 43–67.
- [C] Y. Coudene: Ph. D. Thesis (2000).
- [F-M] J. Feldman, C. C. Moore: *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras I*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., Volume **234**, 2, (1977), 289–324.
- [F] H. Furstenberg: *The unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow*. Recent advances in topological dynamics (Proc. Conf., Yale Univ., New Haven, Conn., 1972; in honor of Gustav Arnold Hedlund), 95–115, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **318**, Springer Berlin (1973).
- [G] Y. Guivarc’h: *Propriétés ergodiques, en mesure infinie, de certains systèmes dynamiques fibrés*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **9** (1989), 433–453.
- [G-H] Y. Guivarc’h, J. Hardy: *Théorèmes limites pour une classe de chaînes de Markov et applications aux difféomorphismes d’Anosov*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **24** (1988), 73–98.
- [K] V. Kaimanovich: *Ergodicity of the horocycle flow*. Dynamical systems from crystal to chaos, eds. J-M Gambaudo, P. Hubert, P. Tisseur, S. Vaienti, Proceedings of the conference in honour of G. Rauzy, Luminy-Marseille, France (1998), World Scientific, Singapore, 274–286 (2000).
- [K-N] H.B. Keynes and D. Newton: *The structure of ergodic measures for compact group extensions*. Israel J. Maths. **18** (1974), 363–389.

- [L] A. Livsic: *Cohomology properties of dynamical systems*. Math. USSR Izv. **6** (1972), 1278–1301.
- [L-S] T. Lyons, D. Sullivan: *Function theory, random paths and covering spaces*. J. Differential Geom. **19**, no. 2 (1984), 299–323.
- [Po1] M. Pollicott: *Margulis distributions for Anosov flows*. Commun. Math. Phys. **113**, no. 1 (1987), 137–154.
- [Po] M. Pollicott: \mathbb{Z}^d -covers of horosphere foliations. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **6** No.1, 147–154 (2000).
- [Ru] D. Ruelle: *Thermodynamic formalism (the mathematical structures of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics)*. Addison-Wesley (Reading, Mass.), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications **5** (1978).
- [Ru-Su] D. Ruelle, D. Sullivan: *Currents, flows and diffeomorphisms*. Topology **14**, no. 4 (1975), 319–327.
- [S] K. Schmidt: *Cocycles of Ergodic Transformation Groups*., Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. **1**, Mac Millan Co. of India (1977).

E-mail address: aaro@post.tau.ac.il

E-mail address: sarig@maths.warwick.ac.uk

E-mail address: rsolom@math.washington.edu