Proc. 4th Int. Wheat Genetics Symp., Columbia, Mo., pp. 601-604, 1973

M. Feldwan

FACTORS AFFECTING VARIETAL PERFORMANCE IN THE INTERNATIONAL WINTER WHEAT PERFORMANCE NURSERY¹

J. E. STROIKE AND V. A. JOHNSON²

Department of Agronomy University of Nebraska Lincoln, Nebraska

SUMMARY

Relationships between agronomic traits, grain quality, and diseases of winter wheat varieties, and climatological factors within classes of environments based on yield level were examined. Sixteen winter wheat varieties grown in the International Winter Wheat Performance Nurseries of 1969, 1970, and 1971 were utilized for the study.

Varieties grown in high-yielding, non-irrigated environments had longer grain maturation periods than in low-yielding, non-irrigated environments. Varieties grown in irrigated environments did not show this relationship. Increased plant height and lodging occurred in high-yielding, irrigated and non-irrigated environments. Disease incidence generally increased with increasing yields for both irrigated and non-irrigated environments.

The International Winter Wheat Performance Nursery (IWWPN) was designed to test the adaptation of winter wheat varieties over a range of latitudes, soil fertility conditions, water management and disease complexes. Nursery sites throughout the world were selected to sample the major winter wheat production environments. Performance data from such an array of environments has afforded excellent opportunity to study the adaptation characteristics of winter wheat.

Three stability parameters—mean, regression of individual variety performance on nursery mean performance, and deviation from regression—were utilized to describe the performance of varieties grown in the IWWPN in 1969 and 1970. They were computed for each of several performance traits. This statistical technique does not permit the influence of one trait on another to be measured, since stability parameters were computed for each trait according to its own particular ranking of environments.

¹Contribution from the Department of Agronomy, Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station, Lincoln, and North Central Region, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Supported in part by funds from the Agency for International Development, U.S. Department of State. Paper No. 3615, Journal Series, Nebr. Agr. Exp. Sta.

²Research Agronomist, U.S.D.A.

 $^{^3}$ STROIKE, J. E., and V. A. JOHNSON 1972. Winter wheat cultivar performance in an international array of environments. Nebr. Res. Bull. 251.

STROIKE and JOHNSON

In this study 70 environments were classified according to the yield of the 16 winter wheat varieties listed in Table 1. Non-irrigated nurseries were classified separately from irrigated nurseries. The year in which a nursery was grown was disregarded. Means of agronomic, disease, grain-quality and climatological factors were computed for each yield class and reported in Tables 2 and 3.

TABLE 1. Varieties selected from the International Winter Wheat Performance Nurseries in 1969, 1970, and 1971

Variety	Origin	Variety	Origin	
Arthur	Indiana	Parker	Kansas	
Atlas 66	North Carolina	San Pastore	Italy	
Benhur	Indiana	Scout 66	Nebraska	
Bezostaya 1	USSR	Sturdy	Texas	
Blueboy	North Carolina	Timwin	Wisconsin	
Felix	Netherlands	Triumph 64	Oklahoma	
Fertodi 293	Hungary	Yorkstar	New York	
Heine VII	Germany	Yung Kwang	Korea	

TABLE 2. Agronomic and grain-quality data for environments combined according to mean yield of 16 selected varieties in the International Winter Wheat Performance Nurseries grown in 1969, 1970, and 1971

Environ- ments	Yield mean	Test wt.	Dat Flow- ering	Ripen-		Plant	Lodg- ing	Protein	Lysine per protein
no.	q/ha	kg/hl	days fr	om Jan.1	days	cm	%	%	8
Non-irrigated (53 environments)									
6	15.2	77.9	136	169	33	92	1	14.7	2.92
	26.8	71.6	136	175	39	89	16	16.1	2.83
23	34.4	76.8	149	189	40	98	25	15.1	2.88
7	45.5	78.4	154	194	40	102	12	13.9	3.00
6	54.9	79.5	148	198	50	98	30	14.3	2.92
	35.4	76.8	145	152	40	96	17	14.8	2.91
<pre>Irrigated (17 environments)</pre>									
9	32.2	76.0	135	170	35	98	9	15.6	2.86
8	51.3	76.6	136	170	34	106	25	15.1	2.88
	41.8	76.3	136	170	35	102	17	15.4	2.87
	ments no. don-irriga 6 11 23 7 6 Crrigated 9	ments mean no. q/ha fon-irrigated (53 6 15.2 11 26.8 23 34.4 7 45.5 6 54.9 35.4 Grigated (17 envi	ments mean wt. no. q/ha kg/hl fon-irrigated (53 environ 6 15.2 77.9 11 26.8 71.6 23 34.4 76.8 7 45.5 78.4 6 54.9 79.5 35.4 76.8 rrigated (17 environment 9 32.2 76.0 8 51.3 76.6	Environ- Yield Test Flow- ments mean wt. ering no. q/ha kg/hl days fr fon-irrigated (53 environments) 6 15.2 77.9 136 11 26.8 71.6 136 23 34.4 76.8 149 7 45.5 78.4 154 6 54.9 79.5 148 35.4 76.8 145 Grrigated (17 environments) 9 32.2 76.0 135 8 51.3 76.6 136	Environ- Yield Test Flow- Ripen- ments mean wt. Flow- Ripen- ering ing no. q/ha kg/hl days from Jan.l no-irrigated (53 environments) 6 15.2 77.9 136 169 11 26.8 71.6 136 175 23 34.4 76.8 149 189 7 45.5 78.4 154 194 6 54.9 79.5 148 198 35.4 76.8 145 152 rrigated (17 environments) 9 32.2 76.0 135 170 8 51.3 76.6 136 170	Environ- Yield Test Flow- Ripen- ation ments wt. ering ing period no. q/ha kg/hl days from Jan.l days ton-irrigated (53 environments)	Environ- Yield Test Flow- Ripen- ation period ht. no. q/ha kg/hl days from Jan.1 days cm ton-irrigated (53 environments) 6 15.2 77.9 136 169 33 92 11 26.8 71.6 136 175 39 89 23 34.4 76.8 149 189 40 98 7 45.5 78.4 154 194 40 102 6 54.9 79.5 148 198 50 98 35.4 76.8 145 152 40 96 trigated (17 environments) 9 32.2 76.0 135 170 35 98 8 51.3 76.6 136 170 34 106	Environ- Yield Test Flow- Ripen- ation Plant Lodgments wt. Flow- Ripen- ation period ht. ing no. q/ha kg/hl days from Jan.l days cm % Non-irrigated (53 environments) 6 15.2 77.9 136 169 33 92 1 11 26.8 71.6 136 175 39 89 16 23 34.4 76.8 149 189 40 98 25 7 45.5 78.4 154 194 40 102 12 6 54.9 79.5 148 198 50 98 30 35.4 76.8 145 152 40 96 17 Arrigated (17 environments) 9 32.2 76.0 135 170 35 98 9 8 51.3 76.6 136 170 34 106 25	Environ- Yield Test Flow- Ripen- ation Plant Lodgments wt. ering ing period ht. ing Protein no. q/ha kg/hl days from Jan.l days cm % % fon-irrigated (53 environments) 6 15.2 77.9 136 169 33 92 1 14.7 11 26.8 71.6 136 175 39 89 16 16.1 23 34.4 76.8 149 189 40 98 25 15.1 7 45.5 78.4 154 194 40 102 12 13.9 6 54.9 79.5 148 198 50 98 30 14.3 35.4 76.8 145 152 40 96 17 14.8 foreigated (17 environments) 9 32.2 76.0 135 170 35 98 9 15.6 8 51.3 76.6 136 170 34 106 25 15.1

In the non-irrigated nurseries, high yield was associated with longer periods of grain maturation. The period of maturation tended to be earlier in the year in lower yielding environments. Plant height and lodging tended to increase as yields increased in the dryland experiments. Surprisingly, the amount of reported precipitation during the crop year decreased as yield level increased.

FACTORS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE

More complete information on environmental factors such as temperature means and variance is needed to fully interpret these data.

TABLE 3. Disease and climatological data for environments combined according to mean yield of 16 selected varieties in the International Winter Wheat Performance Nurseries grown in 1969, 1970, and 1971

Yield range	Environ- ments	Yield mean	Stripe rust	Disease in Leaf	Stem rust	Mildew	Precipi- tation	Elev- ation	
q/ha	no.	q/ha	8	8	%	%	mm	m	
No	n-irrigate	d (53 e	environ	ments)					
10-20 20-30 30-40 40-50 50-60	6 11 23 7 6	15.2 26.8 34.4 45.5 54.9	7.4 6.4 4.6 12.1 2.1	14.3 15.6 13.5 9.3 20.0	0.4 5.6 9.1 12.0 16.5	0 9.9 16.9 11.0 27.6	750 539 554 525 483	753 314 348 322 204	38°32' 40° 3' 42°27' 47° 4' 47°29'
Mean		35.4	6.5	14.5	8.7	13.1	570	388	43° 7'
Ir	rigated (1	7 envi	conments	<u>s</u>)					
18-40 40-65	9 8	32.2 51.3	19.7 14.9	5.4 15.6	1.3	5.6 5.0		628 1063	40°56' 39° 9'
Mean		41.8	17.4	10.2	6.1	5.3		832	40° 2'

Low-yielding non-irrigated nurseries tended to occur at higher elevations and lower latitudes than high-yielding non-irrigated nurseries. Nurseries yielding from 10 to 20 q/ha were located at latitudes ranging from 34°19' to 44°30' N with an average of 38°32'. Nurseries yielding from 50 to 60 q/ha were located at latitudes ranging from 42°24' to 55°35' N with an average of 47°29'. The average elevation of the high-yielding nurseries was 204 meters compared to 753 meters for the low-yielding nurseries.

In the irrigated nurseries, low- and high-yielding environments were at approximately the same average latitude. The higher elevations produced higher yields. Latitudes ranged from 32°0' to 52°30' N for low-yielding, irrigated environments, and from 34°33' to 45°5' N for high-yielding, irrigated environments.

Disease incidence generally increased with increasing yields. This was true for both irrigated and non-irrigated nurseries. Stripe rust and leaf rust did not vary as greatly as did stem rust and mildew.

Nurseries not reporting disease severity or reaction were considered as zero severity, and those reporting only the reaction could not be included in the disease severity mean. Non-irrigated nurseries yielding from 30 to 40 q/ha ranged from 9.9 to 36.6% severity among the 5 sites reporting stripe rust; whereas 12 sites reporting leaf-rust severity ranged from 1.7 to 60.1%.

STROIKE and JOHNSON

The association of high yield with increased plant height and lodging was evident in irrigated nurseries, as it was in dryland nurseries. An advantage of a longer grain-maturation period could not be demonstrated in irrigated nurseries.

Grain protein and yield tended to be inversely related, but lysine expressed as a percent of protein remained relatively unchanged across environmental classes.

The kind of analyses reported here can be useful for recognizing various relationships between environmental factors and plant responses. They point out the need for reporting more precise information concerning other important environmental factors, such as soil characteristics and fertility, and more complete climatological data.